

2010 Tax Increment and Industrial Jobs Recovery Annual Reports.
Sincerely,

2010 Annual Report

Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

June 30, 2011

ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010

County: Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2010

UnitCode: 016/620/30

First Name: Andrew J. Last Name: Mooney

Address: City Hall 121 N. LaSalle Title: TIF Administrator

Telephone: (312)744-0025 City: Chicago, IL Zip. 60602

E-Mail: TIFReports@cityofchicago.org <mailto:TIFReports@cityofchicago.org>

I attest to the best of my knowledge, this report of the redevelopment project areas in:

City/Village of Chicago is complete and accurate at the end of this reporting

Fiscal year under the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] Or the industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

Written signature of TIF Administrator Date

Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)*)

HLL OUT ONE FOR EACH TIF DISTRICT

Name of Redevelopment Project Area	Date Designated	Date Terminated
105th/Vincennes	10/3/2001	12/31/2025
111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
119th and Halsted	2/6/2002	12/31/2026
119th/I-57	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
126th and Torrence	12/21/1994	12/21/2017
134th and Avenue K	3/12/2008	3/12/2032
24th/Michigan	7/21/1999	7/21/2022
26th and King Drive	1/11/2006	12/31/2030
35th and Wallace	12/15/1999	12/31/2023
35th/Halsted	1/14/1997	12/31/2021
35th/State	1/14/2004	12/31/2028
40th/State	3/10/2004	12/31/2028
43rd/Cottage Grove'	7/8/1998	7/8/2021
45th/Western Industrial Park Conservation Area	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/Ashland	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/Halsted	5/29/2002	12/31/2026
47th/King Drive	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
47th/State	7/21/2004	12/31/2028
49th Street/St. Lawrence Avenue	1/10/1996	12/31/2020
51 st/Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
53rd Street	1/10/2001	12/31/2025
60th and Western	5/9/1996	5/9/2019

*All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

J:uues It. 'llion'!H'm -(.\Vnicr [jix-nl Gmcniu'u'til DKisitui Kill W. Riillilolpli Hinci. Smio I.MtKI Um-nqo. It. (>)x! 1

•!d.: (877) SHU SS!)! 1-n.v [3!2! HI t-!>ilHI> E-mail:' lo.W-'iBin.«,cW;ili.il.m

COMPTROLLER

ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010

County: Cook Fiscal Year End: 12 /31 /2010

UnitCode: 016/620/30

63rd/Ashland	3/29/2006	12/31/2030
63rd/Pulaski	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
67th/Cicero	10/2/2002	12/31/2026
69th/Ashland	11/3/2004	12/31/2028
71st and Stony Island	10/7/1998	10/7/2021
72nd and Cicero	11/17/1993	11/17/2016
73rd and Kedzie	11/17/1993	11/17/2016
73rd/University	9/13/2006	12/31/2030
79th and Cicero	6/8/2005	7/8/2021
79th Street Corridor	7/8/1998	12/31/2025
79th Street/Southwest Highway	10/3/2001	12/31/2029
79th/Vincennes	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
83rd/Stewart	3/31/2004	12/31/2028
87th/Cottage Grove	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
89th and State	4/1/1998	4/1/2021
95th and Western	7/13/1995	7/13/2018
95th Street and Stony Island	5/16/1990	5/16/2013
Addison Corridor North	6/4/1997	6/4/2020
Addison South	5/9/2007	12/31/2031
Archer Courts	5/12/1999	12/31/2023
Archer/ Central	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Archer/Western	2/11/2009	12/31/2033
Armitage/Pulaski	6/13/2007	12/31/2031
Austin/Commercial	9/27/2007	12/31/2031
Avalon Park/South Shore	7/31/2002	12/31/2026
Avondale	7/29/2009	12/31/2033
Belmont/ Central	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Belmont/Cicero	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Bronzeville	11/4/1998	12/31/2022
Bryn Mawr/Broadway	12/11/1996	12/11/2019
Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road	7/29/1998	7/29/2021
Calumet River	3/10/210	12/31/2034
Canal/Congress	11/12/1998	12/31/2022
Central West	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
ChathanvRidge	12/18/1986	12/31/2010 (1)
Chicago/ Kingsbury	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Chicago/Central Park	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Chicago Lakeside Development - Phase 1 (USX)	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Chinatown Basin	12/18/1986	12/31/2010
Cicero/Archer	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Clark Street and Ridge Avenue	9/29/1999	9/29/2022
Clark/Montrose	7/7/1999	7/7/2022
Commercial Avenue	11/13/2002	12/31/2026
Devon/Sheridan	3/31/2004	12/31/2028

(1) This TIF has been terminated; however, the sales tax portion continues to exist for the sole purpose of servicing outstanding obligations which may be retired early at

which point the sales tax portion will also terminate.

**ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR
TOPINKA**

Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010

County: Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2010

UnitCode: 016/620/30

Devon/Western	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Diversey/ Narragansett	2/5/2003	12/31/2027
Division/Homan	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Division/North Branch	3/15/1991	3/15/2014
Division-Hooker	7/10/1996	7/10/2019
Drexel Boulevard	7/10/2002	12/31/2026
Eastman/North Branch	10/7/1993	10/7/2016
Edgewater	12/18/1986	12/18/2009
Edgewater/Ashland	10/1/2003	12/31/2027
Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor	7/19/2007	12/31/2031
Englewood Mall	11/29/1989	11/29/2012
Englewood Neighborhood	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Ewing Avenue	3/10/2010	12/31/2034
Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive	7/13/1994	7/13/2017
Fuilerton/ Milwaukee	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Galewood/Armitage Industrial	7/7/1999	7/7/2022
Goose Island	7/10/1996	7/10/2019
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (East)	3/10/1999	12/31/2023
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West)	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area	3/14/2007	12/31/2031
Harrison/Central	7/26/2006	12/31/2030
Hollywood/Sheridan	11/7/2007	12/31/2031
Homan/Grand Trunk	12/15/1993	12/15/2016
Homan-Arthington	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Howard-Paulina	10/14/1988	10/14/2011
Humboldt Park Commercial	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Irving Park/Elston	5/13/2009	12/31/2033
Irving/Cicero	6/10/1996	12/31/2020
Jefferson Park Business District	9/9/1998	9/9/2021
Jefferson/ Roosevelt	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Kennedy/Kimball	3/12/2008	3/12/2032
Kinzie Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	6/10/2021
Kostner Avenue	11/5/2008	11/5/2032
Lake Calumet Area Industrial	12/13/2000	12/31/2024
Lakefront	3/27/2002	12/31/2026
Lakeside/Clarendon	7/21/2004	12/31/2028
LaSalle Central	11/15/2006	12/31/2030
Lawrence/ Kedzie	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Lawrence/Broadway	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Lawrence/Pulaski	2/27/2002	12/31/2026
Lincoln Avenue	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Lincoln-Belmont-Ashland	11/2/1994	11/2/2017

Little Village East	4/22/2009	12/31/2033
Little Village Industrial Corridor	6/13/2007	12/31/2031

**ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR
TOPINKA**

Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010

County: Cook Fiscal Year End: 12 /31 /2010

UnitCode: 016/620/30

Madden/Wells	11/6/2002	12/31/2026
Madison/Austin Corridor	9/29/1999	12/31/2023
Michigan/Cermak	9/13/1989	9/13/2012
Midway Industrial Corridor	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Midwest	5/17/2000	12/31/2024
Montclare	8/30/2000	12/31/2024
Montrose/Clarendon	6/30/2010	12/31/2034
Near North	7/30/1997	7/30/2020
Near South	11/28/1990	12/31/2014
Near West	3/23/1989	12/31/2013
North Branch (North)	7/2/1997	12/31/2021
North Branch (South)	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
North Pullman	6/30/2009	12/31/2033
North-Cicero	7/30/1997	7/30/2020
Northwest Industrial Corridor	12/2/1998	12/2/2021
Ogden/Pulaski	4/9/2008	4/9/2032
Ohio/Wabash	6/7/2000	12/31/2024
Pershing/King	9/5/2007	12/31/2031
Peterson/ Cicero	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Peterson/ Pulaski	2/16/2000	12/31/2024
Pilsen Industrial Corridor	6/10/1998	12/31/2022
Portage Park	9/9/1998	9/9/2021
Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area	6/23/2004	12/31/2028
Pulaski Corridor	6/9/1999	6/9/2022
Randolph and Wells	6/9/2010	12/31/2034
Ravenswood Corridor	3/9/2005	12/31/2029
Read-Dunning	1/11/1991	12/31/2015
River South	7/30/1997	7/30/2020
River West	1/10/2001	12/31/2025
Roosevelt/Canal	3/19/1997	12/31/2021
Roosevelt/Cicero	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Roosevelt/Racine	11/4/1998	12/31/2022
Roosevelt/Union	5/12/1999	5/12/2022
Roosevelt-Homan	12/5/1990	12/5/2013
Roseland/Michigan	1/16/2002	12/31/2026
Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal	7/24/1991	7/24/2014
South Chicago	4/12/2000	12/31/2024
South Works Industrial	11/3/1999	12/31/2023
Stevenson/Brighton	4/11/2007	12/31/2031
Stockyards Annex	12/11/1996	12/31/2020
Stockyards Industrial Commercial	3/9/1989	3/9/2012

Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial	2/26/1992	2/26/2015
Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors	6/10/1998	6/10/2021
Touhy/Western	9/13/2006	12/31/2030

ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010
 County: Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31 /2010
 UnitCode: 016/620/30

Weed/Fremont	1/8/2008	1/8/2032
West Grand	6/10/1996	6/10/2019
West Irving Park	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
West Pullman Industrial Park	3/11/1998	3/11/2021
West Ridge-Peterson Avenue	10/27/1986	12/31/2010
West Woodlawn	5/12/2010	12/31/2034
Western Avenue North	1/12/2000	12/31/2024
Western Avenue Rock Island	2/8/2006	12/31/2024
Western Avenue South	1/12/2000	12/31/2030
Western/Ogden	2/5/1998	2/5/2021
Wilson Yard	6/27/2001	12/31/2025
Woodlawn	1/20/1999	1/20/2022

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for each redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.]

Name of Redevelopment Project Area: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area_

Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Industrial_

If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types:_

Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one): Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act Industrial Jobs Recovery Law _

	No	Yes
Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)] If yes, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of the requirements of the Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (3)] Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d)(4)] Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, including any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities undertaken? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)] If yes, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)] If yes, please enclose the Agreement(s) labeled Attachment E		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)] If yes, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)] If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) labeled Attachment G	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)] If yes, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report labeled Attachment H	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)] **If yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I** **X**

Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of obligation and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)] **If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled Attachment J** **X**

Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2) **If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund labeled Attachment K** **X**

Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)] **If yes, please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled Attachment L** **X**

A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2010, to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)] **If yes, please enclose list only of the intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment M** **X**

* Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.

FY 2010 Section 2

SECTION 3.1 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund. Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY:

Reporting Year	Cumulative *
\$ 9,714,1701	

			% of Total
Property Tax Increment	2,538,718	\$ 15,745,312	100%
State Sales Tax Increment			0%
Local Sales Tax Increment			0%
State Utility Tax Increment			0%
Local Utility Tax Increment			0%
Interest	10,350		0%
Land/Building Sale Proceeds			0%
Bond Proceeds			0%
Transfers in from Municipal Sources			0%
Private Sources			0%
Other (identify source : if			0%

Total Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation Fund During Reporting Period

Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts

Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2)

Transfers out to Municipal Sources (Porting out)

Distribution of Surplus

Total Expenditures/Disbursements

NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD

2,549,068

15,745,312

293,854

293,854

2,255,214

\$ 11,969,384

100%

- if there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3

* Except as set forth in the next sentence, each amount reported on the rows below, if any, is cumulative from the inception of the respective Project Area. Cumulative figures for the categories of 'Interest,' 'Land/Building Sale Proceeds' and 'Other' may not be fully available for this report due to either of the following: (i) the disposal of certain older records pursuant to the City's records retention policy, or (ii) the availability of records only from January 1, 1997 forward.

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 3.2 A- (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND

(by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)

FOR AMOUNTS >\$10,000 SECTION 3.2 B MUST BE COMPLETED

Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 (o)]

Reporting Fiscal Year

1. Costs of studies, administration and professional services-Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1)


41,296

2. Cost of marketing sites-Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6) \$ 41 295

3. Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs. Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3) lit ff#8tfSS piPIIil \$ tt ** 'ffj, 5

TM1.....A.....

(~, , ~ m "Vjr



m

\$

4. Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and replacement of existing public buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4)

»! ! <T |pi 'iY »f | •>
s, ?C">

, <l i ' ■
1 h 1 j *» Ji ji» - » H

■ '>'!■>■ T
** J ff3 F" 1'

v* «. ,4 :
1 f 5

\$

5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5)

wmmmmmm S

m

53,870

<*

\$ 53,870

6. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY

* '1 <T> ,|t * <%<-
Sfi ; (l**

- •

\$

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

7. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7) and (o)(12)

198,689

« - i '

. - ' * . r

S ■ ---.

•

\$ 198,689

8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8)

! '

. i > - . i Vf

t l v< . .)» * (

U ^ . .4# 1 / >>

' ' . -- 1



9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9)

' . ' .

fl.

i

\$

10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY

11^w ^#M^;r %M^mMMtiu

-

•
\$

11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10)

' 1

12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11)

i'
* i j'
\$ •
/»_

13. Costs of job training, retraining advanced vocational or career education provided by other taxing bodies. Subsection (q)(10) and (o)(12)

1
\$ _!

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

14. Costs of reimbursing private developers for interest expenses incurred on approved redevelopment projects. Subsection (q)(11)(A-E) and (o)(13)(A-E)

i
t
\$

15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households. Subsection (q)(11)(F) -Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY

\$

16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - . 1 11 '
Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY

i X>

\$

I TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES || \$ 293,8541

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

Section 3.2 B

List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.*

Name	Service	Amount
City Staff Costs ¹	Administration	\$36,910
Chicago Department of Transportation	Public Improvement	\$17,010
Meade Electric Co.	Public Improvement	\$36,860
The Cara Program	Job Training	\$35,365
New Age Services Corp.	Job Training	\$106,210
Gatto Industrial Plators	Job Training	\$52,682

¹ Costs relate directly to the salaries and fringe benefits of employees working solely on tax increment financing districts. * This table may include payments for Projects that were undertaken prior to 11/1/1999.

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 3.3 - (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5))

Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) (D) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5) (D))

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD

1. Description of Debt Obligations

\$
11,969,384

Amount of Original Issuance

Amount Designated

Reserve for debt service	\$	\$
--------------------------	----	----

Total Amount Designated for Obligations

Designated for future redevelopment project costs	\$ 9,969,384
---	--------------

Total Amount Designated for Project Costs

TOTAL AMOUNT DESIGNATED SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT)

\$ \$
9,969,384
9,969,384 2,000,000

*NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts.

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

X No property was acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

SECTION 5 - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G)

Please include a brief description of each project.

See "General Notes" Below.

11/1/99 to Date

Estimated Investment for Subsequent Fiscal Year

Total Estimated to Complete Project

TOTAL:

Private Investment Undertaken	\$	\$	\$ 2,000,000
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	\$ 333,333	\$ 1,000,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		2

Project 1: Small Business Improvement Fund (SBIF) ** Project is Ongoing ***

Private Investment Undertaken			\$ 2,000,00
Public Investment Undertaken		\$ 333,333	\$ 1,000,00
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		2

** Depending on the particular goals of this type of program, the City may: i) make an advance disbursement of the entire public investment amount to the City's program administrator, ii) disburse the amounts through an escrow account, or iii) pay the funds out piecemeal to the program administrator as each ultimate grantee's rehabilitation work is approved under the program.

*** As of the last date of the reporting fiscal year, the construction of this Project was ongoing; the Private Investment Undertaken and Ratio figures for this Project will be reported on the Annual Report for the fiscal year in which the construction of the Project is completed and the total Private Investment figure is available.

General Notes

(a) Each actual or estimated Public Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised only of payments financed by tax increment revenues. In contrast, each actual or estimated Private Investment reported here is, to the extent possible, comprised of payments financed by revenues that are not tax increment revenues and, therefore, may include private equity, private lender financing, private grants, other public monies, or other local, state or federal grants or loans.

(b) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, Total Estimated to Complete Project, is the maximum amount of payments financed by tax increment revenues that could be made pursuant to the corresponding Project's operating documents, but not including interest that may later be payable on developer notes, and may not necessarily reflect actual expenditures, if any, as reported in Section 3 herein. The total public investment amount ultimately made under each Project will depend upon the future occurrence of various conditions, including interest that may be payable on developer notes as set forth in the Project's operating documents.

(c) Each amount reported here under Public Investment Undertaken, 11/1/1999 to Date, is cumulative from the Date of execution of the corresponding Project to the end of the reporting year, and may include interest amounts paid to finance the Public Investment amount. Projects undertaken prior to 11/1/1999 are not reported on this table.

(d) Intergovernmental agreements, if any, are reported on Attachment M hereto.

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

STATE OF ILLINOIS COUNTY OF COOK

)

) SS)

Attachment B

CERTI

TO:

Judy Baar Topinka

Comptroller of the State of Illinois

James R. Thompson Center

100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500

Chicago, Illinois 60601

Attention: June Canello, Director of Local

Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer

City Colleges of Chicago

226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Herman Brewer Director

Cook County Bureau of Planning & Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

IC ATION

Jean-Claude Brizard Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429

Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright

South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District

155th & Dixie Highway P.O.Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Michael P. Kelly, Interim General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

Attachment B

1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.

2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2010, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.

3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.

4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2011.

Rahm Emanuel, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

Department of Law

June 30, 2011 CITY OF CHICAGO

Attachment C

Judy Baar Topinka

Comptroller of the State of Illinois

James R. Thompson Center

100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500

Chicago, Illinois 60601

Attention: June Canello, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer

City Colleges of Chicago

226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Herman Brewer Director

Cook County Bureau of Planning & Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Jean-Claude Brizard Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright

South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District

155th & Dixie Highway P.O.Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Michael P. Kelly, Interim General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Re: Roosevelt/Cicero

Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

Dear Addressees:

I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11 -74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11 -74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area. 1 NORTH LASALLE STREET, ROOM 600, CHICAGO. ILLINOIS 60602

Attachment C

Opinion of Counsel for 2010 Annual Report Page 2

June 30, 2011

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Housing and Economic Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act. In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit

report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 1 I-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Stephen R. Patton Corporation Counsel

ATTACHMENT C

SCHEDULE 1 (Exception Schedule)

(X) ()

No Exceptions

Note the following Exceptions:

ATTACHMENTS D, E and F

ATTACHMENT D

Activities Statement

Projects that were implemented during the preceding fiscal year, if any, are set forth below:

Name of Project_

Small Business Improvement Fund_

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the preceding fiscal year, if any, have been made pursuant to: (i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and (ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth in Section 3 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

ATTACHMENT E

Agreements

Agreements entered into concerning the disposition or redevelopment of property within the Project Area during the preceding fiscal year, if any, are attached hereto.

None

ATTACHMENT F Additional Information

The amounts shown elsewhere in this report, including those shown in Section 3 herein, have been used to pay for project cost within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable), all in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area.

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

ATTACHMENT D

6/30/2010 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES 94477

the appointments of John W. Flanagan, Gary L. Niemand, Peter J. O'Brien, Sr., Mary S. Quincannon and David L. Stone as members of the Old Town Commission (Special Service Area Number 48), having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Approve the proposed appointments transmitted herewith.

This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE,

Chairman.

On motion of Alderman Burke, the committee's recommendation was Concurred In and the said proposed appointments of John W. Flanagan, Gary L. Niemand, Peter J. O'Brien, Sr., Mary S. Quincannon and David L. Stone as members of the Old Town Commission (Special Service Area Number 48) were Approved by yeas and nays as follows:

. Yeas - Aldermen Moreno, Fioretti, Dowell, Preckwinkle, Hairston, Lyle, Jackson, Harris, Beale, Pope, Balcer, Cardenas, Olivo, Burke, Foulkes, Thompson, Thomas, Lane, Rugai, Cochran, Brookins, Munoz, Zalewski, Dixon, Solis, Maldonado, Burnett, E. Smith, Graham, Reboyras, Suarez, Waguespack, Mell, Austin, Colon, Rice, Mitts, Allen, Laurino, O'Connor, Doherty, Reilly, Daley, Tunney, Levar, Shiller, Schulters, M. Smith, Moore, Stone - 50.

Nays - None.

Alderman Pope moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost.

AMENDMENT OF SMALL BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT FUND PROGRAM TO CREATE NEW AND RE-FUND EXISTING SMALL BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT FUNDS.

[02010-3052]

The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:

CHICAGO, June 30, 2010.

To the President and Members of the City Council:

94478

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 6/30/2010

Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance authorizing amendment Number 27 to the Small Business Improvement Fund Program (S.B.I.F. Program), having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance transmitted herewith.

This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE,

Chairman.

On motion of Alderman Burke, the said proposed ordinance transmitted with the foregoing committee report was Passed by yeas and nays as follows:

Yeas - Aldermen Moreno, Fioretti, Dowell, Preckwinkle, Hairston, Lyle, Jackson, Harris, Beale, Pope, Balcer, Cardenas, Olivo, Burke, Foulkes, Thompson, Thomas, Lane, Rugai, Cochran, Brookins, Mufioz, Zalewski, Dixon, Solis, Maldonado, Burnett, E. Smith, Graham, Reboyras, Suarez, Waguespack, Mell, Austin, Colon, Rice, Mitts, Allen, Laurino, O'Connor, Doherty, Reilly, Daley, Tunney, Levar, Shiller, Schuller, M. Smith, Moore, Stone - 50.

Nays -- None.

Alderman Pope moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost. The following is said ordinance as passed: WHEREAS, The City of Chicago ("City"), a home rule unit of government under Section 6(a), Article VII of the 1970 Constitution of the State of Illinois, is authorized under the provisions of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq., as amended from time to time (the "Act"), to finance projects that eradicate blighted conditions and conservation area factors through the use of tax increment allocation financing for redevelopment projects; and

WHEREAS, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City ("City Council") on July 21, 1999, and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago ("Journal") for said date at pages 8307 to 8344, inclusive (the "Program Ordinance"), the City implemented a redevelopment program known as the Small Business Improvement Fund program (the "Program") to provide financing assistance pursuant to the Act for the improvement of commercial and industrial facilities of small businesses in certain redevelopment project areas of the City; and

6/30/2010

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

94479

WHEREAS, By ordinances adopted by the City Council, the first on November 8, 2000, and published in the Journal for said date at pages 43877 to 43930, inclusive (the "First Amending Ordinance") and the most recently introduced on May 12, 2010 (the "Twenty-Sixth Amending Ordinance", collectively with the Program Ordinance, the First Amending Ordinance and the other amending ordinances, the "S.B.I.F. Ordinance"), the City has restated and refined the Program and extended its reach to additional redevelopment project areas of the City; and

WHEREAS, The City Council now desires to extend the Program to three Redevelopment Project Areas of the City ("New T.I.F./S.B.I.F. Areas"), which are identified on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein, and that are not already reached under the S.B.I.F. Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on May 1, 2002 and published in the Journal on said date at pages 83769 to 83781, inclusive ("Second Amending Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to fund the Program in the Belmont/Central Redevelopment Project Area ("Belmont/Central Area") in an amount not to exceed Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000); and

WHEREAS, As part of the Program, the Seven Hundred Thousand Dollar (\$700,000) funding authorized by the Second Amending Ordinance was substantially expended for the improvement of commercial and industrial facilities of small businesses in the Belmont/Central Area; and

WHEREAS, The Second Amending Ordinance further authorized D.C.D. to fund the Program in the Portage Park Redevelopment Project Area ("Portage Park Area") in an amount not to exceed Six Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$660,000); and

WHEREAS, As part of the Program, the Six Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollar (\$660,000) funding authorized by the Second Amending Ordinance was substantially expended for the improvement of commercial and industrial facilities of small businesses in the Portage Park Area; and

WHEREAS, The City Council, under the S.B.I.F. Ordinance, authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement with SomerCor 504, Inc., an Illinois not-for-profit corporation ("SomerCor"), and D.C.D. entered into such agreement on March 12, 2001, which agreement continues in full force and effect, as amended from time to time, pursuant to which SomerCor performs

certain administrative services for the Program, and D.C. D. now desires to further amend that agreement by entering into a twenty-seventh amending agreement (the "Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement") with SomerCor to (a) extend the Program into the New T.I.F./S.B.I.F. Areas; (b) increase the amount of grant funds available in the Belmont/Central Area by an additional Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) to a total, collective amount of One Million Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,200,000); (c) increase the amount of grant funds available in the Portage Park Area by an additional Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) to a total, collective amount of One Million One Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$1,160,000); and (d) authorize SomerCor to continue providing the same administrative services for the Program, which Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement is set forth in more detail on Exhibit B, attached hereto and incorporated herein; and

94480

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 6/30/2010

WHEREAS, The City's obligation to provide funds under the Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement may be met through (i) incremental taxes from the NewT.I.F./S.B.I.F. Areas, the Belmont/Central Area and the Portage Park Area, as applicable; or (ii) any other funds legally available to the City for this purpose; now, therefore,

Be It Ordained by the City Council of the City of Chicago:

SECTION 1, The above recitals are expressly incorporated in and made a part of this ordinance as though fully set forth herein.

SECTION 2. SomerCor is hereby authorized to administer the Program in the New T.I.F./S.B.I.F. Areas, subject to the supervision of D.C.D.

SECTION 3. The Commissioner (or Acting Commissioner) of D.C.D. or a designee of the Commissioner are each hereby authorized, with the approval of the City's Corporation Counsel as to legal form, to negotiate, execute and deliver the Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement between SomerCor and the City substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B and made a part hereof, and such other supporting documents as may be necessary to carry out and comply with the provisions of the Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement, with such changes, deletions and insertions as shall be approved by the persons executing the Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement.

SECTION 4. To the extent that any ordinance, resolution, rule, order or provision of the Municipal Code of Chicago, or part thereof, is in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance, the provisions of this ordinance shall control. All sections of the S.B.I.F. Ordinance in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity of such section, paragraph, clause or provision shall not affect any of the other provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall be effective as of the date of its passage.

Exhibits "A" and "B" referred to in this ordinance read as follows:

Exhibit "A". (To Ordinance)

Description Of New T.I.F./S.B.I.F. Areas.

The following New T.I. F./S.B.I.F. Areas were created pursuant to the ordinances listed below:

on February 5, 1998;- (1) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Approving a Redevelopment Plan for the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area"; (2) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Designating the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment

6/30/2010

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

94481

Project Area as a Redevelopment Project Area Pursuant to the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act"; and (3) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area"; and

on February 5, 1998: (1) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Approving a Redevelopment Plan for the Western/Ogden Redevelopment Project Area"; (2) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Designating the Western/Ogden Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment Project Area Pursuant to the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act"; and (3) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Western/Ogden Redevelopment Project Area"; and

on June 13, 2007: (1) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Approving, a Redevelopment Plan for the Little Village Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area"; (2) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Designating the Little Village Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment Project Area Pursuant to the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act"; and (3) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Little Village Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area".

Exhibit "B". (To Ordinance)

Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement To Administrative Services Agreement.

This Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement to Administrative Services Agreement (the "Agreement") is made this _ day of _ , 2010, by and between the City of

Chicago, a municipal corporation and home rule unit of local government existing under the 1970 Constitution of the State

of Illinois (the "City"), acting through its Department of Community Development ("D.C.D."), and SomerCor 504, Inc., an Illinois not-for-profit corporation ("SomerCor") whose office address is Two East Eighth Street, Chicago, Illinois 60605 and whose federal tax identification number is 36-3837330.

Whereas, The City is authorized under the provisions of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq., as amended from time to time (the "Act"), to finance projects that eradicate blighted conditions and conservation area factors through the use of tax increment allocation financing for redevelopment projects; and

Whereas, By ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City on July 21, 1999 and on November 8, 2000, and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said dates at pages- 8307 to 8344, inclusive, and pages 43877 -- 43930, inclusive, respectively (the "S.B.I.F. Ordinances"), the City implemented and amended a redevelopment program known as the Small Business Improvement Fund program (the

94482

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO

6/30/2010

"S.B.I.F. Program") to provide financing assistance pursuant to the Act for the improvement of commercial and industrial facilities of small businesses in certain redevelopment project areas ("T.I.F. Areas") in the City; and

Whereas, The City Council, under the S.B.I.F. Ordinances, authorized D.C.D. to enter into agreements with SomerCor, and D.C.D. and SomerCor entered into one agreement on September 22, 1999 ("First SomerCor Agreement") and another agreement on March 12, 2001 ("Second SomerCor Agreement"), to administer the S.B.I.F. Programs on behalf of the City; and

Whereas, In an ordinance adopted by the City Council on October 31, 2001 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 69965 through 70047, inclusive (the "Midwest T.I.F. Area Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement amending the Second SomerCor Agreement with SomerCor to enable SomerCor to provide S.B.I.F. Program administrative services in the Midwest T.I.F. Area (the "Midwest T.I.F. Area Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on May 1, 2002 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 83769 to 83781, inclusive ("Second Amending Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement amending the Second SomerCor Agreement with SomerCor to enable SomerCor to provide S.B.I.F. Program administrative services in the Portage Park, Western Avenue North, Western Avenue South, Fullerton/Milwaukee and Belmont/Central T.I.F. Areas (the "Second Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on December 4, 2002 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 100124 to 100135, inclusive ("Third Amending Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement amending the Second SomerCor Agreement with SomerCor to enable SomerCor to provide S.B.I.F. Program administrative services in the Madison/Austin Corridor and Humboldt Park Commercial T.I.F. Areas (the "Third Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on February 5, 2003 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 102793 to 102803, inclusive ("Fourth Amending Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement amending the Second SomerCor Agreement with SomerCor to enable SomerCor to provide S.B.I.F. Program administrative services in the Northwest Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area (the "Fourth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on July 9, 2003 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 3418 to 3424, inclusive ("Fifth Amending Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement amending the Second SomerCor Agreement with SomerCor which restated the S.B.I.F. Program rules (the "Fifth Amending Agreement"); and

6/30/2010

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

94483

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on November 3, 2004 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 34545 to 34554, inclusive ("Sixth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Lawrence/Kedzie Redevelopment Project Area of the City (the "Sixth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on September 14, 2005 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 54724 to 54740, inclusive ("Seventh Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the 63rd/Pulaski, Belmont/Cicero; Lawrence/Pulaski, Peterson/Pulaski, West Irving Park, Greater Southwest-West, Galewood/Armitage Industrial, Pilsen Industrial Corridor, 119th and Halsted, and Pulaski Corridor Redevelopment Project Areas of the City and increased the amount, of grant funds available in the Fullerton/Milwaukee Redevelopment Project Area and the Lawrence/Kedzie Redevelopment Project Area (the "Seventh Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on September 13, 2006 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 83420 to 83440, inclusive ("Eighth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to twenty-one (21) redevelopment project areas of the City (the "Eighth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on February 7, 2007 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 97661 to 97672, inclusive ("Ninth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the 63rd/Ashland and Devon/AA/estern Redevelopment Project Areas of the City (the "Ninth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on June 13, 2007 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 2395 to 2402, inclusive ("Tenth Amending Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement amending the Second SomerCor Agreement with SomerCor which restated the S.B.I.F. Program rules (the "Tenth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on October 31, 2007 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 10929 to 10942, inclusive ("Eleventh Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to certain redevelopment project areas of the City (the "Eleventh Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on June 11, 2008 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 28833 to 28843, inclusive ("Twelfth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Michigan/Cermak Redevelopment Project Area of the City (the "Twelfth Amending Agreement"); and

94484

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 6/30/2010

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on September 10, 2008 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 36267 to 36277, inclusive (Thirteenth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Irving/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area of the City (the "Thirteenth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on September 10, 2008 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 36704 to 36711, inclusive ("Fourteenth Amending Ordinance"), the City Council authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement amending the Second SomerCor Agreement with SomerCor which restated the S.B.I.F. Program rules (the "Fourteenth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on February 11, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 54738 to 54748, inclusive ("Fifteenth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Hollywood/Sheridan Redevelopment Project Area of the City (the "Fifteenth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on March 18, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 55750 to 55762, inclusive ("Sixteenth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S. B.I. F. Program to the Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area and 47th/Ashland Redevelopment Project Area of the City (the "Sixteenth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on April 22, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 57265 to 57276, inclusive ("Seventeenth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Lawrence/Broadway Redevelopment Project Area and Touhy/Western Redevelopment Project Area of the City (the "Seventeenth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on May 13, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 60322 to 60333, inclusive ("Eighteenth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Austin Commercial Redevelopment Project Area of the City (the "Eighteenth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on June 3, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 63080 to 63090, inclusive ("Nineteenth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. increased the amount of grant funds available in the Clark Street and Ridge Avenue Redevelopment Project Area by an additional One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) to a total, collective amount of One Million Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$1,750,000) (the "Nineteenth Amending Agreement"); and

6/30/2010

REPORTS-OF COMMITTEES

94485

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on June 30, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 64859 to 64871, inclusive ("Twentieth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Midway Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project

Area and increased the amount of grant funds available in the Peterson/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Area by an additional One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) to a total, collective amount of One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,500,000) (the "Twenty-Second Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on September 9, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 69297 to 69308, inclusive ("Twenty-First Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. increased the amount of grant funds available in the 35th/Halsted Redevelopment Project Area by an additional Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) to a total, collective amount of One Million Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$1,250,000) (the "Twenty-First Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on November 18, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 74011 to 74023, inclusive ("Twenty-Second Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the 95th Street and Stony Island Avenue, Avalon Park/South Shore, Harlem Industrial Park Conservation, and Western Avenue/Rock Island Redevelopment Project Areas of the City (the "Twenty-Second Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on December 2, 2009 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 79524 to 79546, inclusive ("Twenty-Third Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. restated the S.B.I.F. Program Rules, extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Avondale and Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Areas, and increased the amount of grant funds available in the Northwest Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area by an additional One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) to a total, collective amount of Two Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$2,500,000) (the "Twenty-Third Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on January 13, 2010 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 82434 to 82446, inclusive ("Twenty-Fourth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Addison South Redevelopment Project Area (the "Twenty-Fourth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on February 10, 2010 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages 83847 to 83861, inclusive ("Twenty-Fifth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the 47th/King Drive, 79th Street Corridor and Armitage/Pulaski Redevelopment Project Areas and increased the amount of grant funds available in the Madison/Austin Corridor and Western Avenue North Redevelopment Project Areas (the "Twenty-Fifth Amending Agreement"); and.

94486

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO

6/30/2010

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on __, 2010 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages __ to __, inclusive ("Twenty-Sixth Amending Ordinance"), D.C.D.

increased the amount of grant funds available in the Hollywood/Sheridan Redevelopment Project Area by an additional Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$750,000) to a total, collective amount of One Million One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$1,150,000) (the "Twenty-Sixth Amending Agreement"); and

Whereas, By an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City on __, 2010 and published in the Journal of the Proceedings of the City Council of the City of Chicago for said date at pages __ to __, inclusive ("Twenty-Seventh Amending Ordinance"),

D.C.D. extended the S.B.I.F. Program to the Roosevelt/Cicero, Western/Ogden and Little Village Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Areas of the City (the "New T.I.F./S.B.I.F. Areas"), as identified on (Sub)Exhibit 1 attached hereto and incorporated herein, and increased the amount of grant funds available in the Belmont/Central and Portage Park Redevelopment Project Areas; and

Whereas, The Twenty-Seventh Amending Ordinance authorized D.C.D. to enter into an agreement with SomerCor to provide S.B.I.F. Program administrative services in the New T.I.F./S.B.I.F. Areas, and D.C.D. and SomerCor now desire to enter into such an agreement, which will amend the Second SomerCor Agreement;

Now, Therefore, In consideration of the mutual promises and covenants set forth below, the Parties hereto agree as follows:

Article I. Incorporation And Recitals.

The recitals set forth above are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Article II.

Reaffirmation Of Representations, Warranties And Covenants.

SomerCor reaffirms each and every representation, warranty and covenant made in Article III of the Second SomerCor Agreement. SomerCor reaffirms that it has insurance in force that conforms to the requirements of Section 4.8 of the Second SomerCor Agreement.

6/30/2010

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

94487

Article III.

Amendments To Second Somercor Agreement.

The Second SomerCor Agreement, as amended, is further amended, as follows. Note that the letters previously used to label each subsection of (a) have been replaced with numbers..

(a) add the following text at the end of (Sub)Exhibit 2 thereof:
; and

75) on February 5, 1998: (1) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Approving a Redevelopment Plan for the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area"; (2) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Designating the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment Project Area Pursuant to the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act"; and (3) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area"; and

76) on February 5, 1998: (1) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Approving a Redevelopment Plan for the Western/Ogden Redevelopment Project Area"; (2) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Designating the Western/Ogden Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment Project Area Pursuant to the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act"; and (3) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Western/Ogden Redevelopment Project Area"; and

77) on June 13, 2007: (1) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Approving a Redevelopment Plan for the Little Village Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area"; (2) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Designating the Little Village Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area as a Redevelopment Project Area Pursuant to the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act"; and (3) "An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Little Village Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area."

(b) add the following text at the end of (Sub)Exhibit 5 thereof: Roosevelt/Cicero \$1,000,000 Western/Ogden \$1,000,000 Little Village Industrial Corridor \$1,000,000

(c) amend the text in (Sub)Exhibit 5 thereof increasing the maximum funds available for the Belmont/Central Redevelopment Project Area from Seven Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$700,000) to One Million Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,200,000); and

94488

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 6/30/2010

(d) amend the text in (Sub)Exhibit 5 thereof increasing the maximum funds available for the Portage Park Redevelopment Project Area from Six Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$660,000) to One Million One Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$1,160,000); and

(e) substitute the following for each occurrence of "Seventy-Two Million Seven Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$72,760,000)" in Section 4.2 thereof:

Seventy-Six Million Seven Hundred Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$76,760,000)

Article IV. *Obligation To Provide Documents.*

SomerCor shall execute and deliver to D.C.D. such documents as may be required by the Corporation Counsel of the City to evidence SomerCor's participation in the. Program, including, but not limited to, the City's current form of Economic Disclosure Statement and an opinion of counsel in substantially the form of (Sub)Exhibit 2 attached hereto and incorporated herein.

in Witness Whereof, The City and SomerCor have executed this Agreement as of the date first set forth above.

City of Chicago

By: _____
(Acting) Commissioner, Department of Community Development
SomerCor 504, Inc.

By: Its:

[Exhibit 1 referred to in this Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement with SomerCor 504, Inc. constitutes Exhibit "A" to ordinance and printed on pages 94480 and 94481 of this Journal.]

Exhibit 2 referred to in this Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement with SomerCor 504, Inc. reads as follows:
6/30/2010

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

94489

Exhibit 2.

(To Twenty-Seventh Amending Agreement With SomerCor 504, Inc.)

Form Of Counsel's Opinion.

, 2010.

City of Chicago

Department of Community Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

Re: Amending Agreement to Administrative Services Agreement (the "Agreement")

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have acted as counsel for SomerCor 504, Inc., an Illinois not-for-profit corporation ("SomerCor"), in connection with the execution and delivery of the Agreement by and between SomerCor and the City of Chicago, acting by and through its Department of Community Development (the "City"). SomerCor has requested that this opinion be furnished to the City. In so acting as counsel for SomerCor I have examined:

- (i) an executed original of the Agreement;
- (ii) the Articles of Incorporation, including all amendments thereto, of SomerCor as furnished and certified by the Secretary of State of the State of Illinois;
- (iii) the Bylaws of SomerCor, as certified by the Secretary of SomerCor as of the date hereof; and
- (iv) the Certificate of Good Standing dated __, issued by the Office of the Secretary of State of the State of Illinois, as to the good standing of SomerCor.

In my capacity as counsel, I have also examined such other documents or instruments as I have deemed relevant for the purposes of rendering the opinions hereinafter set forth.

I have also assumed, but have no reason to question, the legal capacity, authority and the genuineness of the signatures of and due and proper execution and delivery by the respective parties other than SomerCor which has made, executed or delivered or will make, execute and deliver-the agreements and documents examined by me.

94490

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 6/30/2010

I express no opinion as to (i) the laws of any state or jurisdiction other than the State of Illinois (and any political subdivisions thereof) and the United States of America; and (ii) any matters pertaining or relating to the securities laws of the United States of America, the State of Illinois or any other state.

Based upon and subject to the assumptions and qualifications herein stated, it is my opinion that:

1. SomerCor is a not-for-profit corporation, duly organized and validly existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, SomerCor has made all filings required by the laws of the State of Illinois in respect of its formation and continuing existence, and has all requisite authority to carry on its business and to execute and deliver, and to consummate the transactions contemplated by, the Agreement.
2. The Agreement has been duly executed and delivered on behalf of SomerCor, and constitutes a legal, valid and binding obligation of SomerCor, enforceable against SomerCor in accordance with its terms, except to the extent that enforcement of any such terms may be limited by: (a) applicable bankruptcy, reorganization, debt arrangement, insolvency or other similar laws generally affecting creditors' rights; or (b) judicial and public policy limitations upon the enforcement of certain remedies including those which a court of equity may in its discretion decline to enforce.
3. There is no action, suit or proceeding at law or in equity pending, nor to my knowledge threatened, against or affecting SomerCor, before any court or before any governmental or administrative agency, which if adversely determined could materially and adversely affect the ability of SomerCor to perform under the Agreement or any of its business or properties or financial or other conditions.
4. The transactions contemplated by the Agreement are governed by the laws of the State of Illinois.
5. The execution and delivery of the Agreement and the consummation of the transactions contemplated thereby will not constitute:
 - A. a violation or breach of (i) the Articles of Incorporation of SomerCor, (ii) the Bylaws of SomerCor, (iii) any provision of any contract or other instrument to which SomerCor is bound, or (iv) any order, writ, injunction, decree, statute, rule or regulation binding on SomerCor, or
 - B. a breach of any of the provisions of, or constitute a default under, or result in the creation or imposition of any lien or encumbrance upon any of the property of SomerCor pursuant to any agreement or other instrument to which SomerCor is a party or by which SomerCor is bound.
6. No action of, or filing with, any governmental or public body is required to authorize, or is otherwise required for the validity of, the execution, delivery and performance of any of the Agreement.

6/30/2010

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

94491

This opinion is furnished for your benefit and may be relied upon by you and any such other party in connection with the Agreement, but may not be delivered to or relied upon by any other person or entity without written consent from the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

CONVEYANCE OF CITY PROPERTY, WAIVER OF FEES AND EXECUTION OF LOAN AND REDEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS WITH SINAI COMMUNITY INSTITUTE INC. AND OGDEN NORTH, L.L.C.

[02010-3053]

The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:

CHICAGO, June 30, 2010.

To the President and Members of the City Council:

Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance authorizing entering into and executing a redevelopment agreement and a loan agreement with Ogden North, L.L.C. and Sinai Community Institute, Inc., amount of loan not to exceed: \$8,289,891, having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance transmitted herewith.

This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE,

Chairman.

ATTACHMENT K

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2010

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

CONTENTS

Page

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION 1-2

Management's discussion and analysis 3-5

Statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet 6 Statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance 7

Notes to financial statements 8-10

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of expenditures by statutory code 11

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

o'hare plaza 8745 west higgins road. suite 200 chicago, illinois 6063 i

AREA CODE 312 263.2700

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2010, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois, as of December 31, 2010, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 through 5 is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council

-2-

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by statutory code on page 11, which is also the responsibility of the City of Chicago's management, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois. Such additional information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Certified Public Accountants

June 10, 2011

3

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDFVFLOPMENT PROJECT
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED)

As management of the Roosevelt/Cicero Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project), we offer the readers of the Project's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the Project's financial performance for the year ended December 31, 2010. Please read it in conjunction with the Project's financial statements, which follow this section.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the Project's basic financial statements. The Project's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) governmental fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information concerning the Project's expenditures by statutory code.

Basic Financial Statements

The basic financial statements include two kinds of financial statements that present different views of the Project - the Government-Wide Financial Statements and the Governmental Fund Financial Statements. These financial statements also include the notes to the financial statements that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detail.

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements provide both long-term and short-term information about the Project's financial status and use accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the project's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Project's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets - the difference between the Project's assets and liabilities - is one way to measure the Project's financial health, or position.

Governmental Fund Financial Statements

The governmental fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Project's significant funds - not the Project as a whole. Governmental funds focus on: 1) how cash and other financial assets can readily be converted to cash flows and 2) the year-end balances that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine whether there are more financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Project. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom of the statements to explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

4
CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements follow the basic financial statements.

Other Supplementary Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents a schedule of expenditures by statutory code. This supplementary information follows the notes to the financial statements.

Condensed Comparative Financial Statements

The condensed comparative financial statements are presented on the following page. Analysis of Overall Financial Position and Results of Operations

Property tax revenue for the Project was \$1,975,879 for the year. This was a decrease of 33 percent over the prior year. The change in net assets produced an increase in net assets of \$1,692,375. The Project's net assets increased by 14 percent from the prior year making available \$11,958,052 (net of surplus distribution) of funding to be provided for purposes of future redevelopment in the Project's designated area. Expenses increased this year due to the Project's formulation of a redevelopment plan or necessary funding was substantially complete and available.

5
CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (UNAUDITED) (Concluded)

Government-Wide

2010	2009	Change	% Change
Total assets	\$14,086,208	\$12,312,471	\$ 1,773,737 14%
Total liabilities	128,156	46,794	81,362 174%
Total net assets	\$ 13,958,052	\$12,265,677	\$ 1,692,375 14%
Total revenues	\$ 1,986,229	\$ 2,949,339	\$ (963,110) -33%
Total expenses	293,854	98,604	195,250 198%
Changes in net assets	1,692,375	2,850,735	(1,158,360) -41%
Ending net assets	\$13,958,052	\$12,265,677	\$ 1,692,375 14%

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2010

ASSETS

Cash and investments
Property taxes receivable
Accrued interest receivable Total assets

LIABILITIES

Vouchers payable
Due to other City funds

Deferred revenue

Total liabilities

FUND BALANCE/NET ASSETS

Fund balance: Reserved for surplus distribution (Note 2) Designated for future redevelopment project costs

Total fund balance

Total liabilities and fund balance

Net assets: Restricted for surplus distribution (Note 2) Restricted for future redevelopment project costs

Total net assets

Governmental Fund

\$11,507,193 2,569,000 10,015

\$ 89,979 38,177

1,988,668 2,116,824

2,000,000

9,969,384 11,969,384 \$14,086,208

Adjustments

\$14,086,208 \$

(1,988,668) (1,988,668)

(2,000,000)

(9,969,384) (11,969,384)

2,000,000 11,958,052

Statement of

Net Assets \$ 11,507,193

2,569,000

10,015 \$ 14,086,208

89,979 38,177

128,156

2,000,000 11,958,052

\$ 13,958,052 \$13,958,052

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets are different because: Total fund balance - governmental fund

Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available.

Total net assets - governmental activities

\$11,969,384

1,988,668 \$13,958,052

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES AND GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES, EXPENDITURES

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

Revenues:

Property tax Interest

Total revenues

Expenditures/expenses:

Economic development projects

Excess of revenues over expenditures

Change in net assets

Fund balance/net assets: Beginning of year

End of year

Governmental Fund

\$ 2,538,718 10,350

2,549,068

293,854 2,255,214

9,714,170

Adjustments

Statement of Activities

\$ (562,839) \$ 1,975,879 10,350

(562,839)

(2,255,214) 1,692,375

2,551,507

1,986,229

293,854

1,692,375

12,265,677

\$ 11,969,384 \$ 1,988,668 \$ 13,958,052

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Net change in fund balance - governmental fund \$ 2,255,214

Property tax revenue is recognized in the period for which levied rather than when "available". A portion of the deferred property tax revenue is not available. (562,839)

Change in net assets - governmental activities

\$ 1,692,375

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CITY OF CHICAGO. ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

8

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Reporting Entity

In February 1998, the City of Chicago (City) established the Roosevelt/Cicero Tax Increment Redevelopment Project Area (Project). The area has been established to finance improvements, leverage private investment and create and retain jobs. The Project is accounted for within the special revenue funds of the City.

(b) Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The accompanying financial statements of the Project have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). In June 1999, the GASB unanimously approved Statement No. 34 (as amended by Statement No. 37), Basic Financial Statements - Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments and at a later date, Statement No. 38 Certain Financial Statements Disclosures, and include the following:

A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Project's overall financial position and results of operations.

Government-wide financial statements prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting for all the Project's activities. Fund financial statements, which focus on the Project's governmental funds current financial resources measurement focus.

(c) Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statements Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied.

The governmental fund financial statements are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting with only current assets and liabilities included on the balance sheet. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, i.e., both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Property taxes are susceptible to accrual and recognized as a receivable in the year levied. Revenue recognition is deferred unless the taxes are received within 60 days subsequent to year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The City has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the City's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

CITY OF CHICAGO ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

9

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Concluded)

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

(d) Assets, Liabilities and Net Assets Cash and Investments

Cash belonging to the City is generally deposited with the City Treasurer as required by the Municipal Code of Chicago. The City Comptroller issues warrants for authorized City expenditures which represent a claim for payment when presented to the City Treasurer. Payment for all City warrants clearing is made by checks drawn on the City's various operating bank accounts.

The City Treasurer and City Comptroller share responsibility for investing in authorized investments. Interest earned on pooled investments is allocated to participating funds based upon their average combined cash and investment balances.

The City values its investments at fair value or amortized cost. U.S. Government securities purchased at a price other than par with a maturity of less than one year are reported at amortized cost.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are not capitalized in the governmental fund but, instead, are charged as current expenditures when purchased. The Government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) of the City includes the capital assets and related depreciation, if any, of the Project in which ownership of the capital asset will remain with the City (i.e. infrastructure, or municipal building). All other construction will be expensed in both the government-wide financial statements and the governmental fund as the City nor Project will retain the right of ownership.

(e) Stewardship, Compliance and Accountability

Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act Compliance

The Project's expenditures include reimbursements for various eligible costs as described in subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Redevelopment Allocation Act and the Redevelopment Agreement relating specifically to the Project. Eligible

costs include but are not limited to survey, property assembly, rehabilitation, public infrastructure, financing and relocation costs.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements, if any, are made to the developer for project costs, as public improvements are completed and pass City inspection.

10

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Concluded)

Note 2 - Surplus Distribution

In December 2010, the City declared a surplus within the fund balance of the Project in the amount of \$2,000,000. In June 2011, the surplus funds were sent to the Cook County Treasurer's Office to be redistributed to the various taxing agencies.

Note 3 - Commitments

The City has pledged certain amounts solely from available excess incremental taxes to provide financial assistance to a developer under the terms of a redevelopment agreement for the purpose of paying costs of certain eligible redevelopment project costs.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

11

CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS ROOSEVELT/CICERO REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES BY STATUTORY CODE

Code Description

Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the redevelopment plan including but not limited to staff and professional service costs

for architectural, engineering, legal, marketing \$ 41,295

Costs of the construction of public works or improvements 53,870

Costs of job training and retraining projects 198,689

\$293,854

ATTACHMENT L

Bansley and Kiener, L.L.P.

Certified Public Accountants

Established 1922

O'HARE PLAZA 8745. WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 200 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60631 312.263.2700 FAX 312.263.6935

WWW.BK-cpa.com <<http://WWW.BK-cpa.com>>

The Honorable Rahm Emanuel, Mayor Members of the City Council City of Chicago, Illinois

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the statement of net assets and governmental fund balance sheet of Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois as of December 31, 2010, and the related statement of activities and governmental fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated June 10, 2011.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the Project failed to comply with the regulatory provisions in Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act and Subsection (o) of Section 11-74.6-10 of the Illinois Industrial Jobs Recovery Law as they relate to the eligibility for costs incurred incidental to the implementation of the Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project of the City of Chicago, Illinois.

This report is intended for the information of the City of Chicago's management. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Certified Public Accountants

June 10, 2011

Members: American Institute of CPA's • Illinois CPA Society An Independent Firm Associated with Moore Stephens

ATTACHMENT M

INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS FY 2010

A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2010 to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those Intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]

Name of Agreement	Description of Agreement	Amount Transferred	Amount Received
		Out	

None

FY 2010

TIF Name: Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area

Roosevelt/Cicero Redevelopment Project Area 2010 Annual Report