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OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
city of chicago
JUN 302011
RAHM EMANUEL MAYOR
June 22, 2011
BY:
COMPTROLLER L.G.A.D.
Hand-delivered June M. Canello
TIF Administrator, Local Government Office of the Illinois Comptroller 100 West Randolph - Suite 15500 Chicago, Illinois 60601
Re: Notice of the Designation of a City of Chicago TIF Administrator for Purposes of Filing the 2010 Tax Increment and Industrial Jobs Recovery Annual Reports for the City of Chicago (the "City")
Dear Ms. Canello:
This letter is intended to meet the requirements of your office as set forth in the "TIF Administrator Contact Information" section of your office's 'Tax Increment Finance Report Instructions" for fiscal year 2010.
Please be advised that the City's Department of Housing and Economic Development (the
"Department"), which is the successor department to the prior Department of Community Development, has the primary responsibility for preparing and filing the Tax Increment and Industrial Jobs Recovery annual reports with the Illinois Comptroller as required by 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4 et seq. and 65 ILCS 5/1174.6 et seq. The administrative head of the Department, and thus the TIF Administrator for the City, is the Commissioner of the Department of Housing and Economic Development (the "Commissioner"). Andrew J. Mooney has been the Commissioner of the Department since February 9,2011 and remains in that position to the present day. He is the TIF Administrator for the City for • purposes of filing the City's 2010 Tax Increment and Industrial Jobs Recovery Annual Reports.
Sincerely,

Mayor

## 2010 Annual Report <br> West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area

## Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/ll-74.4-5(d)

## June 30,2011

## COM PTBOLUER <br> ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago
County:Cook
UnitCode: 016/620/30
Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010 Fiscal Year End: 12/ 3172010
First Name: Andrew J.
Address: City Hall 121 N. LaSalle
Telephone: (312)744-0025
E-Mail: TIFReports@cityofchicago.org [mailto:TIFReports@cityofchicago.org](mailto:TIFReports@cityofchicago.org)
Last Name:'Mooney Title: TIF Administrator City: Chicago, IL
Zip: 60602
I attest to the best of hay knowledge, this report of the redevelopment project areas.in:
City/Village of Chicago is complete and accurate at the end of this reporting
„. Fiscal year under the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et, seq.] ■ Or the industrial Jobs Recovery
Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]
Written signature

## J*f /--)

of TIF Aamlnisjfrator
Date
Section 1 (65 iLCS 5/11-74.4-5, (d) (1.5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)*)

| FILL OUT OfJF FOR EACH I IF DI >TFICT |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of Redevelopment Project Area | Date Designated | Date Terminated |
| 105th/Vincennes | $10 / 3 / 2001$ | $12 / 31 / 2025$ |
| 111th Street/Kedzie Avenue Business District | $9 / 29 / 1999$ | $9 / 29 / 2022$ |
| 119th and Halsted | $2 / 6 / 2002$ | $12 / 31 / 2026$ |
| 119th/l-57 | $11 / 6 / 2002$ | $12 / 31 / 2026$ |
| 126th and Torrence | $12 / 21 / 1994$ | $12 / 21 / 2017$ |
| 134th and Avenue K | $3 / 12 / 2008$ | $3 / 12 / 2032$ |
| 24th/Michigan | $7 / 21 / 1999$ | $7 / 21 / 2022$ |
| 26th and King Drive | $1 / 11 / 2006$ | $12 / 31 / 2030$ |
| 35th and Wallace | $12 / 15 / 1999$ | $12 / 31 / 2023$ |
| 35th/Halsted | $1 / 14 / 1997$ | $12 / 31 / 2021$ |
| 35th/State | $1 / 14 / 2004$ | $12 / 31 / 2028$ |
| 40th/State | $3 / 10 / 2004$ | $12 / 31 / 2028$ |
| 43rd/Cottage Grove | $7 / 8 / 1998$ | $7 / 8 / 2021$ |
| 45th/Western Industrial Park Conservation Area | $3 / 27 / 2002$ | $12 / 31 / 2026$ |
| 47th/Ashland | $3 / 27 / 2002$ | $12 / 31 / 2026$ |
| 47th/Halsted | $5 / 29 / 2002$ | $12 / 31 / 2026$ |
| 47th/King Drive | $3 / 27 / 2002$ | $12 / 31 / 2026$ |
| 47th/State | $7 / 21 / 2004$ | $12 / 31 / 2028$ |
| 49th Street/St. Lawrence Avenue | $1 / 10 / 1996$ | $12 / 31 / 2020$ |
| 51st/ Archer | $5 / 17 / 2000$ | $12 / 31 / 2024$ |
| 53rd Street | $1 / 10 / 2001$ | $12 / 31 / 2025$ |
| 60th and Western | $5 / 9 / 1996$ | $1 / 2019$ |
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## ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA

Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010
County:Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31/2010
UnitCode
63rd/Ashlan
63rd/Pulas
67th/Clcer

69th/Ashland
71st and Stony Isl
72nd and Cicero
73rd and Kedzie
73rd/Universlty
79th and Cicero
79th Street Corridor
79th Street/Southwest Highway
79thA/incennes
83rd/Stewart
87th/Cottage Grove
89th and State
95th and Western
95th Street and Stony Island
Addison Corridor North
Addison South
Archer Courts
Archer/ Central
Archer/Western
Armitage/Pulaski
Austin/Commercial
Avalon Park/South Shore

## Avondale

Belmont/ Central
Belmont/Cicero
Bronzeville
Bryn Mawr/Broadway
Calumet Avenue/Cermak Road
Calumet River
Canal/Congress
Central West
Chatham-Ridge
Chicago/ Kingsbury
Chicago/Central Park
Chicago Lakeside Development - Phase 1 (USX)
Chinatown Basin
Cicero/Archer
Clark Street and Ridge Avenue
Clark/Montrose
Commercial Avenue

File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1
(1)This TIF has been terminated; however, the sales tax portion continues to exist for the sole purpose of servicing outstanding obligations which may be retired early at which point the sales tax portion will also terminate.
ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010 County:Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31/2010
UnitCode: 016/620/30
Devon/Western
Diversey/ Narragansett
Division/Homan
Division/North Branch
Division-Hooker
Drexel Boulevard
Eastman/North Branch
Edgewater
Edgewater/ Ashland
Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor
Englewood Mall
Englewood Neighborhood
Ewing Avenue
Forty-first Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive
Fuilerton/ Milwaukee
Galewood/Armitage Industrial
Goose Island
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (East)
Greater Southwest Industrial Corridor (West)
Harlem Industrial Park Conservation Area
Harrison/Central
Hollywood/Sheridan
Homan/Grand Trunk
Homan-Arthington
Howard-Paulina
Humboldt Park Commercial
Irving Park/Elston
Irving/Cicero
Jefferson Park Business District
Jefferson/ Roosevelt
Kennedy/Kimball
Kinzie Industrial Corridor
Kostner Avenue
Lake Calumet Area Industrial
Lakefront
Lakeside/Clarendon
LaSalle Central
Lawrence/ Kedzie
Lawrence/Broadway
Lawrence/Pulaski
Lincoln Avenue

| 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2/5/2003 | 12/31/2027 |
| 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 3/15/1991 | 3/15/2014 |
| 7/10/1996 | 7/10/2019 |
| 7/10/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 10/7/1993 | 10/7/2016 |
| 12/18/1986 | 12/18/2009 |
| 10/1/2003 | 12/31/2027 |
| 7/19/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| 11/29/1989 | 11/29/2012 |
| 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 3/10/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| 7/13/1994 | 7/13/2017 |
| 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 7/7/1999 | 7/7/2022 |
| 7/10/1996 | 7/10/2019 |
| 3/10/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 3/14/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| 7/26/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 11/7/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| 12/15/1993 | 12/15/2016 |
| 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| 10/14/1988 | 10/14/2011 |
| 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 5/13/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| 6/10/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 3/12/2008 | 3/12/2032 |
| 6/10/1998 | 6/10/2021 |
| 11/5/2008 | 11/5/2032 |
| 12/13/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 3/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 7/21/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| 11/15/2006 | 12/31/2030 |
| 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| 6/27/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| 2/27/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
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| Lincoln-Belmont-Ashland | 11/2/1994 | 11/2/2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little Village East | 4/22/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| Little Village Industrial Corridor | 6/13/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA |  |  |
| Name of Municipality: Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010 |  |  |
| CountyCook Fiscal Year End: 12/31/2010 |  |  |
| UnitCode: 016/620/30 |  |  |
| Madden/Wells | 11/6/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Madison/Austin Corridor | 9/29/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Michigan/Cermak | 9/13/1989 | 9/13/2012 |
| Midway Industrial Corridor | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Midwest | 5/17/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Montclare | 8/30/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Montrose/Clarendon | 6/30/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Near North | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| Near South | 11/28/1990 | 12/31/2014 |
| Near West | 3/23/1989 | 12/31/2013 |
| North Branch (North) | 7/2/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| North Branch (South) | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| North Pullman | 6/30/2009 | 12/31/2033 |
| North-Cicero | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| Northwest Industrial Corridor | 12/2/1998 | 12/2/2021 |
| Ogden/Pulaski | 4/9/2008 | 4/9/2032 |
| Ohio/Wabash | 6/7/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| PershIng/KIng | 9/5/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Peterson/ Cicero | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Peterson/ Pulaski | 2/16/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| Pilsen Industrial Corridor | 6/10/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Portage Park | 9/9/1998 | 9/9/2021 |
| Pratt/Ridge Industrial Park Conservation Area | 6/23/2004 | 12/31/2028 |
| Pulaski Corridor | 6/9/1999 | 6/9/2022 |
| Randolph and Wells | 6/9/2010 | 12/31/2034 |
| Ravenswood Corridor | 3/9/2005 | 12/31/2029 |
| Read-Dunning | 1/11/1991 | 12/31/2015 |
| River South | 7/30/1997 | 7/30/2020 |
| River West | 1/10/2001 | 12/31/2025 |
| Roosevelt/Canal | 3/19/1997 | 12/31/2021 |
| Roosevelt/Cicero | 2/5/1998 | 2/5/2021 |
| Roosevelt/Racine | 11/4/1998 | 12/31/2022 |
| Roosevelt/Union | 5/12/1999 | 5/12/2022 |
| Roosevelt-Homan | 12/5/1990 | 12/5/2013 |
| Roseland/Michigan | 1/16/2002 | 12/31/2026 |
| Sanitary Drainage and Ship Canal | 7/24/1991 | 7/24/2014 |
| South Chicago | 4/12/2000 | 12/31/2024 |
| South Works Industrial | 11/3/1999 | 12/31/2023 |
| Stevenson/Brighton | 4/11/2007 | 12/31/2031 |
| Stockyards Annex | 12/11/1996 | 12/31/2020 |
| Stockyards Industrial Commercial | 3/9/1989 | 3/9/2012 |
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| Stockyards Southeast Quadrant Industrial | $2 / 26 / 1992$ | $2 / 26 / 2015$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stony Island Avenue Commercial and Burnside Industrial Corridors | $6 / 10 / 1998$ | $6 / 10 / 2021$ |
| Touhy/Westem | $9 / 13 / 2006$ | $12 / 31 / 2030$ |

## C QMP.TROLLER

COMPIROLER
ANAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT OFFICE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER JUDY BAAR TOPINKA
Name of Municipality; Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010 Name of Municipality; Chicago Reporting Fiscal Year: 2010 County:Cook Fiscal Year End: 12/31/2010 UnitCode: 016/620/30

| Weed/Fremont | $1 / 8 / 2008$ | $1 / 8 / 2032$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West Grand | $6 / 10 / 1996$ | $6 / 10 / 2019$ |
| West Irving Park | $1 / 12 / 2000$ | $12 / 31 / 2024$ |
| West Pullman Industrial Park | $3 / 11 / 1998$ | $3 / 11 / 2021$ |
| West Ridge-Peterson Avenue | $10 / 27 / 1986$ | $12 / 31 / 2010$ |
| West Woodlawn | $5 / 12 / 2010$ | $12 / 31 / 2034$ |
| Western Avenue North | $1 / 12 / 2000$ | $12 / 31 / 2024$ |
| Western Avenue Rock Island | $2 / 8 / 2006$ | $12 / 31 / 2024$ |
| Western Avenue South | $1 / 12 / 2000$ | $12 / 31 / 2030$ |
| Western/Ogden | $2 / 5 / 1998$ | $2 / 5 / 2021$ |
| Wilson Yard | $6 / 27 / 2001$ | $12 / 31 / 2025$ |
| Woodlawn | $1 / 20 / 1999$ | $1 / 20 / 2022$ |

## COMPTROLLER

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 5 must be completed for each redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.]
Name of Redevelopment Project Area: West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area
Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Combination/Mixed_"
If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types: Commercial/Residential
Under which section ofthe Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one): Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act X_Industrial Jobs Recovery Law _

Were there any amendments to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the
State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)] If ves, please enclose the amendment labeled Attachment A
Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of the requirements ofthe Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11mm $x$ 74.622 (d) (3)] Please enclose the CEO Certification labeled Attachment B

Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and X 5/11-74.6-22 (d)(4)] Please enclose the Leqal Counsel Opinion labeled Attachment C
Were there any activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, X including any project implemented in the preceding fiscal year and a description of the activities undertaken? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A and B)] If ves, please enclose the Activities Statement labeled Attachment D
Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (C)] If ves, please enclose the Aqreement(s) labeled Attachment E
Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the municipality to achieve the objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d)(7) (D)] If ves, please enclose the Additional Information labeled Attachment F
Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have $\mathbf{X}$ received or are receiving payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (E)] If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description ofthe contract(s) labeled Attachment G
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Were there any reports or meeting minutes submitted to the municipality by the joint review board? [65
ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (F)] If ves, please enclose the Joint Review
Board Report labeled Attachment H
Were any obligations issued by municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) X (8) (A)] If yes, please enclose the Official Statement labeled Attachment I

Was analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter setting forth the nature and term of $\quad$ X obligation and projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)] If yes, please enclose the Analysis labeled

## Attachment J

Cumulatively, have deposits equal or greater than $\$ 100,000$ been made into the special tax allocation $\mathbf{X}$ fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2) If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund labeled Attachment K
Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental revenue equal to or greater than $\$ 100,000$ been made $X$ into the special tax allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)] If yes,
please enclose a certified letter statement reviewing compliance with the Act labeled Attachment L
A list ot all intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2010, to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)] If yes, please enclose list only ofthe intergovernmental agreements labeled Attachment $\mathbf{M}$

* Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed. FY 2010 Section 2
SECTION 3.1-(65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)) Provide an analysis ofthe special tax allocation fund.
|Reporting Year | Cumulative *|
Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period Revenue/Cash Receipts Deposited in Fund During Reporting FY: \% of Total
Property Tax Increment 0\%

State Sales Tax Increment $\quad \mathbf{0 \%}$
Local Sales Tax Increment $\quad \mathbf{0 \%}$
State Utility Tax Increment 0\%
Local Utility Tax Increment $\quad \mathbf{0 \%}$
Interest 0\%
Land/Building Sale Proceeds $\quad \mathbf{0 \%}$
Bond Proceeds $\quad \mathbf{0 \%}$
Transfers in from Municipal Sources 0\%
Private Sources 0\%
Other (identify source ; if 0\%
Total Amount Deposited In Special Tax Allocation Fund During Reporting Period
Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts
Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2)
Transfers out to Municipal Sources (Porting out)
Distribution of Surplus
Total Expenditures/Disbursements
NET INCOME/CASH RECEIPTS OVER/(UNDER) CASH DISBURSEMENTS FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD
LX
0\%

- if there is a positive fund balance at the end ofthe reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3
* Except as set forth in the next sentence, each amount reported on the rows below, if any, is cumulative from the inception of the respective Project Area. Cumulative figures for the categories of 'Interest,' 'Land/Building Sale Proceeds' and 'Other' may not be fully available for this report due to either of the following: (i) the disposal of certain older records pursuant to the City's records retention policy, or (ii) the availability of records only from January 1,1997 forward.
FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevlopment Proiect Area
SECTION 3.2 A- (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5))
ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND
(by category of permissible redevelopment cost, amounts expended during reporting period)
FOR AMOUNTS > $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0 ~ S E C T I O N ~ 3 . 2 ~ B ~ M U S T ~ B E ~ C O M P L E T E D ~}$
Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 (o)]
Reporting Fiscal Year

1. Costs of studies, administration and professional services-Subsections (q)(1) and (o) (1) ${ }^{\mathrm{i} \text {."it, }, ~} \mathrm{i}^{*} \quad$ ¥l $V^{*}$ it $W$ t/ tf $i$
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| 1 f |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 11 |
|  | 11 |

\$
2. Cost of marketing sites-Subsections (q)(1.6) and (o)(1.6)
3. Property assembly, demolition, site preparation and environmental site improvement costs.
Subsection (q)(2), (o)(2) and (o)(3)


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 i-, |
|  |  | *! |
|  |  | \$ |
| 4. Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling and replacement of existing public buildings. Subsection (q)(3) and (o)(4) | $m m m m m m s$ | P\#\|>l|fli\#«Mf« |
|  |  | IF *f ^ $\mathrm{T}^{\mathbf{u}} \mathrm{Vi}$ |
|  |  | < |

, > $\wedge \sim$ "
\$
5. Costs of construction of public works and improvements. Subsection (q)(4) and (o)(5)
$t \quad \sim 1$
)f> !<■ ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$-r~J ** ${ }^{*}$ ■ -iff
\$
6. Costs of removing contaminants required by environmental laws or rules (o)(6) - Industrial Jobs Recovery TIFs ONLY

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { t>; fi'1- } \\
& \text { N i, ~r } \\
& >\text { " } \\
& \$
\end{aligned}
$$

FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevelopment Proiect Area
7. Cost of job training and retraining, including "welfare to work" programs Subsection (q)(5), (o)(7) and (o)(12)
, ti i; $\mathrm{r}^{*} \sim, \ldots$, s* $^{*} \quad$ v.. $i \mathrm{j}$
V if
«. " 14*
\$
8. Financing costs. Subsection (q) (6) and (o)(8)

UllHliiilllgliiSiil
\$
9. Approved capital costs. Subsection (q)(7) and (o)(9)
$!£<\mathrm{f}$ »•■!• ^-, "1
mmmmmmmm
mm
mmmmmmm
m
mтmтmmmm
m
ттттттт.
тттттттт
m
mmmmmmmm
mm
mmmmmmm
m
\$
10. Cost of Reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing projects. Subsection (q)(7.5) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY
11. Relocation costs. Subsection (q)(8) and (o)(10)
12. Payments in lieu of taxes. Subsection (q)(9) and (o)(11)
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15. Costs of construction of new housing units for low income and very low-income households Subsection (q)(11)(F) - Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY
i
\$
16. Cost of day care services and operational costs of day care centers. Subsection (q) (11.5) - $\quad>*>T_{t}$ Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment TIFs ONLY

[TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES II\$
FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevelopment Proiect Area
Section 3.2 B
List all vendors, Including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.
X - There were no vendors, Including other municipal funds, paid in excess of
$\$ 10,000$ during the current reporting period.
FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevlopment Proiect Area
SECTION 3.3 - ( 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) 65 ILCS 11-74.6-22 (d) (5))
Breakdown ofthe Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End ofthe Reporting Period (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4
-5 (d) (5) (D) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5) (D))
FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD
Amount of Original Issuance
Amount Designated
Reserved for debt service

## Total Amount Designated for Obligations

Designated for future redevelopment project costs
\$
\$
\$

## Total Amount Designated for Project Costs <br> TOTAL AMOUNT DESIGNATED SURPLUS*/(DEFICIT)

\$ \$
*NOTE: If a surplus is calculated, the municipality may be required to repay the amount to overlapping taxing districts.
FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevelopment Proiect Area
SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]
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Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

## Property Acquired by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area

Property (1):
Street address: 6714 S. Rhodes Aveune
Approximate size or description of property: 3,100 square ft.
Purchase price:
Seller of property: N/A
FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevelopment Proiect Area
SECTION 5-65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (G) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (G)
Please include a brief description of each project.
X No Projects Were Undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area
FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevelopment Proiect Area
ATTACHMENT A
11/17/2010 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES 107323
AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO TAX INCREMENT FINANCING REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR WEST WOODLAWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.
[02010-6155]
The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:
CHICAGO, November 17, 2010.
To the President and Members ofthe City Council:
Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance authorizing the approval of an amendment to the West Woodlawn Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Plan and Project, having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance transmitted herewith. This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.
Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE,
Chairman.
On motion of Alderman Burke, the said proposed ordinance transmitted with the foregoing committee report was Passed by yeas and nays as follows:
Yeas -- Aldermen Moreno, Fioretti, Dowell, Preckwinkle, Hairston, Lyle, Jackson, Harris, Beale, Pope, Balcer, Cardenas, Olivo, Burke, Foulkes, Thompson, Thomas, Lane, Rugai, Cochran, Brookins, Mufioz, Zaiewski, Dixon, Soils, Maldonado, Burnett, E. Smith, Graham, Reboyras, Suarez, Waguespack, Mell, Austin, Colon, Rice, Mitts, Allen, Laurino, O'Connor, Doherty, Reilly, Daley, Tunney, Levar, Shiller, Schulter, M. Smith, Moore, Stone - 50.
Alays - None.
Alderman Pope moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost. The following is said ordinance as passed: WHEREAS, The City of Chicago (the "City"), by an ordinance adopted by the City Council ofthe City (the "City Council") on May 12, 2010, approved a redevelopment plan and project (the "Original Plan") for a portion of the City known as the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area (the "Original Redevelopment Project Area") for the purpose of implementing tax increment allocation financing ("Tax Increment Allocation Financing") pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et. seq., as amended (the "Act"); and
WHEREAS, The City Council adopted an ordinance on May 12, 2010 designating the Ohginal Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act; and
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WHEREAS, The City Council adopted an ordinance on May 12, 2010 adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Original Redevelopment Project Area pursuant to the Act; and
WHEREAS, It is desirable and in the best interests of the citizens of the City for the City to encourage development of areas located adjacent to the Original Redevelopment Project Area by adding a certain additional parcel (the "Added
Area") to the Original Redevelopment Project Area and designating such expanded project area as a redevelopment project area under the Act to be known as the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area (the "Expanded Area"); andWHEREAS, The City desires further to supplement and amend the Original Plan for the Original Redevelopment Project Area to provide for the redevelopment of the Expanded Area; and
WHEREAS, The City has caused to be prepared an eligibility study entitled "West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan and Project Amendment Number 1" and dated July 1, 2010 (the "Eligibility Study") of the Added Area, which Eligibility Study confirms the existence within the Added Area of various blighting factors as set forth in the
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Act and supports a finding of eligibility of the Added Area for designation as a blighted area under the Act; and WHEREAS, The Original Plan, togetherwith the Eligibility Study (collectively, the 'Amended Plan"), provides for the Expanded Area; and
WHEREAS, A copy of the Amended Plan is attached hereto as Exhibit A; and
WHEREAS, It is desirable and in the best interest of the citizens of the City for the City to implement Tax Increment Allocation Financing pursuant to the Act for the Expanded Area described in Section 2 of this ordinance, to be redeveloped pursuant to the Amended Plan; and
WHEREAS, The Community Development Commission (the "Commission") of the City has heretofore been appointed by the Mayor of the City with the approval of its City Council (collectively, the City Council and the Mayor are referred to herein as the "Corporate Authorities") (as codified in Section 2-124 of the City's Municipal Code) pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4(k) of the Act; and

WHEREAS, The Commission is empowered by the Corporate Authorities to exercise certain powers enumerated in Section $5 / 11-74.4-4(\mathrm{k})$ of the Act, including the holding of certain public hearings required by the Act; and
WHEREAS, By authority of the Corporate Authorities in accordance with' Section 5/11-74.4-4.2 ofthe Act and pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) ofthe Act, the City's Department of Community Development established an interested parties registry and, on December 14, 2009, published in the Chicago Sun-Times or Chicago Tribune a notice that interested persons may register in order to receive information on the proposed designation ofthe Original Redevelopment Project Area or the approval ofthe Original Plan; and
WHEREAS, Notice of a public meeting (the "Public Meeting") was made pursuant to notices from the City's
Commissioner of the Department of Community Development, given on dates not less than 15 days before the date of the Public Meeting: (i) on June 9, 2010 by certified
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mail to all taxing districts having real property in the proposed Expanded Area and to all entities requesting that information that have taken the steps necessary to register to be included on the interested parties registry for the proposed Expanded Area in accordance -with Section 5/11-74.4-4.2 ofthe Act, and (ii) with a good faith effort, on June 11, 2010 by regular mail to all residents and the last known persons who paid property taxes on real estate in the proposed Expanded Area (which good faith effort was satisfied by such notice being mailed to each residential address and the person or persons in whose name property taxes were paid on real property for the last preceding year located in the proposed Expanded Area), which to the extent necessary to effectively communicate such notice, was given in English and in other languages; and WHEREAS, The Public Meeting was held in compliance with the requirements of Section 5/11-74.4-6(e) ofthe Act on June 28,2010 at 6:00 P.M. at Dulles Elementary School, 6311 South Calumet Avenue, Chicago, Illinois; and
WHEREAS, The Amended Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study) was made available for public inspection and review pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act since July 2, 2010, being a date not less than 10 days before the meeting of the Commission at which the Commission adopted Resolution 10-CDC-46 on July 13, 2010, accepting the Amended Plan for review and fixing the time and place for a public hearing ("Hearing"), at the offices of the City Clerk, and the City's Department of Community Development; and
WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act, notice ofthe availability of the Amended Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study) was sent by mail on $\cdot$ July 30,2010 , which is within a reasonable time after the adoption by the Commission of Resolution 10-CDC-46 to: (a) all residential addresses that, after a good faith effort, were determined to be (i) located within the Expanded Area and (ii) located within 750 feet of the boundaries ofthe Expanded Area (or, if applicable, were determined to be the 750 residential addresses that were closest to the boundaries of the Expanded Area); and (b) organizations and residents that were registered interested parties for such Expanded Area; and
WHEREAS, Due notice of the Hearing was given pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-6 of the Act, said notice being given to all taxing districts having property within the Expanded Area and to the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity of the State of Illinois by certified mail on July 16, 2010, by publication in the C/i/'cago Sun-Times or $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{j} /$ cago Tribune on August 21, 2010 and August 28, 2010, by certified mail to taxpayers within the Expanded Area on August 31, 2010; and
WHEREAS, A meeting of the joint review board established pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(b) of the Act (the
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"Board") was convened upon the provision of due notice on August 6, 2010 at 10:00 A.M., to review the matters properly coming before the Board and to allow it to provide its advisory recommendation regarding the approval of the Amended Plan, designation of the Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Expanded Area, and other matters, if any, properly before it; and
WHEREAS, Pursuant to Sections 5/11-74,4-4 and 5/11.-74.4-5 of the Act, the Commission held the Hearing concerning approval of the Amended Plan, designation of the Expanded
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Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Expanded Area pursuant to the Act on September 14, 2010; and
WHEREAS, The Commission has forwarded to the City Council a copy of its Resolution 10-CDC-52 attached hereto as Exhibit B, adopted on September 14, 2010, recommending to the City Council approval of the Amended Plan, among other related matters; and
WHEREAS, The Corporate Authorities have reviewed the Amended Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study), testimony from the Public Meeting and the Hearing, if any, the recommendation of the Board, if any, the recommendation ofthe Commission and such other matters or studies as the Corporate Authorities have deemed necessary or appropriate to make the findings set forth herein, and are generally informed of the conditions existing in the Expanded Area; now, therefore,
Be /f Orda/ned by the City Council ofthe City of Chicago:
SECTION 1. Recitals. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
SECTION 2. The Expanded Area. The Expanded Area is legally described in Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated herein. The street location (as near as practicable) for the Expanded Area is described in Exhibit D attached hereto and incorporated herein. The map of the Expanded Area is depicted on Exhibit E attached hereto and incorporated herein.
SECTION 3. Findings. The Corporate Authorities hereby make the following findings as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(n) of the Act:
a. The Expanded Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be expected to be developed without the adoption of the Amended Plan;
b. The Amended Plan:
(i) conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the City as a whole; or
(ii) either (A) conforms to the strategic economic development or redevelopment plan issued by the Chicago Plan Commission or (B) includes land uses that have been approved by the Chicago Plan Commission; c. The Amended Plan meets all ofthe requirements of a redevelopment plan as defined in the Act and, as set forth in the Amended Plan, the estimated date of completion of the projects described therein and retirement of all obligations issued to finance redevelopment project costs is not later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section 11-74.4-8 of the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year after the year in which the ordinance approving the Original Redevelopment Project Area was adopted, and, as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-7 of the Act, no such obligation shall have a maturity date greater than 20 years; 11/17/2010
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d. Within the Amended Plan, if applicable:
(i) as provided in Section 5/11-74.4-3(n)(5) of the Act, the housing impact study: a) includes data on residential unit type, room type, unit occupancy, and racial and ethnic composition of the residents; and b) identifies the number and location of inhabited residential units in the Expanded Area that are to be or may be removed, if any, the City's plans for relocation assistance for those residents in the Expanded Area whose residences are to be removed, the availability of replacement housing for such residents and the type, location, and cost of the replacement housing, and the type and extent of relocation assistance to be provided;
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(ii) as provided in Section $5 / 11-74.4-3(\mathrm{n})(7)$ of the Act, there is a statement that households of low-income and very low-income persons living in residential units that are to be removed from the Expanded Area shall be provided affordable housing and relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations under that Act, including the eligibility criteria.
SECTION 4. Approval Of The Amended Plan. The City hereby approves the Amended Plan pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4 of the Act.
SECTION 5. Powers Of Eminent Domain. In compliance with Section 5/11-74.4-4(c) of the Act and with the Amended Plan, the Corporation Counsel is authorized to negotiate for the acquisition by the City of parcels contained within the Expanded Area. In the event the Corporation Counsel is unable to acquire any of said parcels through negotiation, the Corporation Counsel is authorized to institute eminent domain proceedings to acquire such parcels. Nothing herein shall be in derogation of any proper authority.
SECTION 6. Invalidity Of Any Section. If any provision of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this ordinance.
SECTION 7. Supersedes All ordinances, resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.
SECTION 8. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage.
[Exhibit "E" referred to in this ordinance printed on page 107435 of this Journal.]
Exhibits "A", "B", "C" and "D" referred to in ordinance read as follows:
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Exhibit "A". (To Ordinance)
West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance District Eligibility, Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project

## December 28, 2009 Revised: March 8, 2010. /. Executive Summary

In April 2009, S. B. Friedman \& Company was engaged to conduct a Tax Increment Financing Eligibility Study (the "Eligibility Study") for the proposed West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area (the "West Woodlawn RPA" or "RPA"). This report details the eligibility factors found within the proposed West Woodlawn RPA in support of its designation as a "conservation area" within the definitions set forth in the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation
Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74,4-1 et seq.j as amended (the "Act"), and thus in support of its designation as the West Woodlawn RPA. In addition, since the Eligibility Study has determined that the RPA qualifies as a conservation area, this report also contains the Redevelopment Plan and Project (the "Redevelopment Plan" or "Redevelopment Plan and Project") for the West Woodlawn RPA.
The West Woodlawn RPA is located almost wholly within the West Woodlavm Community Area ("Community Area") of the City of Chicago. A small portion of the RPA is located in the Greater Grand Grossing area. The RPA is generally bounded by East $60, \mathrm{~h}$ Street on the north, Cottage Grove Avenue on the east, East $68{ }^{\text {h }}$ Street and South Chicago Avenue on the south, and Martin Luther King Drive and South Calumet Avenue on the west.
Determination of Eligibility
This Eligibility Study concludes that the West Woodlawn RPA is eligible for Tax Increment Financing .('TIF") designation as a "conservation area" because 50 percent or more of the structures in the area are 35 years in age or older and because the following four eligibility factors have been found to be present to a meaningful extent and reasonably distributed throughout the RPA:

1. Deterioration
2. Inadequate Utilities
3. Structures Below Minimum Code
4. Lack of Community Planning

Redevelopment Plan Goal, Objectives, and Strategies
Goal. The overall goal of the Redevelopment Plan is to reduce or eliminate the conditions that qualify the West Woodlawn RPA as a conservation area, and to provide the mechanisms necessary to support public and private development and improvements in the RPA. This goal is to be achieved through an integrated and comprehensive strategy that leverages public resources to stimulate private investment in rehabilitation of existing structures and new development. Eliminating these conditions and. facilitating development within the RPA will facilitate
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reestablishment of the RPA as a cohesive and vibrant mixed-use area that provides a broad range of both housing opportunities and commercial/retail uses, while accommodating public and institutional uses where appropriate. Redevelopment of the RPA will improve retail, commercial, and housing conditions; improve the relationship between the area's land uses; and attract private redevelopment.
Objectives. Beven broad objectives support the overall goal of area-wide revitalization of the West Woodlawn RPA. These include:

1. Facilitate sustainable residential development and redevelopment that will accommodate current and future residents of the RPA, including a range of income levels and a variety of housing tenure (ownership versus rental);
2. Encourage high-quality retail development that promotes a lively pedestrian environment, incorporates enhanced plazas and green spaces, provides sufficient off-street parking, and . adequately serves households residing in the area; 3. Improve the quality and quantity of existing open space, plazas, and streetscape elements to provide the community with safe, attractive public gathering spaces;
3. Promote new commercial and residential development and redevelopment that is "transit-oriented" in nature and reflects site design and mixes of uses that promote transit ridership and accessibility
4. Provide resources for the rehabilitation and modernization of existing structures and the reuse and rehabilitation of architecturally and historically significant structures, especially those identified as "orange" on the Chicago Historic Resources Survey;
5. Provide resources for creation and/or improvement of community facilities to provide health/wellness, education, social support, and job training opportunities for RPA residents;
6. Improve vehicular circulation throughout the RPA, through improvements to streets, alleys and loading areas;
7. Improve public transit facilities within the RPA, including encouragement of upgrades to Chicago Transit Authority properties and facilities;
8. Replace or repair public infrastructure where needed, including streets, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, underground water and sanitary systems, alleys, bridges, and viaducts;
9. Provide opportunities for women-owned, minority-ovmed, and locally-owned businesses to share in job opportunities associated with the redevelopment of the West Woodlawn RPA, particularly in the design and construction industries; and 11. Support job training and welfare to work programs and increase employment opportunities for City and neighborhood residents;
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Strategies. These objectives will be implemented through four specific and integrated strategies. These include: 1. Implement Public Improvements. A series of public improvements throughout the West Woodlawn RPA may be designed and implemented to build upon and improve the character of the area, and to. create a more conducive environment for private development. Public improvements that are implemented with TIF assistance are intended to complement and not replace existing funding sources for public improvements in the RPA. These improvements may include improvement of new streets, streetscaping, street and sidewalk lighting, alleyways, underground water and sewer infrastructure, parks or open space, and other public improvements consistent with the 'Redevelopment Plan and Project. These public improvements may be completed pursuant to redevelopment agreements with private entities or intergovernmental agreements with other public entities, and may include the construction, rehabilitation, renovation, or restoration of public improvements on one or more parcels.
10. Encourage Private Sector Activities and Support Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings. Through the creation and support of public-private partnerships, or through written agreements, the City may provide financial and other assistance to encourage the private sector, including local property owners, to undertake rehabilitation and redevelopment projects and other improvements, in addition to programming such as job training and retraining, that are consistent with the goals of this Redevelopment Plan and Project. The City may enter into redevelopment agreements or intergovernmental agreements with private or public entities to construct, rehabilitate, renovate, or restore private or public improvements on one or several parcels (collectively referred to as "Redevelopment Projects").
The City requires that developers who receive TIF assistance for market-rate housing set aside twenty percent (20
percent) of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Departmentof Community Development or any successor agency. Generally, this means that affordable for-sale housing units should be priced at a level that is affordable to persons earning no more than one hundred percent (100 percent) of the area median income, and affordable rental units
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should be affordable to persons earning no more than sixty percent ( 60 percent) of the area median income. TIF funds can also be used to pay for up to fifty percent ( 50 percent) ofthe cost'of construction or up to seventy five percent ( 75 percent) of interest costs for new housing units to be occupied by low-income and very low-income households as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act.
3. Develop Vacant and Underutilized Sites. The redevelopment of vacant and underutilized properties within the West Woodlawn RPA is expected to stimulate private investment and increase the overall taxable value of properties within the RPA. Development of vacant and/or underutilized sites is anticipated to have a positive impact on other properties beyond the individual project sites.
4. Facilitate Property Assembly, Demolition, and Site Preparation. Financial assistance may be provided to private developers seeking to acquire land, and to assemble and prepare sites in order to undertake projects in support of this Redevelopment Plan and Project. To meet the goals of this Redevelopment Plan and Project, the City may acquire
11/17/2010
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and assemble property throughout the RPA. Land assemblage by the City may be by purchase, exchange, donation, lease, eminent domain, through the Tax Reactivation Program, or other programs and may be for the purpose of (a) sale, lease or conveyance to private developers, or (b) sale, lease, conveyance, or dedication for the construction of public improvements or facilities. Site preparation may include such preparatory work as demolition of existing improvements and environmental remediation, where appropriate. Furthermore, the City may require written redevelopment agreements with developers before acquiring any properties. As appropriate, the City may devote acquired property to temporary uses until such property is scheduled for disposition and development.

## Required Findings

The conditions required under the Act for the adoption of the Eligibility Study and Redevelopment Plan and Project are found to be present within the West Woodlawn RPA.

1. The RPA has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise. Rehabilitation and construction activity within the RPA has been limited to a small number of buildings and the total value of these construction projects has been minimal relative to the estimated market value of the area.
2. Without the support of public resources, the redevelopment objectives of the West Woodlawn RPA will most likely not be realized. TIF assistance may be used to fund rehabilitation, infrastructure improvements, and expansions to public facilities. Without the creation of the West Woodlavm RPA, these types of projects are not likely to occur.
3. The West Woodlawn RPA includes only the contiguous real property that is expected to substantially benefit from the proposed Redevelopment Plan and Project improvements.

## 2. Introduction

## The Study Area

This document serves as the Eligibility Study and Redevelopment Plan and Project for the West Woodlawn RPA. The West Woodlavm RPA is located within the Woodlavm Community Area of the City of Chicago (the "City"), in Cook County (the "County"). In April 2009, \& B. Friedman \& Company was engaged to conduct a study of certain properties in these neighborhoods to determine whether the area containing these properties would qualify for status as a "blighted area" and/or "conservation area" under the Act.
The Eligibility Study and Plan summarizes the analyses and findings of S. B. Friedman \& Company's work, which, unless otherwise noted, is the responsibility of S. B. Friedman \& Company. The City is entitled to rely on the findings and conclusions of this Eligibility Study and Plan in designating the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment proiect area under the Act. S. B. Friedman \& Company has prepared this Plan and the related
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Eligibility Study with the understanding that, the City would rely: 1) on the findings and conclusions of the Plan and the related Eligibility Study in proceeding with the designation of the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area and the adoption and implementation of the Plan, and 2) on the fact that S. B. Friedman \& Company has obtained the necessary information so that the Plan and the related Eligibility Study will comply with the Act.
The community context of the West Woodlawn RPA is detailed on Map 1 . The RPA is generally bounded by East $60^{\text {,h }}$ Street on the north, Cottage Grove Avenue on the east, East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street and South Chicago Avenue on the south, and Martin Luther King Drive and South Calumet Avenue on the west. The RPA consists of 2,284 tax parcels on 64 blocks, and is located wholly within the City.
Map 2 provides detail of the boundary of the West Woodlawn RPA, which includes only the contiguous real property that
is expected to benefit substantially from the Redevelopment Plan and Project improvements discussed herein.
Appendix 1 contains a legal description of the West Woodlawn RPA.
The Eligibility Study covers events and conditions that exist and that were determined to support the designation of the West Woodlawn RPA as a "conservation area" under the Act at the completion of our research on September 3, 2009 and not thereafter. Events or conditions, such as governmental actions and additional developments, occurring after that date are excluded from the analysis. The improved parcels suffer from deterioration, inadequate utilities, and structures below minimum code. Without a comprehensive approach to address these issues, the RPA is not likely to see substantial private investment. The Redevelopment Plan and Project address these issues by providing the means to facilitate private development and rehabilitation, and the construction of public infrastructure.
These improvements will benefit all of the property within the RPA by alleviating conditions qualifying the RPA as a conservation area.

## History of Community Area

The West Woodlawn RPA is located almost entirely within the Woodlawn Community Area in the City of Chicago. A small portion of the West Woodlawn RPA, located west of Martin Luther King Drive, is part of the Greater Grand Crossing Community Area.
The Woodlawn Community Area is located seven miles southeast of Chicago's Loop. It is bounded on the north by East $60^{\text {lh }}$ Street, on the east by South Drexel Avenue and South Cottage Grove Avenue, on the south by portions of East $67^{\text {th }}$ Street, East 68, h Street and South Chicago Avenue, and on the west by South Martin Luther King Drive.
Woodlawn was originally settled in the 1850 s by Dutch farmers. Over the next 40 years, the population remained stable between 500 and 1,000 residents until 1890 . The area was annexed by the City of Chicago along with other parts of the Hyde Park Township in 1889.

## I

## 11/17/2010

## REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

## 107333

The decision in 1893 to host the World's Columbian Exposition in Jackson Park brought 20,000 new residents and entrepreneurs to Woodlawn. Large apartment buildings and tourist hotels were constructed in the area to support the Exposition. During this time, the Midway Plaisance and the Elevated rail line along East $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street was developed. Following the closing of the fair, local boosters worked to heavily promote Woodlawn out of fear of an economic depression. Two commercial centers, the Washington Park Subdivision and the specialty shops along East $63{ }^{\text {fd }}$ Street were developed to attract patrons to that area. The Washington Park Subdivision contained an amusement park, racetrack, and beer gardens that were popular in the early, part of the twentieth century. When gambling was outlawed in 1905, apartment houses replaced the racetrack in Washington Park. The specialty shops along East $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street attracted patrons from throughout Chicago.
Despite commercial improvements to the area, the Woodlawn area was primarily residential. University of Chicago faculty chose to reside in the residences in the area in the early twentieth century. New developments in the southwest part of the neighborhood attracted middle-class African-Americans, who had the vneans to buy homes outside the nearby Black Belt.
The economic decline and distress of the 1920s resulted in many of the East $63{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street businesses to fail. Taverns and similar businesses began to open and operate in these spaces and led to further neighborhood decline. In 1946, the Chicago Plan Commission designated Woodlawn eligible as a conservation area, but no plan was ever implemented to stall the neighborhood decline.
By the 1960s, Woodlawn had housing stock that was overcrowded, deteriorated, and had few commercial attractions that could support its population. The neighborhood also began to experience an increase in gang-related violence. In the 1960s, residents, in a coalition with churches, block clubs, and business owners worked with community organizers to improve the neighborhood and create local economic renewal.
Numerous arsons in the years following the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr. resulted in more population decline in the neighborhood as people moved to other neighborhoods or communities. Woodlawn's population declined from a high of 81,279 in 1960 to 27,086 in 2000. Efforts have been underway in recent years to bring private development, commercial enterprises, and a bank back to Woodlawn with unsatisfactory results.
Existing Land Use
Based on S. B. Friedman \& Company's research, six land uses have been identified within the West Woodlawn RPA:

- Mixed Uses (Residential over Commercial)
- Residential

■» Commercial Retail Uses

- Institutional Uses (Churches, Schools, Medical Facilities and Utilities) a Right-of-ways
- Vacant Land
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The existing land use pattern in the West Woodlawn RPA is shown in Map 3. This map represents the predominant land use in the area on a parcel:by-parcel basis. The land use displayed was the land use most apparent during field observation.
Overall, the area contains mainly residential uses. Major arterials such as Martin Luther King Drive and Cottage Grove contain a mix of retail uses. Small one-story retail establishments are located throughout the RPA.

## Historically Significant Structures

S. B. Friedman \& Company obtained data from the Chicago Historic Resources Survey (CHRS) to identify architecturally and/or historically significant buildings located within the West Woodlawn RPA. The CHRS identifies over 17,000 Chicago properties and contains information on buildings that may possess important architectural and/or historical significance. A ranking system was used to identify historic and architectural significance according to three criteria adopted by the CHRS: 1) age; 2) degree of external physical integrity; and 3) level of possible significance.
According to this survey, seven (7) buildings in the West Woodlawn RPA have been identified by the CHRS as possessing some architectural feature or historical association that vnade them significant in the context of the. community. Several structures in the RPA are designated as Chicago Landmarks. The properties identified by the CHRS as having historic and architectural significance are listed in Table 1.
Table 1: Historic Buildings in RPA

| Name | Address | type of Building | Style | Year Built | CHRS j <br> Color Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| King Drive El Station | 400 E. $63{ }^{\text {M }}$ Street | - NA | NA | 1890s | Orange |
| Private Residence | 415 E. 60* Street | 2-3 Flat | Classical Revival | 1897 | Orange |
| Private Residence | 6156 S. Evans Avenue | 2-3 Flat | Craftsman | 1912 | Orange |
| Private Residence | 6330 S. Eberhart Avenue | 2-3 Flat | Classical Revival | 1905 | Orange |
| Private Residence | 6338 S. Evans Avenue | NA | NA | NA | Green |
| Private Residence | 6354 S. Evans Avenue | Single Family Residence | Queen <br> Anne | NA | Orange |
| St. Stefan's Danish | 6359 S. Eberhart Avenue | Church | Gothic | 1907 | Orange |
| Evangelical Lutheran |  |  | Revival |  |  |

vangelical Lutheran Church Revival
Source: City of Chicago
The location of these historic buildings is detailed on Map 3, along with current land uses within the RPA.
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## 3. Eligibility Analysis

## Provisions of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act

Based upon the conditions found within the West Woodlawn RPA at the completion of S. B. Friedman \& Company's research, it has been determined that the West Woodlavm RPA meets the eligibility requirements of the Act as a "conservation area." The following text outlines the provisions ofthe Act to establish eligibility.
Under the Act, two primary avenues exist to establish eligibility for an area to permit the use of tax increment financing for area redevelopment: declaring an area as a "blighted area" and/or a "conservation area."
"Blighted areas" are those improved or vacant areas with blighting influences that are impacting the public safety, health, morals, or welfare of the community, and are substantially impairing the growth of the tax base in the area. "Conservation areas" are those improved areas which are deteriorating and declining and may become blighted if the deterioration is not abated.
The statutory provisions of the Act specify how a district can be designated as a "conservation" and/or "blighted area" district based upon an evidentiary finding of certain eligibility factors listed in the Act. The eligibility factors for each
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designation are identical for improved property. A separate set of factors exists for the designation of vacant land as a "blighted area." There is no provision for designating vacant land as a conservation area.

## Factors for Improved Property

For improved property to constitute a "blighted area," a combination of five or more of the following 13 eligibility factors listed at 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (a) must be present to a meaningful extent and (b) be reasonably distributed throughout the RPA. A "Conservation area" must have a minimum of fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) ofthe total structures within the area aged 35 years or older, plus a combination of three or more of the 13 eligibility factors which are detrimental to the public safety, health, morals, or welfare and which could result in such an area becoming a blighted area.
Dilapidation. An advanced state of disrepair or neglect of necessary repairs to the primary structural components of buildings or improvements in such a combination that a documented building condition analysis determines that major repair is required or the defects are so serious and so extensive that the buildings must be removed.
Obsolescence. The condition or process of falling into disuse. Structures have become ill-suited for the original use. Deterioration. With respect to buildings, defects including, but not limited to, major defects in the secondary building components such as doors, windows, porches, gutters and dovmspouts, and fascia. With respect to surface improvements, that the condition of roadways, alleys, curbs,
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gutters, sidewalks, off-street parking, and surface storage areas evidence deterioration including but not limited to, surface cracking, crumbling, potholes, depressions, loose paving material, and weeds protruding through paved surfaces. Presence of Structures Below Minimum Code Standards. All structures that do not meet the standards of zoning, subdivision, building, fire, and other governmental codes applicable to property, but not including housing and property maintenance codes.
Illegal Use of Individual Structures. The use of structures in violation of the applicable Federal, State, or local laws, exclusive of those applicable to the presence of structures below minimum code standards.
Excessive Vacancies. The presence of buildings that are unoccupied or underutilized and that represent an adverse influence on the area because of the frequency, extent, or duration of the vacancies.
Lack of Ventilation, Light or Sanitary Facilities. The absence of adequate ventilation for light or air circulation in spaces or rooms without windows, or that require the removal of dust, odor, gas, smoke, or other noxious airborne materials. Inadequate natural light and ventilation means the absence of skylights or windows for interior spaces or rooms and improper window sizes and amounts by room area to window area ratios. Inadequate sanitary facilities refers to the absence or inadequacy of garbage storage and enclosure, bathroom facilities, hot water and kitchens, and structural inadequacies preventing ingress and egress to and from all rooms and units within a building.
Inadequate Utilities. Underground and overhead utilities such as storm sewers and storm drainage, sanitary sewers, water lines, and gas, telephone, and electrical services that are shown to be inadequate. Inadequate utilities are those that are: (i) of insufficient capacity to serve the uses in the redevelopment project area, (ii) deteriorated, antiquated, obsolete, or in disrepair, or (iii) lacking within the redevelopment project area.
Excessive Land Coverage and Overcrowding of Structures and Community Facilities. The over-intensive use of property and the crowding of buildings and accessory facilities onto a site. Examples of problem conditions warranting the designation of an area as one exhibiting excessive land coverage are: (i) the presence of buildings either improperly situated on parcels or located on parcels of inadequate size and shape in relation to present-day standards of development for health and safety and (ii) the presence of multiple buildings on a single parcel. For there to be a finding of excessive land coverage, these parcels must exhibit one or more of the following conditions: insufficient provision for light and air within or around buildings, increased threat of spread of fire due to the close proximity of buildings, lack of adequate or proper access to a public right-of-way, lack of reasonably required off-street parking, or inadequate provision for loading and service.
Deleterious Land Use or Layout. The existence of incompatible land use relationships, buildings occupied by inappropriate mixed uses, or uses considered to be noxious, offensive, or unsuitable for the surrounding area.
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Environmental Contamination. The proposed redevelopment project area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent
consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or Federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment ofthe redevelopment project area.
Lack of Community Planning, The proposed redevelopment project area was developed prior to or without the benefit pr guidance of a community plan. This means that the development occurred prior to the adoption by the municipality of a comprehensive or other community plan or that the plan was not followed at the time of the area's development. This factor must be documented by evidence of adverse or incompatible land use relationships, inadequate street layout, improper subdivision, parcels pf inadequate shape and size to meet contemporary development standards, or other evidence demonstrating an absence of effective community planning.
Lack of Growth in Equalized Assessed Value. The total equalized assessed value of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for three of the last five calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated; is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for three of the last five calendar years for which information is available; or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for three of the last five calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated.

## Factors for Vacant Land

Under the provisions of the "blighted area" section of the Act, for vacant land to consfitute a "blighted area," a combination of two or more of the following six factors must be identified as being present to a meaningful extent and reasonably distributed and act in combination to impact the sound growth of the tax base for the proposed district. Obsolete Platting of Vacant Land. Parcels of limited or narrow size or configurations of parcels of irregular size or shape that would be difficult to develop on a planned basis and in a manner compatible with contemporary standards and requirements, or platting that failed to create rights-of-ways for streets or alleys or that created inadequate right-of-way widths for streets, alleys, or other public rights-of-way or that omitted easements for public utilities.
Diversity of Ownership. Diversity of ownership is when adjacent properties are owned by multiple parties. When diversity of ownership of parcels of vacant land is sufficient in number to retard or impede the ability to assemble the land for development, this factor applies.
Tax and Special Assessment Delinquencies. Tax and special assessment delinquencies exist or the property has been the subject of tax sales under the Property Tax Code within the last five years.
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Deterioration of Structures or Site Improvements in Neighboring Areas Adjacent to the Vacant Land. Evidence of structural deterioration and area disinvestment in blocks adjacent to the vacant land may substantiate why new development had not previously occurred on the vacant parcels.
Environmental Contamination. The area has incurred Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or United States Environmental Protection Agency remediation costs for, or a study conducted by an independent consultant recognized as having expertise in environmental remediation has determined a need for, the clean-up of hazardous waste, hazardous substances, or underground storage tanks required by State or Federal law, provided that the remediation costs constitute a material impediment to the development or redevelopment of the redevelopment project area.
Lack of Growth in Equalized Assessed Value ${ }_{t}$ The total equalized assessed value of the proposed redevelopment project area has declined for three of the last five calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated; is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the balance of the municipality for three of the last five calendar years for which information is available; or is increasing at an annual rate that is less than the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers published by the United States Department of Labor or successor agency for three of the last five calendar years prior to the year in which the redevelopment project area is designated.
Additionally, under the "blighted area" section of the Act, eligibility may be established for those vacant areas that would have qualified as a blighted area immediately prior to becoming vacant. Under this test for establishing eligibility, building records may be reviewed to determine that a combination of five or more of the 13 "blighted area" eligibility factors for improved property listed above were present immediately prior to demolition of the area's structures.
The vacant "blighted area" section includes six other tests for establishing eligibility but none of these are relevant to the conditions within the West Woodlawn RPA.
Methodology Overview ahd Determination of Eligibility

Analysis of eligibility factors was done through research involving an extensive field survey of all property within the West Woodlawn RPA, and a review of building and property records. Building and property records include building code violation citations, building permit data, assessor information, and information on the age and condition of sewer and water lines within the study area. Our survey of the area established that there are 1,497 primary structures and 2,284 tax parcels within the West Woodlawn RPA.
The West Woodlawn RPA was examined for qualification factors consistent with either the "blighted area" or "conservation area" requirements of the Act. Based upon these criteria, the property within the West Woodlawn RPA qualifies for designation as a "conservation area" as defined by the Act.
To arrive at this designation, S. B. Friedman \& Company noted the number of eligibility factors
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present, and analyzed the distribution of the eligibility factors on a building-by-building and/or parcel-by-parcel basis and analyzed the distribution ofthe eligibility factors on a block-by-block basis. When appropriate, we calculated the presence of eligibility factors on infrastructure and ancillary properties associated with the structures. The eligibility factors were correlated to buildings and/or parcels using structure-base maps, property files created from field observations, record searches, and field surveys. This information was then graphically plotted on a parcel map of the West Woodlavm RPA by block to establish the distribution of eligibility factors and to determine which factors were present to a major extent. Major factors are used to establish eligibility. These factors are present to a meaningful extent and reasonably distributed throughout the RPA. Minor factors are supporting factors present to a meaningful extent on some ofthe parcels or on a scattered basis. Their presence suggests that the area is at risk of experiencing more extensive deterioration and disinvestment.
To reasonably arrive at this designation, S. B. Friedman \& Company documented the existence of qualifying eligibility factors and confirmed that a sufficient number of factors were present within the West Woodlawn RPA and reasonably distributed.
Although it may be concluded under the Act that the mere presence ofthe minimum number of the stated factors may be sufficient to make a finding of the RPA as a conservation area, this evaluation was made on the basis that the conservation area factors must be present to an. extent that indicates that public intervention is appropriate or necessary.

## Conservation Area Findings

As required by the Act, within a conservation area, at least fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) of the buildings must be 35 years of age or older, and at least three of the 13 eligibility factors must be found present to a major extent within the area.
Establishing that at least 50 percent of the West Woodlawn RPA buildings are 35 years of age or older is a condition precedent to establishing the area as a conservation area under the Act. Based on information provided by the Cook County Assessor's office, we have established that of the 1,497 buildings located within the West Woodlawn RPA, 1,424 ( 95 percent) are 35 years of age or older.
${ }^{1}$ In addition to establishing that the West Woodlawn RPA meets the age requirement, our research has revealed that the following four factors are present to a major extent:

1. Deterioration
2. Inadequate Utilities -
3. Structures Below Minimum Code
4. Lack of Community Planning, The following factors were found to be present to a minor extent:
5. Deleterious Land Use or Layout
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2. Excessive Vacancies $>$

Based on the presence of these factors, the RPA meets the minimum requirements of a "conservation area" under the Act. Most of the blocks located in the RPA either contain deteriorated buildings or are served by deteriorated infrastructure, including cracked or crumbling sidewalks, deteriorated alleys, and deteriorated roadways. Also nearly all the blocks comprising the RPA are serviced by inadequate utilities, particularly sewer lines that are overdue for repair or replacement. The high cost of upgrading these systems, coupled with the high presence of deteriorated properties in the area, increases the likelihood that buildings within the RPA will fall into disrepair or disuse.
Maps 4A through 4E illustrate the presence and distribution of the major eligibility factors on a block-by-block basis within the RPA. The following sections summarize our field research as it pertains to each ofthe identified eligibility
factors found within the West Woodlawn RPA.
MAJOR FACTORS 1. Deterioration
This factor was given to those buildings (and their corresponding parcels) where interior and/or exterior deterioration of buildings could be documented through surveys or interviews. Examples of the building deterioration observed in the field are structural cracks, spalling brick surfaces, wavy roofs, rotting window frames, holes in soffits, cracked and leaning porch steps, and broken windows. The two largest housing developments in the RPA, Parkway Gardens and Grove Pare Plaza Apartments, suffer from interior deferred maintenance and antiquated internal systems. Interviews with property managers unveiled problems with older heating and cooling systems, fire hazards in trash chutes, and inoperable elevators.
Deterioration of public improvements is also evident throughout the West Woodawn RPA. Many of the streets and sidewalks, and nearly all of the alleys in the RPA exhibit some level of deterioration.
Overall, 1,148 of the 2,284 parcels ( 50 percent) are affected by some level of deterioration. Surface area deterioration (such as alleys, streets, and sidewalks) affects 579 parcels ( 25 percent) within the RPA while 757 parcels ( 33 percent) exhibit building deterioration. Deterioration was deemed to be present to a meaningful extent on a given block if 50 percent or more of its parcels exhibited at least one type of deterioration. One or both of these types of deterioration is present to meaningful extent on 35 blocks ( 55 percent) within the RPA.
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2. Inadequate Utilities

A review of the City's water and sewer atlases found that inadequate underground utilities affect 1,916 (or 84 percent) of the 2,284 tax parcels in the West Woodlavm RPA. This is due primarily to the number of antiquated sewer lines in the RPA, many of which were installed prior to 1909. These lines have surpassed their 100 -year service lives and are in need of replacement or have been deemed inadequate by the City's water department. ${ }^{1}$
The inadequate utilities factor was deemed to be present to a meaningful extent on a given block if 50 percent or more of its parcels exhibited the factor. Due to the age and condition of the sewer and water lines, inadequate utilities was found to be present to a major extent on 56 (or 88 percent) of the 64 blocks within the West Woodlawn RPA.
3. Presence of Structures Below Minimum Code Standards

Relying on data provided by the City's Department of Buildings, 907 structural code violation citations were issued for 130 separate property addresses within the West Woodlawn RPA between January 2002 and July 2009. Analyzed on a block basis, buildings below code affect 32 of the 64 blocks ( 50 percent) of the blocks within the RPA. Structures below code standards indicate that a building is in a current state of non-compliance and could potentially fall into more severe disrepair. This continuing problem underscores the documented deterioration of buildings.
4. Lack of Community Planning

Lack of community planning is assessed as an area-wide factor. It is not necessarily attributable to any one parcel but is substantiated by evidence of incompatible land use relationships, improper subdivisions, or inadequate land platting. There are several incidences, particularly along major thoroughfares that exhibit the lack of community planning throughout the RPA. The following outlines four significant examples of this factor.
Existing Large Residential Developments. The parcel and building layout of both Grove Pare Plaza and Parkway Gardens are ill-configured for its current use as well as for future uses. Parkway Gardens, in particular, has limited vehicular access, which could be problematic in the event of an emergency. The development contains 694 units with no internal roadway network; only a frontage road surrounds the property. While Grove Pare Plaza does have large parking areas that could accommodate both private and emergency vehicles, its building layout and design does not allow for proper buffering between walkways, play areas, and incoming vehicular traffic. Additionally, per interviews with property managers and owners, the buildings' layouts in both developments create isolated pockets where crime activity can take place.
The City of Chicago Department of Water Management defines the projected service life as 100 years.
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East 63 '" Street. Much of the land in the RPA along $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street is vacant or underutilized and has been for several years. The chronic vacancy is due, in part, to the shallow depths of parcels relative to its location and zoning. This portion of 63
${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street is zoned as a commercial/retail corridor and is directly adjacent to overhead Chicago Transit Authority (CTA)
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elevated train tracks. The presence of these tracks presents both a benefit and a drawback to commercial development along the street: While the overhead transit center attracts commuters and other pedestrian traff.c, visibility from the street and vehicular access is somewhat encumbered by the overhead tracks. In order to establish better visibility, in addition to accommodating its parking needs, most larger-scale, contemporary retail development is set back from the street. The parcel depths along $63{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street are not large enough to accommodate these more modem layouts of large-scale retail development.
East $67{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ Street. The portion of East $67^{\text {th }}$ Street that is located within the RPA has widely inconsistent land uses and illconfigured parcel layouts. Portions of East 67,h Street serve as commercial/retail uses while other portions of the street include multi-family and single-family residential buildings. Additionally, the street in several places has mismatched parcel depths and orientation. There are buildings along the frontage of the street that are oriented towards $67^{\mathrm{m}}$ Street while others are oriented towards residential streets. The parcel orientation along $67{ }^{\text {lh }}$ Street makes it difficult tp encourage a harmonious development pattern for future development projects.
South Chicago Avenue. The northeast frontage of South Chicago Avenue between East $67{ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and East $69{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ Street features a myriad of land uses that are incompatible with contemporary development standards and could be regarded as deleterious. This two-block stretch of South Chicago Avenue contains light industrial, retail, mixed-use buildings (ground floor commercial with residential in upper floors), and single-family homes. The land uses bear no relationship to one another and appear to be developed without the benefit of any community planning.
As discussed in the History of the Area section, the Woodlavm community area was designated as conservation area in the 1940s but a plan was never implemented to curtail neighborhood decline. The lack of an implementation plan has stalled redevelopment present day and has caused the West Woodlawn RPA to presently qualify as a conservation district. MINOR FACTORS

1. Deleterious Land Use and Layout ~»

The deleterious land use and layout factor was found to be present in the RPA in instances where conflicting land uses and improper or unsafe interactions of parcels and rights-of-way were observed. Throughout much of the RPA, vacant parcels are present along commercial corridors and interspersed with residential parcels. Many of these parcels are unsecured or insufficiently secured and contain trash, construction materials, and other debris that create a health and safety hazard for nearby residents, especially children. Approximately 10 percent of the RPA's land area consists of unsecured vacant land parcels. The extensive presence of these conditions is incompatible with nearby residential property and serves to break up the fabric of the neighborhood.
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2. Excessive Vacancy

Approximately 9 percent ( 134 buildings) ofthe RPA's buildings were observed to be more than 50 percent vacant. There are several residential blocks that have vacant homes which are boarded up or have City notices on the doors. Coupled with the number of vacant lots within the RPA boundary, vacancy appears to be a chronic problem within the RPA. The presence of these vacant buildings shows disinvestment in the housing stock and also brings down the property values of surrounding occupied homes.

## 4. Redevelopment Plan \& Project Redevelopment Needs of the West Woodlawn RPA

The existing land use pattern and conditions in the West Woodlawn RPA suggest three redevelopment needs for the area: 1. Resources for commercial, residential, and mixed-use development and rehabilitation;
2. Infrastructure improvements, streetscaping, and buffering/screening between land uses; and
3. Property assembly, demolition, and site preparation.

The Redevelopment Plan and Project identifies tools the City will use to guide redevelopment in the West Woodlawn RPA to create, promote, and sustain a vibrant mixed use community. The goals, objectives, and strategies discussed below have been developed to address these needs and to facilitate the sustainable redevelopment of the West Woodlawn RPA. The proposed public improvements outlined in the Redevelopment Plan and Project will help to create an environment conducive to private investment and redevelopment within the West Woodlawn RPA. To support specific projects and encourage future investment in the RPA, public resources, including tax increment financing, may be used to rehabilitate older buildings, improve, or repair RPA public facilities and/or infrastructure, and provide streetscape improvements! In addition, tax increment financing may be used to subsidize developer interest costs related to redevelopment projects.

## Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

Goals, objectives, and strategies are designed to address the need for redevelopment within the overall framework of the

Redevelopment Plan and Project for the use of anticipated tax increment funds generated within the West Woodlawn RPA.
Goal. The overall goal ofthe Redevelopment Plan is to reduce or eliminate the conditions that qualify the West Woodlawn RPA as a conservation area, and to provide the mechanisms necessary to support public and private development and improvements in the RPA. This goal is to be achieved through an integrated and comprehensive strategy that leverages public resources to stimulate private investment in rehabilitation of existing structures and new development. Eliminating these conditions and facilitating development within the RPA will facilitate reestablishment ofthe RPA as a cohesive and vibrant mixed-use area that provides a broad range of both housing opportunities and commercial/retail uses, while accommodating public and institutional uses where appropriate. Redevelopment ofthe RPA will improve retail, commercial, and housing conditions; improve the relationship between the area's land uses; and attract private redevelopment.
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Objectives. Eleven broad objectives support the overall goal of area-wide revitalization of the West Woodlavm RPA. These include:

1. Facilitate sustainable residential development and redevelopment that will accommodate current and future residents of the RPA, including a range of income levels and a variety of housing tenure (ownership versus rental);
2. Encourage high-quality retail development that promotes a lively pedestrian environment, incorporates enhanced plazas and green spaces, provides sufficient off-street parking, and adequately serves households residing in the area; 3. Improve the quality and quantity of existing open space, plazas, and streetscape elements to provide the community with safe, attractive public gathering spaces;
3. Promote new commercial and residential development and redevelopment that is "transit-oriented" in nature and reflects site design and mixes of uses that promote transit ridership and accessibility
4. Provide resources for the rehabilitation and modernization of existing structures and the reuse and rehabilitation of architecturally and historically significant structures, especially those identified as "orange" on the Chicago Historic Resources Survey;
5. Provide resources for creation and/or improvement of community facilities to provide health/wellness, education, social support, and job training opportunities for RPA residents;
6. Improve vehicular circulation throughout the RPA, through improvements to streets, alleys and loading areas;
7. Improve public transit facilities within the RPA, including encouragement of upgrades to Chicago Transit Authority properties and facilities;
8. Replace or repair public infrastructure where needed, including streets, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, underground water and sanitary systems, alleys, bridges, and viaducts;
9. Provide opportunities for women-owned, minority-owned, and locally-ovmed businesses to share in job opportunities associated with the redevelopment of the West Woodlavm RPA, particularly in the design and construction industries; and 11. Support job training and welfare to work programs and increase employment opportunities for City and neighborhood residents;
Strategies. These objectives will be implemented through four specific and integrated strategies. These include:
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1. Implement Public Improvements. A series of public improvements throughout the West Woodlawn RPA may be designed and implemented to build upon and improve the character of the area, and to create a more conducive environment for private development. Public improvements that are implemented with TIF assistance are intended to complement and not replace existing funding sources for public improvements in the RPA. These improvements may include improvement of new streets, streetscaping, street and sidewalk lighting, alleyways, underground water and sewer infrastructure, parks or open space, and other public improvements consistent with
' the Redevelopment Plan and Project. These public improvements may be completed pursuant to redevelopment agreements with private entities or intergovernmental agreements with other public entities, and may include the construction, rehabilitation, renovation, or restoration of public improvements on one or more parcels.
2. Encourage Private Sector Activities and Support Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings. Through the creation and support of public-private partnerships, or through written agreements, the City may provide financial and other assistance to encourage the private sector, including local property owners, to undertake rehabilitation and redevelopment projects and
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other improvements, in addition to programming such as job training and retraining, that are consistent with the goals of this Redevelopment Plan and Project. The City may enter into redevelopment agreements or intergovernmental agreements with private or public entities to construct, rehabilitate, renovate, or restore private or public improvements on one or several parcels (collectively referred to as "Redevelopment Projects").
The City requires that developers who receive TIF assistance for market-rate housing set aside twenty percent (20 percent) of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Department of Community Development or any successor agency. Generally, this means that affordable for-sale' housing units should be priced at a level that is affordable to persons earning no more than one hundred percent ( 100 percent) of the area median income, and affordable rental units should be affordable to persons earning no more than sixty percent ( 60 percent) of the area median income. TIF funds can also be used to pay for up to fifty percent ( 50 percent) of the cost of construction or up to seventy five percent ( 75 percent) of interest costs for new housing units to be occupied by low-. income and very low-income households as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act.
3. Develop Vacant and Underutilized Sites. The redevelopment of vacant and underutilized properties within the West Woodlawn RPA is expected to stimulate private investment and increase the overall taxable value of properties within the RPA. Development of vacant and/or underutilized sites is anticipated to have a positive impact on other properties beyond the individual project sites.
4. Facilitate Property Assembly, Demolition, and Site Preparation. Financial assistance may be provided to private developers seeking to acquire land, and to assemble and prepare sites in order to undertake projects in support of this Redevelopment Plan and
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Project. To meet the goals of this Redevelopment Plan and Project, the City may acquire and assemble property throughout the RPA. Land assemblage by the City may be by purchase, exchange, donation, lease, eminent domain, through the Tax Reactivation Program, or other programs and may be for the purpose of (a) sale, lease or conveyance to private developers, or (b) sale, lease, conveyance, or dedication for the construction of public improvements or facilities. Site preparation may include such preparatory work as demolition of existing improvements and environmental remediation, where appropriate. Furthermore, the City may require written redevelopment agreements with developers before acquiring any properties. As appropriate, the City may devote acquired property to temporary uses until such property is scheduled for disposition and development.

## Proposed Future Land Use

The proposed future land use of the West Woodlawn RPA reflects the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan and Project, which are to provide resources for the rehabilitation of existing structures, facilitate diverse new residential and retail development, upgrade public infrastructure, enhance pedestrian and vehicular circulation, improve transit facilities, and enhance the quality of community and open space resources within the RPA.
The proposed future land use for the RPA is a mixed-use (residential, retail/commercial, and public/institutional) district, as shown on Map 5. This proposed future land use is consistent with historical land use patterns and current redevelopment trends in and around the RPA, as well as the need to serve the community with modem facilities of the types noted above. The proposed future land use within the RPA includes a range of residential and retail/commercial uses; residential units above retail and commercial uses; and educational, civic, and institutional uses.
The proposed future land uses shown on Map 5 are the predominant uses and are not exclusive of any other uses. The uses shown are for the purposes of guiding the Redevelopment Plan and do not supersede zoning. The proposed land uses described herein will be approved by the Chicago Plan Commission prior to its adoption by the City Council.

## Assessment of Housing Impact

As set forth in the Act, if the redevelopment plan for a redevelopment project area would result in the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, or if the redevelopment project area contains 75 or more inhabited'residential units and a municipality is unable to certify that no displacement will occur, the municipality must prepare a Housing Impact Study and incorporate the study in the redevelopment project plan.
The RPA contains an estimated 5,054 inhabited residential units. Since the redevelopment project area contains more than 75 units, a Housing Impact Study is required by the Act and was prepared by S. B. Friedman \& Company. The results of the Housing Impact Study are described in a separate report which presents certain factual information required by the Act. The report is entitled "West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance District Housing Impact Study," and is attached as Appendix 3 to this Plan.
11/17/2010
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## 5. Financial Plan

## Eligible Costs

The various redevelopment expenditures that are eligible for payment or reimbursement under the Act are reviewed below. Following this review is a list of estimated redevelopment project costs that are deemed to be necessary to implement this Redevelopment Plan and Project (the "Redevelopment Project Costs").
Redevelopment Project Costs include the sum total of all reasonable or necessary costs incurred, estimated to be incurred, or incidental to this Plan pursuant to the Act. Such costs may include, without limitation, the following:

1. Costs of studies, surveys, development of plans and specifications, implementation and administration of the Redevelopment Plan and Project including but not limited to, staff and professional service costs for architectural, engineering, legal, financial, planning or other services (excluding lobbying expenses), provided that no charges for professional services are based on a percentage of the tax increment collected;
2. The costs of marketing sites within the RPA to prospective businesses, developers, and investors;
3. Property assembly costs, including but not limited to, acquisition of land and other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, demolition of buildings, site preparation, site improvements that serve as an engineered barrier addressing ground-level or below-ground environmental contamination, including, but not limited to parking lots and other concrete or asphalt barriers, and the clearing and grading of land;
4. Costs of rehabilitation, reconstruction, repair or remodeling of existing public or private buildings, fixtures, and leasehold improvements, and the costs of replacing an existing public building if pursuant to the implementation of a redevelopment project the existing public building is to be demolished to use the site for private investment or devoted to a different use requiring private investment;
5. Costs of the construction of public works or improvements subject to the limitations in Section 11 -74.4-3(q)(4) of the Act;
6. Costs of job training and retraining projects including the costs of "welfare to work" programs implemented by businesses located within the RPA and such proposals feature a community-based training program which ensures maximum reasonable opportunities for residents of the Loop Community Area with particular attention to the needs of those residents who have previously experienced inadequate employment opportunities and development of job-related skills including residents of public and other subsidized housing and people with disabilities;
107348
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7. Financing costs, including but not limited to, all necessary and incidental expenses related to the issuance of obligations and which may include payment of interest on any obligations issued hereunder including interest accruing during the estimated period of construction of any redevelopment project for which such obligations are issued and for a period not exceeding 36 months following completion and including reasonable reserves related thereto;
8. To the extent the City, by written agreement, accepts and approves the same, all or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from the redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan and Project;
9. Relocation costs to the extent that the City determines that relocation costs shall be paid or is required to make payment of relocation costs by federal or state law, or by Section 74.4-3(n)(7) ofthe Act;
10. Payment in lieu of taxes, as defined in the Act;
11. Costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational education or career education, including but not limited to, courses in occupational, semi-technical, or technical fields leading directly to employment incurred by one or more taxing districts, provided that such costs; (i) are related to the establishment and maintenance of additional job training, advanced vocational education, or career education programs for persons employed or to be employed by employers located in the RPA; and (ii) when incurred by a taxing district or taxing districts other than the City, are set forth in a written agreement by or among the City and the taxing district or taxing districts, which agreement describes the program to be undertaken including but not limited to, the number of employees to be trained, a description of the training and services to be provided, the number and type of positions available or to be available, itemized costs of the program, sources of funds to pay for the same, and the term of the agreement. Such costs include, specifically, the payment by community college districts of costs pursuant to Sections 3-37, 3-38, 3-40, and 3-40.1 of the Public Community College

Act, 110 ILCS 805/3-37, 805/3-38, 805/3-40 and 805/3-40.1, and by school districts of costs pursuant to Sections 1022.20a and 10-23.3a ofthe School Code, 105 ILCS 5/10-22.20a and 5/10-23.3a;
12. Interest costs incurred by a redeveloper related to the construction, renovation, or rehabilitation of a redevelopment project provided that:
a. Such costs are to be paid directly from the special tax allocation fund established pursuant to the Act;
b. Such payments in any one year may not exceed thirty percent ( $30 \%$ ) ofthe aimual interest costs incurred by the redeveloper with regard to the development project during that year;
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c. If there are not sufficient funds available in the special tax allocation fund to make the payment pursuant to this provision, then the amounts so due shall accrue and be payable when sufficient funds are available in the special tax allocation fund;
d. The total of such interest payments paid pursuant to the Act may not exceed thirty percent (30\%) of the total of (i) cost paid or incurred by the redeveloper for the redevelopment project; (ii) redevelopment project costs excluding any property assembly costs and any relocation costs incurred by the City pursuant to the Act;
e. For the financing of rehabilitated or new housing for low-income households and very low-income households, as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, the percentage of seventy-five percent ( $75 \%$ ) shall be substituted for thirty percent ( $30 \%$ ) in subparagraphs 12 b and 12 d above;
13. Unless explicitly provided in the Act, the cost of construction of new, privately owned buildings shall not be an eligible redevelopment project cost;
14. An elementary, secondary, or unit school district's increased costs attributable to assisted housing units will be reimbursed as provided in the Act;
15. Instead of the eligible costs provided for in $12 \mathrm{~b}, 12 \mathrm{~d}$, and 12 e above, the City may pay up to 50 percent of the cost of construction, renovation, and/or rehabilitation of all low- and very low-income housing units (for ownership or rental) as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act. If the units are part of a residential redevelopment project that includes units not affordable to low- and very low-income households, only the low- and very low-income units shall be eligible for benefits under the Act; and
16. The costs of daycare services for children of employees from low-income families working for businesses located within the RPA and all or a portion of the cost of operation of day care centers established by RPA businesses to serve employees from low-income families working in businesses located in the RPA. For the purposes of this paragraph, "lowincome families" means families whose annual income does not exceed eighty percent ( $80 \%$ ) of the City, county, or regional median income as determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.
If a special service area has been established pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act, 35 ILCS 235/0.01 et seg., then any tax increment revenues derived from the tax imposed pursuant to the Special Service Area Tax Act may be used within the RPA for the purposes permitted by the Special Service Area Tax Act, as well as the purposes permitted by the Act.

## Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs

The estimated eligible costs that are deemed to be necessary to implement this Redevelopment Plan and Project are shown in Table 2. The total eligible cost provides an upper limit on expenditures that are to be funded using tax increment revenues, exclusive of capitalized interest,
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issuance costs, interest, and other financing costs. Within this limit, adjustments may be made in line items without amendment to this Plan, to the extent permitted by the Act. Additional funding in the form of State, Federal, County, or local grants, private developer contributions, and other outside sources may be pursued by the City as a means of financing improvements and facilities that are of benefit to the general community.
Table 2: Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs
Eligible Expenses
Estimated Project Costs

Professional Services (including analysis, administration, $\quad \$ 800,000$
studies, surveys, legal, marketing, etc.)
Property Assembly (including acquisition, site preparation, $\quad \$ 8,000,000$
demolition, and environmental remediation)
Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, Fixtures, and Leasehold \$15,000,000
Improvements
Eligible Construction Costs (includes Affordable Housing
\$28,000,000
Construction Costs)
Relocation Costs
\$2,000,000
Public Works or Improvements (including streets and utilities, \$11,500,000
parks and open space, public facilities (schools \& other public
facilities)) (1)
Job Training, Retraining, Welfare-to-Work \$500,000
Interest Costs
\$3,000,000
Day Care Services
TOTAL REDEVELOPMENT COSTS (2), (3), (4)
(1) This category may also include paying Tor or reimbursing (i) an elemenlaiy, secondary, or unit school district's increased costs attributed to assisted housing units, and (ii) capital costs or taxing districts impacted by the redevelopment of the RPA. As permitted by the-Act, to the extent the City by written agreement accepts and approves the same, the City may pay, or reimburse all, or a portion of a taxing district's capital costs resulting from a redevelopment project necessarily incurred or to be incurred within a taxing district in furtherance ofthe objectives ofthe Plan.
(2) Total Redevelopment Project Costs exclude any additional financing costs, including any interest expense, capitalized interest, costs of issuance, and costs associated with optional redemptions. These costs are subject to prevailing market conditions and are in addition to Total Redevelopment Project Costs.
(3) The amount of the Total Redevelopment Project Costs that can be incurred in the RPA will be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs incurred in contiguous RPAs, or those separated from the RPA only by a public right-of-way, that are permitted under the Act to be paid, and are paid, from incremental property taxes generated in the RPA, but will not be reduced by the amount of redevelopment project costs' incurred in the RPA which are paid from incremental properly taxes generated in contiguous RPAs or those separated from the RPA only by a public right-of-way.
(4) Ail costs are in 2009 dollars and may be increased by five percent (5\%) after adjusting for annual inflation reflected in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for All Urban Consumers for All Items Tor the Chicago-Gary-Kenosha, 1L-1N-WI CMSA, published by the U. S. Department of Labor. In addition to the above stated costs, each issue of obligations issued to f.nance a phase ofthe Redevelopment Plan and Project may include an amount of proceeds sufficient to pay customary and reasonable charges associated with the issuance of such obligations, including interest costs.
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Adjustments to the estimated line item costs in Table 2 are anticipated and may be made by the City without amendment to the Redevelopment Plan and Project to the extent permitted by the Act. Each individual project cost will be reevaluated in light of projected private development and resulting incremental tax revenues as it is considered for public financing under the provisions of the Act. The totals of line items set forth above are not intended to place a limit on the described expenditures. Adjustments may be made in line items within the total, either increasing or decreasing line item costs as a result of changed redevelopment costs and needs.
In the event the Act is amended after the date of the approval of this Redevelopment Plan and Project by the City Council of Chicago to (a) include new eligible redevelopment project costs, or (b) expand the scope or increase the amount of existing eligible redevelopment project costs (such as, for example, by increasing the amount of incurred interest costs that may be paid under 65 ILCS 5/ll-74.4-3(q)(11)), this Redevelopment Plan and Project shall be deemed to incorporate such additional, expanded, or increased eligible costs as eligible costs under the Redevelopment Plan and Project, to the extent permitted by the Act. In the event of such amendment(s), the City may add any new eligible redevelopment project costs as a line item in Table 3, or otherwise adjust the line items in Table 2 without amendment to this Redevelopment Plan and Project, to the extent permitted by the Act. In no instance, however, shall such additions or adjustments result in an increase of more than five percent after adjustment for inflation in the total redevelopment project costs without a further amendment to this Redevelopment Plan and Project.

## Phasing and Scheduling of the Redevelopment

Each private project within the West Woodlawn RPA shall be governed by the terms of a written redevelopment agreement entered into by a designated developer and the City and approved by the City Council. Where tax increment funds are used to pay eligible redevelopment project costs, to the extent funds are available for such purposes, expenditures by the City shall be coordinated to coincide on a reasonable basis with the actual redevelopment expenditures of the developer(s).
The Redevelopment Plan and Project shall be completed, and all obligations issued to finance redevelopment costs shall be retired, no later than December $31^{\text {s1 }}$ of the year in which the payment to the City treasurer as provided in the Act is to
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be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third year calendar year following the year in which the ordinance approving this Redevelopment Plan and Project is adopted (by December 31, 2034, if the ordinances establishing the RPA are adopted during 2010).

## Sources of Funds to Pay Costs

Funds necessary to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs and secure municipal obligations issued for such costs are to be derived primarily from Incremental Property Taxes. Other sources of funds that may be used to pay for Redevelopment Project Costs or to secure municipal obligations include land disposition proceeds, state and federal grants, investment income, private financing, and other legally permissible funds the City may deem appropriate. The City may incur redevelopment project costs that are paid for from funds of the City other than
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incremental taxes, and the City may then be reimbursed for such costs from incremental taxes. Also, the City may permit the utilization of guarantees, deposits, and other forms of security made available by private sector developers. Additionally, the City may utilize revenues, other than State sales tax increment revenues, received under the Act from one redevelopment project area for eligible costs in another redevelopment project area that is either contiguous to, or is separated only by a public right-of-way from, the redevelopment project area from which the revenues are received. The West Woodlawn RPA is contiguous to the Woodlawn RPA. It may, in the future, be contiguous to, or be separated only by a public right-of-way from, other redevelopment areas created under the Act. The City may utilize net incremental property taxes received from the West Woodlawn RPA to pay eligible redevelopment project costs, or obligations issued to pay such costs, in contiguous redevelopment project areas or project areas separated only by a public right-of-way, and vice versa. The amount of revenue from the RPA, made available to support such contiguous redevelopment project areas, or those separated only by a public right-of-way, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the RPA, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in this Plan.
The West Woodlawn RPA may become contiguous to, or be separated only by a public right-of-way from, redevelopment project areas created under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1, et sea,.). If the City finds that the goals, objectives, and financial success of such contiguous redevelopment project areas or those separated only by a public right-of-way are interdependent with those ofthe RPA, the City may determine that it is in the best interests ofthe City and the furtherance of the purposes of the Plan that net revenues from the RPA be made available to support any such redevelopment project areas, and vice versa. The City therefore proposes to utilize net incremental revenues received from the RPA to pay eligible redevelopment project costs (which are eligible .under the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law referred to above) in any such areas and vice versa. Such revenues may be transferred or loaned between the RPA and such areas. The amount of revenue from the RPA so made available, when added to all amounts used to pay eligible Redevelopment Project Costs within the RPA or other areas as described in the preceding paragraph, shall not at any time exceed the total Redevelopment Project Costs described in Table 2 of this Plan.
If necessary, the redevelopment plans for other contiguous redevelopment project areas that may be or already have been created under the Act may be drafted or amended as applicable to add appropriate and parallel language to allow for sharing of revenues between such districts.

## Issuance of Obligations

To finance project costs, the City may issue bonds or obligations secured by Incremental Property Taxes generated within the West Woodlawn RPA pursuant to Section 11-74.4-7 ofthe Act. To enhance the .security of a municipal obligation, the City may pledge its full faith and credit through the issuance of general obligations bonds. In addition, the City may provide other legally permissible credit enhancements to any obligations issued pursuant to the Act.
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All obligations issued by the City pursuant to this Eligibility Study and Redevelopment Plan and the Act shall be retired within the timeframe described under "Phasing and Scheduling of the Redevelopment" above. Also, the final maturity date of any such obligations that are issued may not be later than 20 years from their respective dates of issue. One or more of a series of obligations may be sold at one or more times in order to implement this Eligibility Study and Redevelopment Plan. Obligations may be issued on a parity or subordinated basis.

In addition to paying Redevelopment Project Costs, Incremental Property Taxes may be used for the scheduled retirement of obligations, mandatory or optional redemptions, establishment of debt service reserves, and bond sinking funds. To the extent that Incremental Property Taxes are not needed for these purposes, and are not otherwise required, pledged, earmarked, or otherwise designated for the payment of Redevelopment Project Costs, any excess Incremental Property Taxes shall then become available for distribution annually to taxing districts having jurisdiction over the RPA in the manner provided by the Act.

## Most Recent Equalized Assessed Valuation of Properties in the Redevelopment Project Area

The purpose of identifying the most recent equalized assessed valuation ("EAV") of the West Woodlawn RPA is to provide an estimate of the initial EAV that the Cook County Clerk will certify for the purpose of annually calculating the incremental EAV and incremental property taxes ofthe West Woodlawn RPA. The estimated 2008 EAV ofthe 2,284 parcels in the RPA is approximately $\$ 142,623,312$. The 2008 EAV is based on the Assessor Certified Assessment multiplied by the 2008 Cook County Equalization Factor. This total EAV amount, by PIN, is summarized in Appendix 2. The EAV is subject to verification by the Cook County Clerk. After verification, the final figure shall be certified by the Cook County Clerk, and shall become the Certified Initial EAV from which all incremental property taxes in the RPA will be calculated by Cook County. The Plan has utilized the Assessor Certified EAVs for the 2008 tax year; the figure is not Board Certified. If the Board Certified 2008 EAV shall become available prior to the date of the adoption of the Plan by the City Council, the City may update the Plan by replacing the Assessor Certified EAV with the Board Certified EAV.

## Anticipated Equalized Assessed Valuation

By 2033, the EAV for the West Woodlawn RPA will be approximately $\$ 263,628,381$. This estimate is based on several key assumptions, including: 1) an inflation factor of two-and-one-half percent ( 2.5 percent) per year on the EAV of all properties within the West Woodlawn RPA, with its cumulative impact occurring in each triennial reassessment year; 2) an equalization factor of 2.9058 (2008 equalizafion factor) throughout the life of the RPA; and 3) estimated increment generated from the redevelopment of one ofthe RPA's large housing complexes.

## 6. Required Findings and Tests

## Lack of Growth and Private Investment

The City is required under the Act to evaluate whether or not the RPA has been subject to growth and private investment and must substantiate a finding of lack of such investment prior to establishing a tax increment financing district. To investigate a lack of growth and private
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investment within the West Woodlawn RPA, S. B. Friedman \& Company obtained and analyzed data for all building petvnits issued within the RPA between 2004 and 2009. This data was provided by the Department of Buildings. In addition, tax assessment data provided by the Cook County Assessor was analyzed for both the RPA and the City of Chicago.
A review of building permit data indicates that 1,283 building permits were issued within the West Woodlawn RPA between January 2004 and July 2009. Of this number, 11 permits ( $0.8 \%$ ) were issued for new construction and 49 permits $(3.8 \%)$ were issued for substantial rehabilitation of existing buildings in the RPA. The remaining permits were issued for minor additions (e.g. porches), repairs, or to address a previous code violation. Over the Five years studied, new construction permits were issued for 11 primary structures, comprised of eight residential buildings ( 24 units), three retail structures, and one office building.
The value of new construction and rehabilitation permits issued in the RPA during this time period totaled approximately $\$ 54$ million. However, $\$ 45$ million of the total value was assigned to one office building that is owned by the University of Chicago. The remaining permit value, $\$ 9$ million, covers 10 development projects that primarily consist of smaller residential (three units or less) and retail properties. The $\$ 9$ million in permit value accounts for less than three percent ofthe total assessor's market value for the RPA per year. This rate of investment is very low when compared to the overall value of private property within the RPA, which is currently estimated to exceed $\$ 306$ million, based on assessments made by the Cook County Assessor.
The most recent Equalized Assessed Value (EAV) of the RPA reflects the downward trend in housing values that has affected most of the general market. At its peak between 2005 and 2006, the EAV for the RPA increased nearly 50 percent. Market values during this time period, particularly for housing, skyrocketed and were overinfiated. This conclusion is further evidenced by the subsequent decrease in value two years later. The change in EAV between 2007 and 2008 was negative and it is anticipated that values will decline further in 2009 . The table below displays the percentage change in EAV between 2003 and 2008.

Table 3: Year to Year Percentage Change in EAV (2003-2008)

| $2003-2004$ | $2004-2005$ | $2005-2006$ | $2006-2007$ | $2007-2008$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-0.50 \%$ | $9.20 \%$ | $47.10 \%$ | $5.20 \%$ | $-0.90 \%$ |

Woodlawn
RPA
Given the decline in EAV, limited new construction activity, and widespread vacancy present within the RPA (as discussed in the Eligibility Study section), it is likely that public intervention is necessary to correct and restore private development activity. Additionally, the extensive infrastructure needs of the West Woodlawn RPA, as well as. the high cost of rehabilitating structures that have become obsolescent, significantly deteriorated, or have fallen below current standards for new development, it is unlikely that the West Woodlawn RPA will see substantial private investment without public intervention such as that envisioned in this Redevelopment Plan and Project.
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Finding: The Redevelopment Project Area (West Woodlawn RPA) on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through significant investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be $>$ anticipated to be developed without the adoption ofthe Redevelopment Plan and Project.

## Conformance to the Plans of the City

The West Woodlawn Redevelopment Plan and Project must conform to the comprehensive plan for the City, conform to the strategic economic development plans, or include land uses that have been approved by the Chicago Plan Commission.
The proposed land uses described in this Redevelopment Plan and Project will be approved by the Chicago Plan Commission prior to its adoption by the City Council.

## Dates of Completion

The dates of completion of the project and retirement of obligations are described under "Phasing and Scheduling of the Redevelopment" in Section 5 above.

## Financial Impact of the Redevelopment Project

As explained above, without the adoption of this Redevelopment Plan and Project and tax increment financing, the West Woodlawn RPA is not expected to see substantial investment from private enterprise. As a result, there is a genuine threat that property values in the area will stagnate or decline. This would lead to a reduction of real estate tax revenue to all taxing districts.
This document describes the comprehensive redevelopment program proposed to be undertaken by the City to create an environment in which private investment can reasonably occur. If a redevelopment project is successful, various new projects may be undertaken that will assist in alleviating blighting conditions, creating new jobs, and promoting both public and private development in the West Woodlawn RPA.
This Redevelopment Plan and Project is expected to have short- and long-term financial impacts on the affected taxing districts. During the period when tax increment financing is utilized, real estate tax increment revenues from the increases in EAV over and above the certified initial EAV (established at the time of adoption of this document by the City) may be used to pay eligible redevelopment project costs for the West Woodlawn RPA. At the time when the West Woodlawn RPA is no longer in place under the Act, the real estate tax revenues resulting from the redevelopment ofthe West Woodlawn RPA will be distributed to all taxing districts levying taxes against property located in the West Woodlawn RPA. These revenues will then be available for use by the affected taxing districts.
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## Demand on Taxing District Services and Program to Address Financial and Service Impact

In 1994, the Act was amended to require an assessment of any financial impact of a redevelopment project area on, or any increased demand for service from, any taxing district affected by the redevelopment plan, and a description of any program to address such financial impacts or increased demand.
The City intends to monitor development in the West Woodlawn RPA and,, with the cooperation of the other affected taxing districts, will attempt to ensure that any increased needs are addressed in connection with any particular development. The following major taxing districts presently levy taxes on properties located within the West Woodlawn RPA and maintain the listed facilities within the boundaries of the RPA, or within close proximity to the RPA boundaries:

1. City of Chicago
o E47 Fire Station, 432 E. Marquette Road
o $3^{\text {rd }}$ District Police Station, 7040 S. Cottage Grove Avenue.
2. Chicago Board of Education
a Sexton Elementary School, 6020 S. Langley Avenue
o Fiske Elementary School, 6145 S. Ingleside Avenue
o Till Elementary Math \& Science Academy, 6543 S. Champlain Avenue
» Dulles Elementary, 6311 S. Calumet Avenue
3. Chicago School Finance Authority
4. Chicago Park District
o Washington Park, 5531 S. King Drive o Park No. 524, 6200 S. Drexel Avenue o Midway Plaisance, 5950 S.
Woodlawn Avenue
5. Chicago Park District Aquarium and Museum Bonds
6. City of Chicago Library Fund
» Bessie Coleman Library, 731 E. $63{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street
7. Chicago Community College District 508
8. Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago
9. County of Cook
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10. Cook County Forest Preserve District

Map 6 illustrates the locations of community facilities operated by the above listed taxing districts within or in close proximity to the West Woodlawn RPA. Redevelopment activity may cause increased demand for services from one or more of the above listed taxing districts. The anticipated nature of the increased demand for services on these taxing districts, and the proposed activities to address increased demand, are described below.
City of Chicago. The City is responsible for a wide range of municipal services including: police and fire protection; capital improvements and maintenance; water supply and distribution; sanitation service; and building, housing, arid zoning codes. Replacement of vacant and underutilized sites with active and more intensive uses may result in additional demands on services and facilities provided by the districts.
Additional costs to the City for police, fire, and recycling and sanitation services arising front residential development may occur. However, it is expected that any increase in demand for the City services and programs associated with the West Woodlawn RPA can be handled adequately by City police, fire protection, sanitary collection and recycling services, and programs currently maintained and operated by the City. The redevelopment of the West Woodlawn RPA will not require expansion of services in this area.
City of Chicago Library Fund. The Library Fund, supported primarily by property taxes, provides for the operation and maintenance of City of Chicago public libraries. Additional costs to the City for library services arising from residential development may occur. However, it is expected that any increase in demand for City library services and programs associated with the West Woodlawn RPA can be handled adequately by existing City library services. The redevelopment of the West Woodlawn RPA will not require expansion of services in this area.
Chicago Board of Education and Associated Agencies. General responsibilities of the Board of Education include the provision, maintenance and operation of educational facilities and the provision of education services for kindergarten through twelfth grade.
It is possible that some families who purchase housing or rent new apartments in the West Woodlawn RPA will send their children to public schools, putting increased demand on area school districts. However, it is unlikely that the scope of new residential construction would exhaust the existing capacity of public schools that serve residents of the RPA.
Existing capacity was verified through data provided from the Department of School Demographics and Planning at the Chicago Public Schools (CPS). According to information from CPS, design capacity for a school is determined by counting the total number of classrooms and multiplying that number by 30 . In elementary and middle schools, program capacity is calculated as $80 \%$ of the design capacity, allowing schools to use a percentage of classroom space for nontraditional learning spaces (e.g., art rooms, music rooms, computer rooms). In a high school, scheduling and more student/teacher mobility allow program capacity to be $100 \%$ of the design capacity. I
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Data obtained from CPS reveal that the elementary (PK-8) and high schools that include the West Woodlawn RPA in their respective catchment areas currently operate at the following capacities:

| School Name | Address | School <br> Type | Inside/ Outside RPA | Enrollme nt | Enrollment <br> Design <br> Capacity | Enrollment as \% of Design Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sexton Elementary | 6020 S. Langley | K-8 | Inside | 423 | 1,170 | 36.2\% |
| School | Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiske Elementary | 6145 S. Ingleside | K-8- | Outside | 325 | 705 | 46.1\% |
| School | Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |
| Till Elementary Math | 6543 S. Champlain | K-8 | Inside | 639 | 1,755 | 36.4\% |
| \& Science Academy | Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dulles Elementary | 6311 S. Calumet | K-8 | Outside | 429 | 1,140 | 37.6\% |
| School | Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hyde Park Area High | 6220 S. Stony Island | 9-12 | Outside | 1,962 | 1,962 | 87\% |

School
All schools currently serving residents within the RPA have enrollments that are below their design capacity. Any increase in households with school aged children would likely not significantly impact the overall enrollment of these facilities. Additionally, increased costs to the local schools resulting from children residing in TIF-assisted housing units will trigger those provisions within the Act that provide for reimbursement to the affected school district(s) where eligible. The City intends to monitor development in the West Woodlawn RPA and, with the cooperation of the Board of Education, will attempt to ensure that any increased demands on the services and capital improvements provided by the Board of Education are addressed in connection with each new residential project.
Chicago Park District. The Chicago Park District is responsible for the provision, maintenance, and operation of park and recreational facilities throughout the City, and for the provision of recreation programs.
Community College District 508. This district is a unit ofthe State of Illinois' system of public community colleges, whose objective is to meet the educational needs of residents of the City and other students seeking higher education programs and services.
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District. This district provides the main trunk lines for the collection of wastewater from Cities, Villages, and Towns, and for the treatment and disposal thereof
It is expected that any increase in demand for treatment of sanitary and storm sewage associated with the West Woodlavm RPA can be handled adequately by existing treatment facilities maintained and operated by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.
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Therefore, no special program is proposed for the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago. County of Cook. The County has principal responsibility for the protection of persons and property, the provision of public health services, and the maintenance of County highways.
It is expected that any increase in demand for Cook County services can be handled adequately by existing services and programs maintained and operated by the County. Therefore, at this time, no special programs are proposed for this taxing district. Should demand increase, the City will work with the taxing district to determine what, if any, program is necessary to provide adequate services. f
Cook County Forest Preserve District. The Forest Preserve District is responsible for acquisition, restoration, and management of lands for the purpose of protecting and preserving open space in the City and County for the education, pleasure, and recreation ofthe public. It is expected that any increase in demand for Forest Preserve services can be handled adequately by existing facilities and programs maintained and operated by the District. No special programs are proposed for the Forest Preserve.
Given the nature of the Redevelopment Plan and Project, specific fiscal impacts on the taxing districts and increases in demand for services provided by those districts cannot be wholly predicted within the scope of this plan.

## 7. Provisions for Amending Redevelopment Plan and Project

This Redevelopment Plan and Project and Project document may be amended pursuant to the provisions ofthe Act.

## 8. Commitment to Fair Employment Practices and Affirmative Action Plan

File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1

The City is committed to and will require developers to follow and affirmatively implement the following principles with respect to this Redevelopment Plan and Project. However, the City shall have the right in its sole discretion to exempt certain small businesses, residential property owners, and developers from the above.
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A. The assurance of equal opportunity in all personnel and employment actions with respect to this Redevelopment Plan and Project, including, but not limited to, hiring, training, transfer, promotion, discipline, fringe benefits, salary, employment working conditions, terminations, etc. without regard to race, color, sex, age, religion, disability, national origin, ancestry, sexual orientation, marital status, parental status, military dischatge status, source of income, or housing status.
B. Meeting the City's standards for participation of twenty four percent (24\%) Minority Business Enterprises and four percent (4\%) Women Business Enterprises and the City Resident Construction Worker Employment Requirement as required in redevelopment agreements.
C. The commitment to affirmative action and non-discrimination will ensure that all members of the protected groups are sought out to compete for all job openings and promotional opportunities.
D. Redevelopers will meet City standards for any applicable prevailing wage rate as ascertained by the Illinois Department of Labor to all project employees.
[Maps 1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 5 and 6 and Appendix 2 referred to in this West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan and Project printed on pages 107372 through 107406 of this Journal.]
[Appendix 1 referred to in this West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan and Project constitutes Exhibit "C" to ordinance and printed on pages 107432 through 107434 of this Journa/.]
Appendix 3 referred to in this West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area Tax Increment Finance District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan and Project reads as follows:
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Appendix 3.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project) Housing /mpacf Study.

## Assessment of Housing Impact

The purpose of this section is to conduct a Housing Impact Study for the West Woodlavm RPA as set forth in the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (the "Act") 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq., as amended. The Act requires that if the redevelopment plan for a redevelopment project area would result in the displacement of residents from 10 or more inhabited residential units, or if the redevelopment project area contains 75 or more inhabited residential units and the City is unable to certify that no displacement of residents will occur, the municipality shall prepare a Housing Impact Study and incorporate the study into the separate Feasibility Report required by subsection 1 1-74.4-5(a) of the Act, which for the purposes hereof shall also be the "West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project and Plan," or the "Redevelopment Plan."
The primary goal of the Redevelopment Project and Plan is to provide the necessary mechanisms to re-establish the West Woodlawn RPA as a cohesive and vibrant mixed-use area that provides a comprehensive range of commercial and retail uses, as well as a diverse mix of housing types to current and future residents, while accommodating institutional uses where appropriate. Currently, there are no proposed Redevelopment Projects that will result in the displacement of any inhabited residential units. However, since the RPA contains more than 75 inhabited residential units and future redevelopment activity could conceivably result in the removal of inhabited residential units over the 23 -year life of the RPA, a housing impact study is required. Under the provisions of the Act:
Part I of the housing impact study shall include:
(i) Data as to whether the residential units are single family or multi-family units;
(ii) The number and type of rooms within the units, if that information is available;
(iii) Whether the units are inhabited or uninhabited, as determined not less than 45 days before the date that the ordinance or resolution required by subsection (a) of Section 11-74.4-5 of the Act is passed; and
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(iv) Data as to the racial and ethnic composition of the residents in the inhabited residential units, which data requirement shall be deemed to be fully satisfied if based on data from the most recent federal Census.
Part II of the housing impact study identifies the inhabited residential units in the proposed redevelopment project area that are to be, or may be, removed. If inhabited residential units are to be removed, then the housing impact study shall identify:
(i) The number and location of those units that will be, or may be, removed;
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(ii) The municipality's plans for relocation assistance for those residents in the proposed redevelopment project area whose residences are to be removed;
(iii) The availability of replacement housing for those residents whose residences are to be removed, and identification of the type, location, and cost of the replacement
${ }^{\wedge}$ housing; and
(iv) The type and extent of relocation assistance to be provided. PARTI
(i) Number and Type of Residential Units

The number and type of residential buildings in the area were identified during the building condition and land use survey conducted as part of the eligibility analysis for the area. This survey, completed in July 2009, revealed that the West Woodlawn RPA contains 1,392 residential buildings containing 5,556 total dwelling units. The number of residential units by building type is described as follows:

| Building Type | Total Buildings | Total Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single-Family | 312 | 315 |
| Multi-Family | 1,080 | 5,241 |
| Total | 1,392 | 5,556 |

Source: S. B. Friedman \& Company
Note: On-campus residences for the University of Chicago were excluded from these total housing unit and type counts.
(ii) Number and Type of Rooms within Units

The distribution within the West Woodlawn RPA of the 5,556 residential units by number of rooms and by number of bedrooms is identified in tables within this section. The methodology to determine this information is described below. Methodology
In order to describe the distribution of residential units by number and type of rooms within the West Woodlawn RPA, S. B. Friedman \& Company analyzed 2000 Census data by Block Groups for those Block Groups encompassed by the Redevelopment Project Area. A Block Group is a combination of Census blocks, and is the lowest level of geography for which the Census Bureau tabulates sample, or long-form, data. In this study, we have relied on 2000 US Census sample data because it is the best available information regarding the structures and residents of the Redevelopment Project Area. These Block Group data show the distribution of housing units by the number of bedrooms and the total number of rooms within each unit. We then applied the 2000 distribution percentage to the total number of units identified by the survey. The estimated distribution of units by bedroom type and number of rooms are described as follows:
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Units by Bedroom Type'

Number of Bedrooms
Studio
1 Bedroom
2 Bedrooms
3 Bedrooms
4 Bedrooms
5+ Bedrooms
Total

| 2000 Census | Current Estimate for RPA |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8 \%$ | 445 |
| $19 \%$ | 1,079 |
| $36 \%$ | 1,980 |
| $30 \%$ | 1,667 |
| $5 \%$ | 268 |
| $2 \%$ | 117 |
| $100 \%$ | 5,556 |

Source: 2000 U.S. Census
Units by Number of Rooms ${ }^{2}$
Number of Rooms
2000 Census
Current Estimate for RPA
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| 1 Room | $4 \%$ | 209 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Rooms | $5 \%$ | 301 |
| 3 Rooms | $15 \%$ | 829 |
| 4 Rooms | $19 \%$ | 1,046 |
| 5 Rooms | $30 \%$ | 1,656 |
| 6 Rooms | $15 \%$ | 858 |
| 7 Rooms | $6 \%$ | 355 |
| 8 Rooms | $1 \%$ | 50 |
| • $9+$ Rooms | $5 \%$ | 252 |
| Total | $100 \%$ | 5,556 |

Source: 2000 U.S. Census
As defined by the Census Bureau, Number of Bedrooms includes all rooms intended for use as bedrooms even if they are currently used for some other purpose. A Housing Unit consisting of only one room, such as a one-room efficiency apartment, is classified, by definition, as having no bedroom.
${ }^{2}$ As defined by the Census Bureau, for each unit, rooms include living rooms, dining rooms, kitchens, bedrooms, finished recreation rooms, enclosed porches suitable for year-round use, and lodger's rooms. Excluded are strip or Pullman kitchens, bathrooms, open porches, balconies, halls or foyers, half-rooms, utility rooms, unfinished attics or basements, or other unfinished space used for storage. A partially divided room is a separate room only if there is a partition from floor to ceiling, but not if the partition consists solely of shelves or cabinets.'
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(iii) Number of Inhabited Units

According to data compiled from the survey completed by S. B. Friedman \& Company in July 2009, the West Woodlavm RPA contains an estimated 5,556 residential units of which 502 units (or $9 \%$ ) are estimated to be vacant. Therefore, there are approximately 5,054 total inhabited units within the redevelopment area. As required by the Act, this information was ascertained as of July 2009, which is a date not less than 45 days prior to the date that the resolution or ordinance required by Subsection 11-74.4-5 (a) of the Act was, or will be, passed (the resolution or ordinance setting the public hearing and Joint Review Board meeting dates).
(iv) Race and Ethnicity of Residents (
As required by the Act, the racial and ethnic composition of the residents in the inhabited residential units was determined. According to U.S. Census data, the average household size within the Block Groups which comprise the West Woodlawn RPA was 2.65 persons in 2009. Therefore, there are an estimated 13,393 residents living within the proposed boundaries. The race and ethnic composition of these residents is as follows:

Black or African-American Alone
White Alone
Asian Alone
American Indian or Alaska Native Alone
Some other race Alone
Two other races
Total
Source: 2000 U.S. Census
Hispanic Origin
Hispanic
Non-Hispanic
Total

Estimated Residents (2009) Percentage
13,017 97.2\%
$157 \quad 1.2 \%$
$18 \quad 0.1 \%$
$37 \quad 0.3 \%$
$97 \quad 0.7 \%$
$13,393 \quad 100 \%$

Estimated Residents (2009) Percentage
$1150.9 \%$.
13,278 $\quad 99.1 \%$
$13,393 \quad 100 \%$

Source: 2000 U.S. Census
We have also estimated the potential distribution by income of the households living in the inhabited units within the West Woodlavm RPA.
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In order to estimate the number of moderate-, low-, very low-, and very, very low-income households in the RPA, S. B. Friedman \& Company used data from Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI), a national demographic and income data provider. As determined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the definitions of the above-mentioned income categories, adjusted for family size, are as follows:
(i) A very, very low-income household has an adjusted income of less than $30 \%$ of the area median income.
(ii) A very low-income household earns between $30 \%$ and $50 \%$ of the area median income.
(iii) A low-income household earns between $50 \%$ and $80 \%$ of the area median.
(iv) A moderate-income household earns between $80 \%$ and $120 \%$ of the area median.

ESRI estimates that of all households residing within the Block Groups encompassed by the West Woodlawn RPA, 61\% may be classified as very low-income or lower, $20 \%$ may be classified as low-income, and $12 \%$ may be classified as moderate-income households.
Households By Income Category

| Income Category | 2009 Percentage (from ESRI) | Number of Households | Annual Income Range (Average HH of 3 Persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very, Very Low Income | 48\% | 2,438 | \$0-\$20,370 |
| Very Low-Income | 13\% | 673 | 20,371-\$33,950 |
| Low-Income | 20\% | 988 | \$33,951-\$54,250 |
| Moderate-Income | 12\% | 628 | \$54,251-\$85,480 |
| Subtotal: Moderate-Income 094\% |  | 4,727 | SO - \$85,480 |
| Below |  |  |  |
| Above Moderate-Income | 6\% | 327 | \$81,481 + |
| Total | 100\% | 5,054 | -- |

Source: HUD, ESRI, and S. B. Friedman \& Co. PART II
(i) Number and Location of Units to be Removed

There are currently plans to replace one of the larger housing developments in the RPA with new affordable housing. Grove Pare Plaza will be redeveloped to include 419 units of affordable, moderate, and market-rate housing. The Preservation of Affordable Housing (POAH), the developer for the project, contends that no permanent displacement will occur. As of July 2009, 107366
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359 of Grove Pare Plaza's 504 units were occupied. Tenants residing in Grove Pare at the start of construction will be relocated to temporary units until the construction of their unit is complete. Beyond the Grove Pare redevelopment project, there are no other proposed Redevelopment Projects that will result in the displacement of any inhabited residential units. Therefore, it is impossible to determine the exact extent to which future projects receiving tax increment assistance (or other public projects implemented in furtherance of the Redevelopment Plan) will bring about the permanent removal of residences. However, it is probable that some existing units may be removed as a result of redevelopment activity over the 23 -year life of the RPA. In order to meet the statutory requirement of defining the number and location of inhabited residential units that may be removed, a methodology was established that would provide a rough, yet reasonable, estimate. This methodology is described below.
Methodology
The methodology used to fulfill the statutory requirements of defining the number and location of inhabited residential units that may be removed involves three steps:
(i) Step one counts all inhabited residential units identified on any acquisition lists or maps. No pre-existing acquisition lists or maps were identified, nor has an acquisition list been included in the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project and Plan. We therefore assume that no inhabited residential units are likely to be removed due to demolition or rehabilitation of dilapidated buildings.
(ii) Step two counts the number of inhabited residential units located on parcels that are dilapidated as defined by the Act. A survey of the entire RPA completed in July 2009 identified no dilapidated buildings. We therefore assume that no
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inhabited residential units are likely to be removed due to demolition or rehabilitation of dilapidated buildings.
(iii) Step three counts the number of inhabited residential units that exist where the future land use indicated by the Redevelopment Plan will not include residential uses. After reviewing the Proposed Future Land Use for the West Woodlawn RPA, we determined that there will be no units impacted by changes to the existing land use. Therefore, the number of inhabited residential units that may be removed due to future land use change is zero.
We have identified all of the inhabited units that meet the criteria described above in order to arrive at a reasonable projection of the total number of inhabited residential units that may be removed as a result of redevelopment projects that are undertaken in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan. Though our analysis above suggests that no inhabited residential units are likely to be removed, it is uncertain whether any such units will actually be removed as a result of projects within the RPA.
Based on the income distributions in and around the RPA, it is reasonable to assume that approximately $94 \%$ of households that may be displaced during the life of the RPA are of moderate, low, very low, or very, very low income. However, it is possible that a higher percentage, up to $100 \%$, of potentially displaced households lie within these income brackets. Part II, subpart (iii) of this section discusses in detail the availability of replacement housing for households of low income or lower.
11/17/2010
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(ii) Relocation Plan

The City's plan for relocation assistance for those qualified residents in the West Woodlawn RPA whose residences may be removed shall be consistent with the requirements set forth in Section $11-74.4-3(\mathrm{n})(7)$ of the Act. The terms and conditions of such assistance are described in subpart (iv) below. No specific relocation plan has been prepared by the City as of this date; until such a redevelopment project is approved, there is no certainty that any removal of residences will actually occur.
(iii) Replacement Housing

In accordance with Subsection $11-74.4-3(n)(7)$ of the Act, the City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that affordable replacement housing located in or near the West Woodlawn RPA is available for any qualified displaced residents. To promote development of affordable housing, the Redevelopment Plan requires that developers who receive tax increment financing assistance for market-rate housing are to set aside at least 20 percent of the units to meet affordability criteria established by the City's Department of Housing. Generally, this means that income-restricted rental units should be affordable to households earning no more than 60 percent of the area median income (adjusted for family size). If, during the 23-year life of the West Woodlavm RPA, the acquisition plans change, the City shall make every effort to ensure that appropriate replacement housing will be found in the Redevelopment Project Area or the surrounding Woodlawn Community Area.
In order to determine the availability of replacement housing for those residents who may potentially be displaced by redevelopment activity, S. B. Friedman \& Company examined several data sources, including vacancy data from the 2000 U.S. Census, apartment listings from local newspapers, and housing sales data from Multiple Listing Service.

Vacancy Data
According to the 2000 Census, the 13 Block Groups surrounding and encompassing the West Woodlawn RPA contained 7,277 housing units, of which $925(13 \%)$ were vacant. For the purposes of this analysis, the term "RPA Vicinity" refers to these Block Groups. The following table shows the distribution of vacant residential units in the RPA by vacancy status, as compared to the City of Chicago as a whole.
Vacancy Status
Vacancy Rate by Vacancy Status
Share of all Housing UnitsCitvwide Percentage (RPA Vicinity)

| For rent | $8.8 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| For sale | $0.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Rented/sold but not occupied | $1.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| For seasonal/recreational/occasional use/migrant | $0.1 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Other | $2.1 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL VACANT UNITS | $13.0 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
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The percentage of residential units that are vacant and awaiting rental in the RPA Vicinity is more than twice that of the City of Chicago ( $8.8 \%$ vs. $3.4 \%$ ), suggesting the potential that a relatively large supply of replacement rental housing exists in the RPA Vicinity. The percentage of ownership housing units that are vacant and awaiting sale in the RPA Vicinity is comparable to that of the city as a whole, while the overall rate of residential vacancy in the RPA is nearly twice that of the city.
Replacement Rental Housing
According to information obtained from the City of Chicago by S. B. Friedman \& Company, there are no current projects located within the West Woodlawn RPA that will result in a loss of permanent housing units. However, the possibility remains that some existing units may be removed in the future as a result of redevelopment activity over the 23-year life of the RPA. Therefore, our firm has defined a sample of possible replacement rental housing units located within the Woodlawn Community Area.
The location, type, and cost of this sample were determined through the examination of classified advertisements from the Chicago Tribune and the Chicago Sun Times during the month of August 2009. It is important to note that the Chicago metro-area has a rental cycle where apartments turn over at a greater rate on May 1 and October 1 of each year. These higher turnover times would likely reflect a wider variety of rental rates, unit sizes, and locations than those available in the months surveyed for this study.
The range of maximum affordable monthly rents, according to HUD standards, is shown in the following table in comparison with the advertised rents found in the above-mentioned newspaper listings.

Maximum Monthly Rent (Including Utilitie: Affordable to Income Bracket

| Number of | Implied | Very, VeryVery Low Low |  |  | Moderate | Observed | Units in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrooms | Family Size* |  |  |  | Range** | Sample |
| Studio | 1 | \$396 | \$660 | \$1,055 |  | \$1,583 | \$636-\$903 | 3 |
| 1 | 1.5 | \$424 | \$707 | \$1,131 | \$1,697 | \$417-\$ 1,046 | 7 |
| 2 | 3 | \$509 | \$848 | \$1,357 | \$2,036 | \$706-\$1,578 | 17 |
| 3 | 4.5 | \$588 | \$980 | \$1,568 | \$2,352 | \$1,145-52,009 | 12 |
| 4 | 6 | \$656 | \$1,093 | \$1,749 | \$2,624 | \$1,218-\$1,523 | 2 |
| 5 | 7.5 | \$724 | \$1,206 | \$1,930 | \$2,895 | \$2,133 | 1 |
| 6 | 9 | \$792 | \$1,320 | \$2,111 | \$3,167 | n/a | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |

Source: HUD, Chicago Tribune, Chicago Sun-Times, S. B. Friedman \& Company , *Derived from the number of bedrooms using HUD formulas. **Based on a random sample of apartments located in the Woodlawn Community Area and advertised in the Chicago Tribune and Sun Times during the month of August 2009.
11/17/2010
REPORTS OF COMMITTEES
107369
The table on the following page provides a detailed summary of the apartment listings found in the Chicago Tribune and Chicago Sun Times during the month of December. Since HUD affordability standards state that monthly rent, including utilities, should equal no more than $30 \%$ of gross household income, S. B. Friedman \& Company has adjusted the monthly rents listed below to include utility payments using Section 8 utility cost estimates for various apartment unit sizes developed by the Chicago Housing Authority.
West Woodlawn RPA
Survey of Apartwent Listings within the Vicinty ofthe West Woodlawn RPA

| Ref.\# | Street Address | ZIP | Bedrooms | Adjusted <br> Rent <br> 1 | 6126 S. Woodlawn |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| 5 | 1016 E. 62nd Street | 60637 | 1 | $\$ 696$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 6126 S. Woodlawn | 60637 | 1 | $\$ 771$ | | Chicago craigslist |
| :--- |
| 7 |
| 6430 S. Stony Island |

## JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO <br> 11/17/2010

The table indicates that the apartment listings generally reflect the mix of unit sizes (as measured by the number of bedrooms) cited from the 2000 Census, although units with fewer than two bedrooms are less prevalent in the sample collected by SBFCo. In this sample (summarized above), approximately $24 \%$ of available rental units have less than two bedrooms, while the 2000 Census indicates approximately $27 \%$ of residential units in the RPA Vicinity have that number of bedrooms. The sample also suggests that the RPA may contain relatively few non-income-restricted units affordable to very, very low-income households.
S. B. Friedman \& Company has also researched the availability of subsidized and income-restricted housing in and near the West Woodlawn RPA. According to data provided by the Illinois Housing Development Authority (IHDA), there are at least 1,290 units in IHDA properties in the Woodlawn Community Area. Of this total, all are income-restricted units, including an estimated 63 unit of project-based Section 8 housing. In Section 8 housing, qualifying households are required to pay $30 \%$ of their income as monthly rent, with the Section 8 subsidy making up the difference between that amount and the contract rent. Additionally, as noted in the preceding table, several of apartments in the vicinity of the West Woodlawn RPA are eligible for tenants with Section 8 vouchers.
Replacement For-Sale Housing
In order to determine the availability of replacement for-sale housing for those home owners who may potentially be displaced, S. B. Friedman \& Company reviewed data available from the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) of Northern Illinois which lists most of the currently active for-sale properties in the Northern Illinois region, as well as historical data listing housing sales within the region over the past three years. The following table describes housing sales for detached and attached (condominium and town home) residential units within the Woodlawn Community Area for the past three years, as well as all current available properties listed for sale.

| Price Ranee | 2007 Sales | 2008 Sales | 2009 Sales (through <br> July 2009) | Currently Active <br> Properties * (as of July <br> $2009)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 0-5100,000$ | 21 | 32 | 59 | 37 |
| $\$ 100,000-5150,000$ | 20 | 11 | 7 | 22 |
| $\$ 150,000-\$ 200,000$ | 67 | 23 | 17 | 34 |
| $\$ 200,000-\$ 250,000$ | 63 | 22 | 8 | 27 |
| $\$ 250,000 \&$ Above | 56 | 24 | 8 | 32 |
| Totals | 227 | 112 | 99 | 152 |

Source: Multiple Listing Service of Northern Illinois, S. B. Friedman \& Company
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Based on the available data, we anticipate that the rental and for-sale residential markets for the Community Areas in and around West Woodlawn RPA should be adeouate to furnish needed replacement housing for those residents that may potentially be displaced because of redevelopment activity within the RPA. There are no planned redevelopment projects that will reduce the number of residential units within the RPA, and those types of mixed-use projects which might be proposed in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan may include new - residential units. Therefore, it is assumed that any displacement caused by activities as part of the Redevelopment Plan could potentially occur simultaneously with the development of new housing, either rental or for-sale. As a result, there could potentially be a net gain of residential units within the RPA. Furthermore, it is likely that any displacement of units would occur incrementally over the 23 -year life ofthe RPA as individual development projects are initiated.
(iv) Relocation Assistance

In the event that the implementation of the Plan results in the removal of residential housing units in the Project Area occupied by low -income households or very low-income households, or the displacement of low-income households or very low-income households from such residential housing units, such households shall be provided affordable housing and relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and the regulations hereunder, including the eligibility criteria. Affordable housing may be either existing or newly constructed housing. The City shall make a good faith effort to ensure that this affordable housing is located in or near the Project Area.
As used in the above paragraph, "low-income households," "very low-income households," and "affordable housing" have the meanings set forth in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable Housing Act, 310 ILCS' $65 / 3$ et seq., as amended. As of the date of this study, these statutory terms are defined as follows:
(i) "Low-income household" means a single person, family, or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is more than
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$50 \%$ but less than $80 \%$ ofthe median income of the area of residence, adjusted for family size, as such adjusted income and median income are determined from time to time by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") for purposes of Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937;
(ii) "Very low-income household" means a single person, family, or unrelated persons living together whose adjusted income is not more than $50 \%$ of the median income ofthe area of residence, adjusted for family size, as so determined by HUD; and
(iii) "Affordable housing" means residential housing that, so long as the same is occupied by low-income households or very lowincome households, requires payment of monthly housing costs, including utilities other than telephone, of no more than $30 \%$ of the maximum allowable income for such households, as applicable.
The Citv of Chicago will make a eood faith effort to relocate these households to affordable housing located in or near the West Woodlawn RPA and will provide relocation assistance not less than that which would be provided under the federal Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Policies Act of 1970.
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## Map 1.

(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Community Confexf.
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Map 2.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
i RPA Boundary.
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## . Map 3.

(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan
And Project)
Existing Land-Use.
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11/17/2010 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES 107375
Map $4 A$
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Eligibility Factor,- Age (35+ Years).

## *! r. >--wthpllil

City of Chicago
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Tax Increment Finance District
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Map 4B.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Eligibility Factor - Deterioration.
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Map 4C.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Eligibility Factor- Inadequate Utilities.
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Map 4D.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Eligibility Factor - Structures Below Minimum Code.

## City of Chicago

West Woodlawn •
Tax Increment Finance Dislrict
December 2009
jFS.B. FrIeiman A Company
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Map 4E.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Eligibility Factor - Lack Of Community Planning.
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Map 5.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Proposed Future Land-Cse.
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Map 6.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Community Facilities.
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Appendix 2.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 1 of 25) No. PIN 2008 Proposed2008 Proposed F
1 20-14-301-001-0000 \$25,080 \$72,877
20-14-301-002-0000 \$0 \$0
20-14-301-003-0000 \$0 \$0
20-14-301-008-0000 \$0 \$0
20-14-301-024-0000 \$4,938 \$14,349
20-14-301-026-0000 \$0 \$0

20-14-301-027-0000 \$78,805 \$228,992
20-14-307-001-0000 \$31,427 \$91,321
20-14-307-002-0000 \$61,833 \$179,674

20-14-307-007-0000 \$13,662 \$39,699
II 20-14-307-008-0000 $\$ 27,324 \quad \$ 79,398$
20-14-307-016-0000 \$230,624 \$670,147
20-14-307-018-0000 \$107,968 \$313,733
20-14-307-019-0000 \$96,993 \$281,842

20-14-307-020-0000 \$13,426 \$39,013
20-15-401-001-0000 \$0 \$0
20-15-401-002-0000 \$27,018 \$78,509

20-15-401-003-0000 $\$ 16,669 \quad \$ 48,437$
20-15-401-004-0000 \$4,977 \$14,462
20-15-401-005-0000 \$31,389 \$91,210
20-15-401-006-0000 \$40,576 \$117,906
20-15-401-007-0000 \$5,775 \$16,781
20-15-401-010-0000 \$0 \$0
20-15-401-011-0000 \$0 \$0
20-15-401-012-0000 \$5,813 \$16,891
20-15-401-014-0000 \$41,803 \$121,471
20-15-401-015-0000 \$13,882 \$40,338
20-15-401-016-0000 \$10,780 \$31,325
20-15-401-017-0000 \$9,026 \$26,228
20-15-401-018-0000 \$34,111 \$99,120

20-15-401-019-0000 \$18,658 \$54,216
20-15-401-020-0000 \$17,784 \$51,677
20-15-401-021-0000 \$15,775 \$45,839
20-15-401-022-0000 \$17,680 \$51,375
20-15-401-023-0000 \$40,707 \$118,286
20-15-401-024-0000 \$0 •\$0
20-15-401-025-0000 \$0 \$0
20-15-401-026-0000 \$42,445 \$123,337
20-15-401-027-0000 \$24,797 \$72,055
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## Project)

Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 2 of 25)
No. PIN 2008 Prop2008 Propo

20-15-402-019-\$43,968 \$127,762
20-15-402-020-|\$35,575 \$103,374
20-15-402-021-\$27,309 \$79,354
20-15-402-022-\$4,812 \$13,983
20-I5-402-023-(\$2,406 \$6,991
20-15-402-024-\$2,406 \$6,991
20-15-402-025-\$47,129 \$136,947
20-15-402-026-\$37,151 \$107,953
20-15-402-027-\$28,500 \$82,815
20-15-402-028-\$38,086 \$110,670
20-15-402-029-\$618,209 \$1,796,392
20-15-403-001-\$28,835 \$83,789
20-15-403-002-\$25,326 \$73,592
20-15-403-003-1\$0 \$0
20-15-403-004-\$49,018 \$142,437
20-15-403-005-\$45,619 \$132,560
20-15-403-007-\$4,851 \$14,096
20-15-403-008-\$1,554 \$4,516
20-15-403-009-\$23,378 \$67,932
20-15-403-010-\$17,844 \$51,851
20-15-403-011-£16,945 \$49,239
20-15-403-012-\$8,208 \$23,851
20-15-403-013-\$16,537 \$48,053
20-15-403-014-\$16,527 \$48,024
20-15-403-015-\$17,169 \$49,890
20-15-403-016-\$28,589 \$83,074
20-15-403-017-1\$0 \$0
20-15-403-018-\$25,808 \$74,993
20-15-403-021-\$17,660 \$51,316
20-15-403-022-\$45,600 \$132,504
20-15-403-024-\$25,885 \$75,217
20-15-403-025-\$27,616 \$80,247
20-15-403-026-1\$0 \$0
20-15-403-027-\$1,705 \$4,954
20-15-403-028-1\$24,886 \$72,314
20-15-403-029-\$1,705 \$4,954
20-15-403-030-\$25,675 \$74,606
20-15-403-031-\$33,471 \$97,260
20-15-403-032-\$25,164 \$73,122
20-I5-403-033-(\$8,021 \$23,307
20-15-403-034-1\$29,001 \$84,271
20-15-403-035-\$36,195 \$105,175
20-15-403-036-\$11,549 \$33,559
20-15-403-036-\$11,549 \$33,559
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| 256 20-15-408-002-0000 | $\$ 42,455$ | $\$ 123$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 257 20-15-408-003-0000 | $\$ 3,799$ | $\$ 11,0$, |
| 258 20-15-408-004-0000 | $\$ 4,812$ | $\$ 13,9 ;$ |
| 259 20-15-408-005-0000 | $\$ 41,744$ | $\$ 121$, , |
| 260 20-15-408-006-0000 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 261 20-15-408-008-0000 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 262 20-15-408-009-0000 | $\$ 41,802$ | $\$ 121$, |
| $26320-15-408-010-0000$ | $\$ 94,897$ | $\$ 275$, |
| $26420-15-408-011-0000$ | $\$ 69,834$ | $\$ 202$, |
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## Append/x 2.

(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 4 of 25)

| No. | PIN 2008 <br>  Proposed <br>  AV | 2008 Propos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 265 | 20-15-408-013-(\$33,736 | \$98,030 |
| 266 | 20-15-408-014-(\$27,237 | \$79,145 |
| 267 | 20-15-408-015-(\$26,648 | \$77,434 |
| 268 | 20-15-408-016-(\$27,477 | \$79,843 |
| 269 | 20-15-408-017- $\$ 30,677$ | \$89,141 |
| 270 | 20-15-408-018-(\$29,963 | \$87,066 |
| 271 | 20-15-408-019-(\$2,887 | \$8,389 |
| 272 | 20-15-408-021-(\$44, 042 | \$127,977 |
| 273 | 20-15-408-022-(\$0 | \$0 |
| 274 | 20-15-408-023-(\$31,972 | \$92,904 |
| 275 | 20-15-408-024-(\$25,529 | \$74,182 |
| 276 | 20-15-408-025-(\$27,184 | \$78,991 |
| 277 | 20-15-408-026-(\$31,251 | \$90,809 |
| 278 | 20-15-408-027-1\$17,549 | \$50,994 |
| 279 | 20-15-408-027-1\$17,549 | \$50,994 |
| 280 | 20-15-408-027-1\$17,549 | \$50,994' |
| 28 I | 20-15-408-027-1\$16,465 | \$47, 844 |
| 282 | 20-15-408-027-1\$17,549 | \$50,994 |
| 283 | 20-15-408-027-1\$17,549 | \$50,994 |
| 284 | 20-, '5-408-028-\$18,999 | \$55,207 |
| 285 | 20-15-408-028-1\$18,999 | \$55,207 |
| 286 | 20-15-408-029-(\$42,510 | \$123,526 |
| 287 | 20-15-408-030- $\$ 42,510$ | \$123,526 |
| 288 | 20-15-409-001-(\$0 | \$0 |
| 289 | 20-15-409-002-(\$23,795 | \$69,144 |
| 290 | 20-15-409-003-(\$23,032 | \$66,926 |
| 291 | 20-15-409-004-(\$24,723 | \$71,840 |
| 292 | 20-15-409-005-(\$29,067 | \$84,463 |
| 293 | 20-15-409-006-(\$30,069 | \$87,375 |
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|  | - $\cup \cup 4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 334 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 23,076 \\ & 1005 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,054 |
| 335 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 23,318 \\ & 1006 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,757 |
| 336 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 23,076 \\ & 1007 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,054 |
| 337 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 23,318 \\ & 1008 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,757 |
| 338 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 30,388 \\ & 1009 \end{aligned}$ | \$88,301 |
| 339 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 28,103 \\ & 1010 \end{aligned}$ | \$81,662 |
| 340 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 23,979 \\ & 1011 \end{aligned}$ | \$69,678 |
| 341 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 28,103 \\ & 1012 \end{aligned}$ | \$81,662 |
| 342 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 23,979 \\ & 1013 \end{aligned}$ | \$69,678 |
| 343 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 28,103 \\ & 1014 \end{aligned}$ | \$81,662 |
| 344 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 23,979 \\ & 1015 \end{aligned}$ | \$69,678 |
| 345 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 34,372 \\ & 1016 \end{aligned}$ | \$99,878 |
| 346 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 36,433 \\ & 1017 \end{aligned}$ | \$105,867 |
| 347 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 24,950 \\ & 1018 \end{aligned}$ | \$72,500 |
| 348 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 29,970 \\ & 1019 \end{aligned}$ | \$87,087 |
| 349 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 24,950 \\ & 1020 \end{aligned}$ | \$72,500 |
| 350 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 29,970 \\ & 1021 \end{aligned}$ | \$87,087 |
| 351 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 24,950 \\ & 1022 \end{aligned}$ | \$72,500 |
| 352 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 29,970 \\ & 1023 \end{aligned}$ | \$87,087 |
| 353 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 42,142 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$122,456 |
| 354 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 25,700 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$74,679 |
| 355 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 27,052 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$78,608 |
| 356 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-15 \$ 41,380 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | . $\$ 120$, |
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/Append/x 2.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)'
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 5 of 25)

| No. | PIN | 2008 | Prop 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 357 | $20-15-410-005-(\$ 35,000$ | $\$ 101,703$ |  |
| 358 | $20-15-410-007-(\$ 40,900$ | $\$ 118,847$ |  |
| 359 | $20-15-410-008-(\$ 23,520$ | $\$ 68,344$ |  |
| 360 | $20-15-410-009-(\$ 24,220$ | $\$ 70,378$ |  |
| $36]$ | $20-15-410-010-(\$ 23,297$ | $\$ 67,696$ |  |
| 362 | $20-15-410-011-(\$ 24,630$ | $\$ 71,570$ |  |
| 363 | $20-15-410-012-(\$ 29,677$ | $\$ 86,235$ |  |
| 364 | $20-15-410-013-(\$ 37,729$ | $\$ 109,633$ |  |
| 365 | $20-15-410-014-(\$ 5,337$ | $\$ 15,508$ |  |
| 366 | $20-15-410-015-(\$ 33,478$ | $\$ 97,280$ |  |
| 367 | $20-15-410-016-(\$ 31,257$ | $\$ 90,827$ |  |
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| 368 | 20-15-410-017-(\$27,740 | \$80,607 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 369 | 20-15-410-018-(\$26,302 | \$76,428 |
| 370 | 20-15-410-019-(\$25,868 | \$75,167 |
| 371 | 20-15-410-020-(\$27,069 | \$78,657 |
| 372 | 20-15-410-021-(\$0 | \$0 |
| 373 | 20-15-410-022-(\$28,978 | \$84,204 |
| 374 | 20-15-410-024-(\$2 | \$83,280 |
| 375 | 20-15-410-025-(\$30,512 | \$88,662 |
| 376 | 20-15-410-026-(\$28,727 | \$83,475 |
| 377 | 20-15-410-027-(\$29, 628 | \$86,093 |
| 378 | 20-15-410-028-1\$25,027 | \$72,723 |
| 379 | 20-15-410-029-(\$27,702 | \$80,496 |
| 380 | 20-15-410-030-1\$24,707 | \$71,794 |
| 381 | 20-15-410-031-(\$26,594 | \$77,277 |
| 382 | 20-15-410-032-\$12,412 | \$36,067 |
| 383 | 20-15-410-032-\$12,412 | \$36,067 |
| 384 | 20-15-410-032-:\$11, | \$33,178 |
| 385 | 20-15-410-032-\$11,418 | \$33,178 |
| 386 | 20-15-410-032-\$11,91 | \$34,634 |
| 387 | 20-15-410-032 | \$34,634 |
| 388 | 20-15-410-033 | \$77,716 |
| 389 | 20-15-410-03 | \$77,716 |
| 390 | 20-15-410-03 | \$77,716 |
| 391 | 20-15-411-001-(\$5,515 | \$16,025 |
| 392 | 20-15-411-002-(\$30,772 | \$89,417 |
| 393 | 20-15-411-003-(\$0 | \$0 |
| 394 | 20-15-411-004-(\$24,156 | \$70,193 |
| 395 | 20-15-411-005-(\$24, 030 | \$69,826 |
| 396 | 20-15-411-006-(\$26,679 | \$77,524 |
| 397 | 20-15-411-007-1\$31, 055 | \$90,240 |
| 398 | 20-15-411-008-(\$25,443 | \$73,932 |
| 399 | 20-15-411-009-(\$25,106 | \$72,953 |
| 400 | 20-15-411-010-(\$25,940 | \$75,376 |
| 401 | 20-15-411-011-(\$27,297 | \$79,320 |
| 402 | 20-15-411-012-(\$27,668 | \$80,398 |


| No. | PIN | 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 403 | $20-15-411-01 \$ 28,174$ | $\$ 81,868$ |
| 404 | $20-15-411-01 \$ 26,833$ | $\$ 77,971$ |
| 405 | $20-15-411-01 \$ 26,787$ | $\$ 77,838$ |
| 406 | $20-15-411-01 \$ 24,937$ | $\$ 72,462$ |
| 407 | $20-15-411-01 \$ 25,646$ | $\$ 74,522$ |
| 408 | $20-15-411-01 \$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 409 | $20-15-411-01 \$ 16,493$ | $\$ 47,925$ |
| 410 | $20-15-411-02 \$ 30,946$ | $\$ 89,923$ |
| 411 | $20-15-411-02 \$ 36,643$ | $\$ 106,477$ |
| 412 | $20-15-411-02 \$ 35,355$ | $\$ 102,735$ |
| 413 | $20-15-411-02 \$ 26,893$ | $\$ 78,146$ |

File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1


File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1


File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1

| 499 | 20-15-414-00\$24,840 | \$72,180 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | 20-15-414-00\$23,502 | \$68,292 |
| 501 | 20-15-414-00\$17,141 | \$49,808 |
| 502 | 20-15-414-00\$24,217 | \$70,370 |
| 503 | 20-15-414-01\$0 | \$0 |
| 504 | 20-15-414-01\$25,643 | \$74,513 |
| 505 | 20-15-414-01 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ (7 7 , 664 | \$51,328 |
| 506 | 20-15-414-01\$26,883 | \$78,117 |
| 507 | 20-15-414-01\$42,064 | \$122,230 |
| 508 | 20-15-414-01\$27,691 | \$80,465 |
| 509 | 20-15-414-01\$0 | - \$0 |
| 510 | 20-15-414-01\$68,206 | \$198,193 |
| 511 | 20-15-414-01\$26,931 | \$78,256 |
| 512 | 20-15-414-01\$24,268 | \$70,518 |
| 513 | 20-15-414-02\$25,512 | \$74,133 |
| 514 | 20-15-414-02\$26,388 | \$76,678 |
| 515 | 20-15-414-02\$24,774 | \$71,988 |
| 516 | 20-15-414-02\$25,657 | \$74,554 |
| 517 | 20-15-414-02\$29,862 | \$86,773 |
| 518 | 20-15-414-02\$29,489' | \$85,689 |
| 519 | 20-15-414-02\$0 | \$0 |
| 520 | 20-15-414-02\$24,747 | \$71,910 |
| 521 | 20-15-414-02\$24,697 | \$71,765 |
| 522 | 20-15-414-02\$23,071 | \$67,040 |
| 523 | 20-15-414-03\$33,693 | \$97,905 |
| 524 | 20-15-414-03\$6,285 | \$18,263 |
| 525 | 20-15-414-03\$16,803 | \$48,826 |
| 526 | 20-15-414-03\$4,059 | \$11,795 |
| 527 | 20-15-414-03\$13,699 | \$39,807 |
| 528 | 20-15-414-03\$13,699 | \$39,807 |
| 529 | 20-15-414-03\$13,699 | \$39,807 |
| 530 | 20-15-414-03\$13,699 | - \$39,807 |
| 531 | 20-15-414-03\$13,699 | \$39,807 |
| 532 | 20-15-414-03\$13,699 | \$39,807 |
| 533 | 20-15-415-00\$47,301 | \$137,447 |
| 534 | 20-15-415-00\$26,066 | \$75,743 |
| 535 | 20-15-415-00\$26,147 | \$75,978 |
| 536 | 20-15-415-00\$27,235 | \$79,139 |
| 537 | 20-15-415-00\$27,546 | \$80,043 |
| 538 | 20-15-415-00\$26,914 | \$78,207 |
| 539 | 20-15-415-00\$30,465 | \$88,525 |
| 540 | 20-15-415-00' \$25,199 | \$73;223 |
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## No. PIN

| 541 | $20-15-415-009-0000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 542 | $20-15-415-010-0000$ |

543 20-15-415-012-0000
544 20-15-415-013-0000
$545 \quad 20-15-415-014-0000$

| 546 | $20-15-415-015-0000$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 547 | $20-15-415-016-0000$ | $\$ 28$ |


| 547 | $20-15-415-016-0000$ | $\$ 28,448$ | $\$ 82,664$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 548 | $20-15-415-031-0000$ | $\$ 238,242$ | $\$ 692,284$ |
| 549 | $20-15-415-032-1001$ | $\$ 2,427$ | $\$ 7,052$ |


| 550 | $20-15-415-032-1002$ | $\$ 2,416$ | $\$ 7,020$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 551 | $20-15-415-032-1003$ | $\$ 2,416$ | $\$ 7,020$ |
| 552 | $20-15-415-032-1004$ | $\$ 2,664$ | $\$ 7,741$ |
| 553 | $20-15-415-032-1005$ | $\$ 2,950$ | $\$ 8,572$ |
| 554 | $20-15-415-032-1006$ | $\$ 2,950$ | $\$ 8,572$ |
| 555 | $20-15-415-032-1007$ | $\$ 2,424$ | $\$ 7,044$ |
| 556 | $20-15-415-032-1008$ | $\$ 2,424$ | $\$ 7,044$ |
| 557 | $20-15-415-032-1009$ | $\$ 2,424$ | $\$ 7,044$ |
| 558 | $20-15-415-032-1010$ | $\$ 2,451$ | $\$ 7,122$ |
| 559 | $20-15-415-032-1011$ | $\$ 2,451$ | $\$ 7,122$ |
| 560 | $20-15-415-032-1012$ | $\$ 2,451$ | $\$ 7,122$ |
| 561 | $20-15-415-033-1001$ | $\$ 1,708$ | $\$ 4,963$ |
| 562 | $20-15-415-033-1002$ | $\$ 1,929$ | $\$ 5,605$ |
| 563 | $20-15-415-033-1003$ | $\$ 1,708$ | $\$ 4,963$ |


| 564 | $20-15-416-002-0000$ | $\$ 42,841$ | $\$ 124,487$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 565 | $20-15-416-003-0000$ | $\$ 41,775$ | $\$ 121,390$ |

566 20-15-416-004-0000 \$42,353 \$123,069

| 567 | $20-15-416-005-0000$ | $\$ 42,246$ | $\$ 122,758$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 568 | $20-15-416-006-0000$ | $\$ 45,697$ | $\$ 132,786$ |
| 569 | $20-15-416-007-0000$ | $\$ 43,940$ | $\$ 127,681$ |
| 570 | $20-15-416-008-0000$ | $\$ 17,232$ | $\$ 50,073$ |
| 571 | $20-15-416-009-0000$ | $\$ 40,442$ | $\$ 117,516$ |
| 572 | $20-15-416-010-0000$ | $\$ 1,960$ | $\$ 5,695$ |
| 573 | $20-15-416-011-0000$ | $\$ 27,112$ | $\$ 78,782$ |
| 574 | $20-15-416-012-0000$ | $\$ 22,724$ | $\$ 66,031$ |
| 575 | $20-15-416-013-0000$ | $\$ 25,748$ | $\$ 74,819$ |
| 576 | $20-15-416-014-0000$ | $\$ 25,445$ | $\$ 73,938$ |
| 577 | $20-15-416-015-0000$ | $\$ 26,731$ | $\$ 77,675$ |
| 578 | $20-15-416-016-0000$ | $\$ 26,939$ | $\$ 78,279$ |
| 579 | $20-15-416-017-0000$ | $\$ 27,148$ | $\$ 78,887$ |
| 580 | $20-15-416-018-0000$ | $\$ 26,731$ | $\$ 77,675$ |
| 581 | $20-15-416-019-0000$ | $\$ 26,782$ | $\$ 77,823$ |
| 582 | $20-15-416-020-0000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 583 | $20-15-416-021-0000$ | $\$ 44,804$ | $\$ 130,191$ |
| 584 | $20-15-416-022-0000$ | $\$ 60,190$ | $\$ 174,900$ |
| 585 | $20-15-416-023-0000$ | $\$ 30,866$ | $\$ 89,690$ |
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| 586 | 20-15-416-024-0000 | \$0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | PIN | 2008 Proposed A2008 Proposed |  |
| 587 | 20-15-41 | -025-000<\$0 | \$0 |
| 588 | 20-15-41 | -026-0000\$ 0 | \$0 |
| 589 | 20-15-41 | -027-100 1\$28,324 | \$82,304 |
| 590 | 20-15-41 | -027-1002\$20,831 | \$60,531 |
| 591 | 20-15-41 | -027-100 $\$ 17,924$ | \$52,084 |
| 592 | 20-15-41 | -027-1004\$14,156 | \$41,135 |
| 593 | 20-15-41 | -027-100\$\$16,894 | \$49,091 |
| 594 | 20-15-41 | -027-100¢\$17,680 | \$51,375 |
| 595 | 20-15-41 | -027-100/\$20,831 | \$60,531 |
| 596 | 20-15-41 | -027-100\$\$20,831 | \$60,531 |
| 597 | 20-15-41 | -027-100\$\$31,174 | \$90,585 |
| 598 | 20-15-41 | -027-101 $\$ 21,850$ | \$63,492 |
| 599 | 20-15-41 | -027-101 1\$20,831 | \$60,531 |
| 600 | 20-15-41 | -027-1012\$14,156 | \$41,135 |
| 601 | 20-15-41 | -001-000c\$0 | \$0 |
| 602 | 20-15-41 | -003-000<\$49,299 | \$143,253 |
| 603 | 20-15-41 | -004-000c\$0 | \$0 |
| 604 | 20-15-41 | -007-000¢\$9,936 | . \$28,872 |
| 605 | 20-15-41 | -008-000 $\$ 42,750$ | \$124,223 |
| 606 | 20-15-41 | -009-000 $\$ 143,634$ | \$417,372 |
| 607 | 20-15-41 | -010-000¢ $\$ 33,531$ | \$97,434 |
| 608 | 20-15-41 | -011-000c\$0 | \$0 |
| 609 | 20-15-41 | -012-000c\$2,864 | \$8,322 |
| 610 | 20-15-41 | -013-000 $\$ 28,500$ | \$82,815 |
| 611 | 20-15-41 | -016-000c\$0 | \$0 |
| 612 | 20-15-41 | -017-000c\$0 | \$0 |
| 613 | 20-15-41 | -018-000c\$6,187 | \$17,978 |
| 614 | 20-IS-41 | -019-0000\$6,187 | \$17,978 |
| 615 | 20-15-41 | -020-0000 $\$ 6,187$ | \$17,978 |
| 616 | 20-IS-41 | -021-0000\$8,015 | \$23,290 |
| 617 | 20-15-41 | -022-000¢ $\$ 24,283$ | \$70,562 |
| 618 | 20-15-41 | -023-000¢\$25,507 | \$74,118 |
| 619 | 20-15-41 | -024-000¢ $\$ 51,752$ | \$150,381 |
| 620 | 20-15-41 | -027-1001\$2,847 | \$8,273 |
| 621 | 20-15-41 | -027-1002\$2,847 | \$8,273 |
| 622 | 20-15-41 | -027-1002\$2,847 | \$8,273 |
| 623 | 20-15-41 | -027-1004\$1,109 | \$3,223 |
| 624 | 20-15-41 | -027-100 5 \$2,847 | \$8,273 |
| 625 | 20-15-41 | -027-1006\$2,847 | \$8,273 |
| 626 | 20-15-41 | -027-1007\$2,847 | \$8,273 |
| 627 | 20-15-41 | -027-100\$\$24,531 | \$71,282 |
| 628 | 20-15-41 | -027-100¢\$2,847 | \$8,273 |
| 629 | 20-15-41 | -027-101-\$8,382 | \$24,356 |
| 630 | 20-15-41 | -027-101 1\$2,871 | \$8,343 |
| 631 | 20-15-41 | -027-1012\$5,726 | \$16,639 |

$632 \quad 20-15-417-027-1013 \$ 2,847 \quad \$ 8,273$
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No. PIN 2008 Propc2008 Propo:
633 20-15-417-027-\$2,847 \$8,273

634 20-15-417-027-\$5,890 \$17,115
635 20-15-417-027-\$4,522 \$13,140
636 20-15-417-027-:\$2,847 \$8,273

637 20-15-417-027-:\$24,414 \$70,942
638 20-15-417-027-\$8,167 \$23,732
639 20-15-417-027-\$24,074 \$69,954
640 20-15-417-027-:\$2,847 \$8,273
641 20-15-417-027-:\$24,414 \$70,942
642 20-15-417-027-\$8,382 \$24,356
643 20-15-417-027-:\$2,875 \$8,354
644 20-15-418-001-(\$33,051 \$96,040
645 20-15-418-002-(\$44,532 \$129,401
646 20-I5-4I8-003-(\$25,428 \$73,889
647 20-15-418-004-(\$27,394 \$79,601
648 20-I5-4I8-005-(\$25,552 \$74,249
649 20-15-418-006-(\$25,539 \$74,211
$650 \quad 20-15-418-007-(\$ 25,331 \quad \$ 73,607$
651 20-15-418-008-(\$25,155 \$73,095
652 20-I5-4I8-009-(\$26,053 \$75,705
653 20-15-418-010-(\$26,061 \$75,728
654 20-15-418-011-(\$25,121 \$72,997
655 20-15-418-012-(\$25,077 \$72,869
656 20-15-418-013-(\$26,171 \$76,048
657 20-15-418-014-(\$26,571 \$77,210
658 20-15-418-015-(\$21,229 \$61,687
659 20-15-418-016-(\$28,062 \$81,543
660 20-15-418-017-(\$27,637 \$80,308
661 20-15-418-018-(\$25,182 \$73,174
662 20-I5-4I8-OI9-(\$24,092 \$70,007
663 20-15-418-020-(\$25,252 \$73,377
664 20-15-418-021-(\$25,015 \$72,689
665 20-15-418-022-(\$25,378 \$73,743
666 20-15-418-023-(\$27,155 \$78,907
667 20-I5-4I8-024-(\$22,236 \$64,613
668 20-15-4I8-025-(\$26,863 \$78,059
669 20-15-418-026-(\$26,488 \$76,969
$670 \quad 20-15-418-027-(\$ 25,965 \quad \$ 75,449$
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| 671 | 20-I5-4I8-028-(\$28,231 \$82, | \$82,034 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 672 | 20-15-418-032-(\$22,425 \$65, | \$65,163 |
| 673 | 20-15-4I8-033-(\$0 \$0 | \$0 |
| 674 | 20-15-419-001-(\$46,251 \$134 | \$134,396 |
| 675 | 20-15-419-002-(\$26,878 \$78, | \$78,102 |
| 676 | 20-15-419-003-(\$29,004 \$84, | \$84,280 |
| 677 | 20-15-419-004-(\$24,444 \$71, | \$71,029 |
| 678 | 20-15-419-005-(\$26,091 \$75, | \$75,815 |
| No. | PIN 2008 Prop | Propo2008 Prop |
| 679 | 20-15-419-00\$47,263 | \$137,337 |
| 680 | 20-15-419-00\$27,282 | \$79,276 |
| 681 | 20-15-419-00\$0 | \$0 |
| 682 | 20-15-419-00\$37,500 | \$108,968 |
| 683 | 20-15-419-01\$24,695 | \$71,759 |
| 684 | 20-15-419-01\$24,194 | \$70,303 |
| 685 | 20-15-419-01\$24,912 | \$72,389 |
| 686 | 20-15-419-01\$30,563 | \$88,810 |
| 687 | 20-15-419-01\$74,483 | \$216,433 |
| 688 | 20-15-419-01\$2,938 | \$8,537 |
| 689 | 20-15-419-01\$23,999 | \$69,736 |
| 690 | 20-15-419-01\$30,716 | \$89,255 |
| 691 | 20-15-419-01\$25,884 | \$75,214 |
| 692 | 20-15-419-01\$23,372 | \$67,914 |
| 693 | 20-15-419-02\$26,111 | \$75,873 |
| 694 | 20-15-419-02\$26,599 | \$77,291 |
| 695 | 20-15-419-02\$26,683 | \$77,535 |
| 696 | 20-15-419-02\$44,305 | \$128,741 |
| 697 | 20-15-419-02\$49,939 | \$145,113 |
| 698 | 20-15-419-02\$30,687 | \$89,170 |
| 699 | 20-15-419-02\$41,487 | \$120,553 |
| 700 | 20-15-419-02\$0 | \$0 |
| 701 | 20-15-419-02\$0 | \$0 |
| 702 | 20-15-4I9-02\$0 | \$0 |
| 703 | 20-15-419-03\$101,050 | \$293,631 |
| 704 | 20-15-420-00\$0 | \$0 |
| 705 | 20-15-420-00\$27,186 | \$78,997 |
| 706 | 20-15-420-00\$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 707 | 20-15-420-00\$26,579 | \$77,233 |
| 708 | 20-15-420-00\$25,642 | \$74,511 |
| 709 | 20-15-420-00\$23,777 | \$69,091 |
| 710 | 20-15-420-00\$23,777 | \$69,091 |
| 711 | 20-15-420-00\$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 712 | 20-15-420-00\$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 713 | 20-15-420-01\$26,362 | \$76,603 |
| 714 | 20-15-420-01\$27,963 | \$81,255 |
| 715 | 20-15-420-01\$17,606 | \$51,160 |
| 716 | $20-15-420-01 \$ 27,882$ | \$81,020 |
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| 717 | $20-15-420-01 \$ 24,762$ | $\$ 71,953$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 718 | $20-15-420-01 \$ 25,394$ | $\$ 73,790$ |
| 719 | $20-15-420-01 \$ 24,855$ | $\$ 72,224$ |
| 720 | $20-15-420-01 \$ 22,523$ | $\$ 65,447$ |
| 721 | $20-15-420-02 \$ 26,403$ | $\$ 76,722$ |
| 722 | $20-15-420-02 \$ 26,257$ | $\$ 76,298$ |
| 723 | $20-15-420-02 \$ 24,370$ | $\$ 70,814$ |
| 724 | $20-15-420-02 \$ 31,373$ | $\$ 91,164$ |
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(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 9 of 25)
No. PIN 2008 Propi2008 Propo.
725 20-15-420-024-\$28,649 \$83,248
0000
726 20-15-420-025-\$32,730 \$95,107
0000
727 20-15-420-026-\$25,998 \$75,545
0000
728 20-15-420-027-\$30,267 \$87,950
0000
729 20-15-420-028-\$28,163 \$81,836 0000
$730 \begin{aligned} & 20-15-420-029-\$ 26,809 \quad \$ 77,902 \\ & 0000\end{aligned}$
731 20-15-420-030-\$0 \$0
732 20-15-420-032-\$25,647 \$74,525
1001
733 20-15-420-032-\$25,647 \$74,525
1002
734 20-15-420-033-\$32,577 \$94,662
0000
735 20-15-421-002-\$27,457 \$79,785
0000
736 20-15-421-003- $\$ 24,554 \quad \$ 71,349$
0000
737 20-15-421-004-\$26,134 \$75,940
0000
738 20-15-421-005-\$14,032 \$40,774
739 20-15-421-006-\$15,534 \$45,139-
740 20-15-421-007-\$24,694 \$71,756 0000
$\begin{array}{ll}741 & \begin{array}{l}20-15-421-008-\$ 24,433 \\ 0000\end{array} \$ 70,997\end{array}$
742 20-15-421-009- $\$ 27,349$ \$79,47! 0000
743 20-15-421-010- $\$ 17,095$ \$49,675 0000
744 20-15-421-011-\$25,022 \$72,709 0000
745 20-15-421-012-\$23,850 \$69,303
0000
746 20-15-421-013-\$23,662 $\$ 68,757$
0000
747 20-15-421-014-\$24,217 \$70,370
0000
748 20-15-421-015-\$24,867 \$72,259
0000
$749 \begin{aligned} & 20-15-421-016-\$ 86,897 \\ & 0000\end{aligned} \$ 252,505$
0000
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| 791 | $20-15-422-015-0000$ | $\$ 24,600$ | $\$ 71,483$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 792 | $20-15-422-016-0000$ | $\$ 27,757$ | $\$ 80,656$ |
| 793 | $20-15-422-017-0000$ | $\$ 24,586$ | $\$ 71,442$ |
| 794 | $20-15-422-018-0000$ | $\$ 24,324$ | $\$ 70,681$ |
| 795 | $20-15-422-019-0000$ | $\$ 23,369$ | $\$ 67,906$ |
| 796 | $20-15-422-020-0000$ | $\$ 32,638$ | $\$ 94,840$ |
| 797 | $20-15-422-021-0000$ | $\$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 798 | $20-15-422-022-0000$ | $\$ 23,573$ | $\$ 68,498$ |
| 799 | $20-15-422-023-0000$ | $\$ 30,549$ | $\$ 88,769$ |
| 800 | $20-15-422-024-0000$ | $\$ 22,045$ | $\$ 64,058$ |
| 801 | $20-15-422-025-0000$ | $\$ 22,583$ | $\$ 65,622$ |
| 802 | $20-15-422-026-0000$ | $\$ 26,217$ | $\$ 76,18!$ |
| 803 | $20-15-422-027-0000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 804 | $20-15-422-028-0000$ | $\$ 17,360$ | $\$ 50,445$ |
| 805 | $20-15-422-029-1001$ | $\$ 14,073$ | $\$ 40,893$ |
| 806 | $20-15-422-029-1002$ | $\$ 16,103$ | $\$ 46,792$ |
| 807 | $20-15-422-029-1003$ | $\$ 16,419$ | $\$ 47,710$ |
| 808 | $20-15-422-029-1004$ | $\$ 16,735$ | $\$ 48,629$ |
| 809 | $20-15-422-029-1005$ | $\$ 14,615$ | $\$ 42,468$ |
| 810 | $20-15-422-029-1006$ | $\$ 16,103$ | $\$ 46,792$ |
| $81!$ | $20-15-422-029-1007$ | $\$ 16,419$ | $\$ 47,710$ |
| 812 | $20-15-422-029-1008$ | $\$ 16,735$ | $\$ 48,629$ |
| 813 | $20-15-422-029-1009$ | $\$ 14,615$ | $\$ 42,468$ |
| 814 | $20-15-422-029-1010$ | $\$ 17,366$ | $\$ 50,462$ |
| 815 | $20-15-422-029-1011$ | $\$ 17,773$ | $\$ 51,645$ |
| 816 | $20-15-422-029-1012$ | $\$ 18,223$ | $\$ 52,952$ |
| 74 |  |  |  |
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| No. | PIN | 2008 | Prop 2008 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8 I 7$ | $20-15-422-029-\$ 14,615$ | $\$ 42,468$ |  |
| $8 I 8$ | $20-15-422-029-: \$ 16,103$ | $\$ 46,792$ |  |
| 819 | $20-15-422-029-\$ 16,419$ | $\$ 47,710$ |  |
| 820 | $20-15-422-029-: \$ 16,735$ | $\$ 48,629$ |  |
| 821 | $20-15-422-029-\$ 16,690$ | $\$ 48,498$ |  |
| 822 | $20-15-422-029-: \$ 16,103$ | $\$ 46,792$ |  |
| 823 | $20-15-422-029-\$ 16,419$ | $\$ 47,710$ |  |
| 824 | $20-15-422-029-: \$ 16,735$ | $\$ 48,629$ |  |
| 825 | $20-15-422-029-\$ 16,103$ | $\$ 46,792$ |  |
| 826 | $20-15-422-029-: \$ 16,419$ | $\$ 47,710$ |  |
| 827 | $20-15-422-029-\$ 16,735$ | $\$ 48,629$ |  |
| 828 | $20-15-422-029-: \$ 15,111$ | $\$ 43,910$ |  |
| 829 | $20-15-422-029-: \$ 16,103$ | $\$ 46,792$ |  |
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| 876 | 20-22,200-01\$90,707 | \$263,576 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 877 | 20-22-200-01\$240,402 | \$698,560 |
| 878 | 20-22-200-01\$40,859 | \$118,728 |
| 879 | 20-22-200-01\$9,332 | \$27,117 |
| 880 | 20-22-200-01\$10,667 | \$30,996 |
| 881 | 20-22-200-01\$18,022 | \$52,368 |
| 882 | 20-22-200-01\$0 | \$0 |
| 883 | 20-22-200-01\$0 | \$0 |
| 884 | 20-22-200-01\$4,552 | \$13,227 |
| 885 | 20-22-200-02\$4,294 | \$12,478 |
| 886 | 20-22-200-02\$4,498 | \$13,070 |
| 887 | 20-22-200-02\$4,498 | \$13,070 |
| 888 | 20-22-200-02\$4,498 | \$13,070 |
| 889 | 20-22-200-02\$4,498 | \$13,070 |
| 890 | 20-22-200-02\$20,475 | \$59,496 |
| 891 | 20-22-200-02\$19,012 | \$55,245 |
| 892 | 20-22-200-02\$20,908 | \$60,754 |
| 893 | 20-22-200-02\$20,908 | \$60,754 |
| 894 | 20-22-200-02\$20,816 | \$60,487 |
| 895 | 20-22-200-03\$20,586 | \$59,819 |
| 896 | 20-22-200-03\$20,954 | \$60,888 |
| 897 | 20-22-200-03\$0 | \$0 |
| 898 | 20-22-200-03\$0 | \$0 |
| 899 | 20-22-200-03\$52,269 | \$151,883 |
| 900 | 20-22-201-00\$58,676 | \$170,501 |
| 901 | 20-22-201-00\$86,961 | \$252,691 |
| 902 | 20-22-201-00\$86,961 | \$252,691 |
| 903 | 20-22-201-01\$20,753 | \$60,304 |
| 904 | 20-22-201-01\$23,796 | \$69,146 |
| 905 | 20-22-201-01\$1,542 | \$4,481 |
| 906 | 20-22-201-01\$1,542 | \$4,481 |
| 907 | 20-22-201-01\$1,542 | \$4,481 |
| 908 | 20-22-201-01\$13,343 | \$38,772 |
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No. PIN

| 909 | $20-22-201-020-0000$ | $\$ 2,387$ | $\$ 6,936$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 910 | $20-22-201-021-0000$ | $\$ 5,517$ | $\$ 16,031$ |
| 911 | $20-22-201-022-0000$ | $\$ 24,899$ | $\$ 72,352$ |
| 912 | $20-22-201-023-0000$ | $\$ 24,927$ | $\$ 72,433$ |
| 913 | $20-22-201-024-0000$ | $\$ 27,082$ | $\$ 78,695$ |
| 914 | $20-22-201-025-0000$ | $\$ 28,515$ | $\$ 82,859$ |
| 915 | $20-22-201-026-0000$ | $\$ 25,388$ | $\$ 73,772$ |
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| 962 | 20-22-202-034-0000 | \$8,698 | \$25,275 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 963 | 20-22-202-035-0000 | \$15,997 | \$46,484 |
| 964 | 20-22-202-036-0000 | \$12,850 | \$37,340 |
| 965 | 20-22-202-037-0000 | \$22,325 | \$64,872 |
| 966 | 20-22-202-038-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 967 | 20-22-202-039-0000 | \$23,358 | \$67,874 |
| 968 | 20-22-202-040-0000 | \$43,599 | \$126,690 |
| 969 | 20-22-203-001-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 970 | 20-22-203-007-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 971 | 20-22-203-008-0000 | \$5,445 | \$15,822 |
| 972 | 20-22-203-009-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 973 | 20-22-203-010-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 974 | 20-22-203-011-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 975 | 20-22-203-012-0000 | \$23,042 | \$66,955 |
| 976 | 20-22-203-013-0000 | \$24,276 | \$70,541 |
| 977 | 20-22-203-014-0000 | \$15,150 | \$44,023 |
| 978 | 20-22-203-015-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 979 | 20-22-203-016-0000 | \$15,562 | \$45,220 |
| 980 | 20-22-203-017-0000 | \$15,683 | \$45,572 |
| 981 | 20-22-203-018-0000 | \$11,903 | \$34,588 |
| 982 | 20-22-203-019-0000 | \$22,575 | \$65,598 |
| 983 | 20-22-203-023-0000 | \$2,279 | \$6,622 |
| 984 | 20-22-203-024-0000 | \$12,750 | \$37,049 |
| 985 | 20-22-203-025-0000 | \$12,824 | \$37,264 |
| 986 | 20-22-203-026-0000 | \$21,412 | \$62,219 |
| 987 | 20-22-203-027-0000 | \$5,243 | \$15,235 |
| 988 | 20-22-203-028-0000 | \$25,553 | \$74,252 |
| 989 | 20-22-203-029-0000 | \$22,744 | \$66,090 |
| 990 | 20-22-203-030-0000 | \$24,952 | \$72,506 |
| 991 | 20-22-203-031-0000 | \$23,462 | \$68,176 |
| 992 | 20-22-203-032-0000 | \$13,043 | \$37,900 |
| 993 | 20-22-203-033-0000 | \$24,923 | \$72,421 |
| 994 | 20-22-203-034-0000 | \$17,140 | \$49,805 |
| 995 | 20-22-203-035-0000 | \$25,921 | \$75,321 |
| 996 | 20-22-203-036-0000 | \$19,456 | \$56,535 |
| 997 | 20-22-203-037-0000 | \$11,927 | \$34,657 |
| 998 | 20-22-203-038-0000 | \$22,134 | \$64,317 |
| 999 | 20-22-203-039-0000 | \$10,458 | \$30,389 |
| 1000 | 20-22-203-040-0000 | \$15,075 | \$43,805 |
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| 1001 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-041-\$ 10,453 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$30,374 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1002 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-042-\$ 26,700 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$77,585 |
| 1003 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-043-\$ 22,666 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$65,863 |
| 1004 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-044-\$ 20,068 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$58,314 |
| 1005 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-045-\$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1006 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-048-\$ 13,293 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$38,627 |
| 1007 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-049-\$ 13,020 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$37,834 |
| 1008 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-050-\$ 20,510 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$59,598 |
| 1009 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-051-\$ 16,347 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$47,501 |
| 1010 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-052-\$ 6,472 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$18,806 |
| 1011 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-203-053-\$ 4,776 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$13,878 |
| 1012 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-001-\$ 12,032 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$34,963 |
| 1013 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-002-\$ 47,880 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$139,130 |
| 1014 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-003-\$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1015 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-004-\$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1016 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-005-\$ 41,519 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$120,646 |
| 1017 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-006-\$ 3,749 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$10,894 |
| 1018 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-007-\$ 25,856 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$75,132 |
| 1019 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-008-\$ 25,787 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$74,932 |
| 1020 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-009-\$ 16,206 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$47,091 |
| 1021 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-010-\$ 22,498 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$65,375 |
| 1022 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-011-\$ 24,284 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$70,564 |
| 1023 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-012-\$ 26,902 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$78,172 |
| 1024 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-013-\$ 26,005 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$75,565 |
| 1025 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-014-\$ 24,453 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$71,056 |
| 1026 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-015-\$ 23,293 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,685 |
| 1027 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-016-\$ 24,220 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$70,378 |
| 1028 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-017-\$ 27,553 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$80,064 |
| 1029 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-018-\$ 22,988 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$66,799 |
| 1030 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-019-\$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1031 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-020-\$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1032 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-021-\$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1033 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-022-\$ 28,008 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$81,386 |
| 1034 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-023-\$ 2,340 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,800 |
| 1035 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-024-\$ 28,452 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$82,676 |
| 1036 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-025-\$ 23,242 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,537 |
| 1037 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-204-026-\$ 2,348 \\ & \text { nono } \end{aligned}$ | \$6,823 |
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| 10¢20-22-205-031-0000 | \$21,850 | \$63,492 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10¢20-22-205-032-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 10¢20-22-205-033-0000 | \$24,530 | \$71,279 |
| 10¢20-22-205-034-0000 | \$24,205 | \$70,335 |
| 10¢20-22-205-035-0000 | \$26,752 | \$77,736 |
| 10¢20-22-205-036-0000 | \$21,198 | \$61,597 |
| 10¢20-22-205-037-0000 | \$16,122 | \$46,847 |
| 10¢20-22-205-038-0000 | \$26,751 | \$77,733 |
| 10؟20-22-206-001-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 10؟20-22-206-002-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 10؟20-22-206-003-0000 | \$60,422 | \$175,574 |
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| No. | PIN | 2008 Proposed | 2008 Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AV | EAV |
| 1093 | 20-22-206-004-0000 | \$23,078 | \$67,060 |
| 1094 | 20-22-206-005-0000 | \$12,245 | \$35,582 |
| 1095 | 20-22-206-006-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1096 | 20-22-206-007-0000 | \$16,210 | \$47,103 |
| 1097 | 20-22-206-008-0000 | \$2,818 | \$8,189 |
| 1098 | 20-22-206-009-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1099 | 20-22-206-010-0000 | \$23,750 | \$69,013 |
| 1100 | 20-22-206-012-0000 | \$24,860 | \$72,238 |
| 1101 | 20-22-206-013-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1102 | 20-22-206-014-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1103 | 20-22-206-015-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1104 | 20-22-206-016-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823, |
| 1105 | 20-22-206-017-0000 | \$24,094 | \$70,012 |
| 1106 | 20-22-206-018-0000 | \$40,346 | \$117,237 |
| 1107 | 20-22-206-020-0000 | \$2,340 | \$6,800 |
| 1108 | 20-22-206-021-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1109 | 20-22-206-022-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1110 | 20-22-206-023-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| IIII | 20-22-206-026-0000 | \$24,044 | \$69,867 |
| 1112 | 20-22-206-027-0000 | \$23,629 | \$68,661 |
| 1113 | 20-22-206-028-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1114 | 20-22-206-029-0000 | \$23,709 | \$68,894 |
| 1115 | 20-22-206-030-0000 | \$22,884 | \$66,496 |
| 1116 | 20-22-206-031-0000 | \$22,474 | \$65,305 |
| 1117 | 20-22-206-032-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1118 | 20-22-206-033-0000 | \$22,751 | \$66,110 |
| 1119 | 20-22-206-034-0000 | \$23,641 | \$68,696 |
| 1120 | 20-22-206-035-0000 | \$24,477 | \$71,125 |
| 1121 | 20-22-206-036-0000 | \$20,597 | \$59,851 |
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| 1122 | 20-22-206-038-0000 | \$2,818 | \$8,189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1123 | 20-22-206-039-0000 | \$2,818 | \$8,189 |
| 1124 | 20-22-206-040-0000 | \$3,574 | \$10,385 |
| 1125 | 20-22-206-042-1001 | \$3,055 | \$8,877 |
| 1126 | 20-22-206-042-1002 | \$3,055 | \$8,877 |
| 1127 | 20-22-206-042-1003 | \$3,063 | \$8,900 |
| 1128 | 20-22-206-043-1001 | \$14,837 | \$43,113 |
| 1129 | 20-22-206-043-1002 | \$9,248 | \$26,873 |
| [130 | 20-22-207-006-0000 | \$24,697 | \$71,765 |
| 1131 | 20-22-207-007-0000 | \$21,045 | \$61,153 |
| 1132 | 20-22-207-008-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1133 | 20-22-207-009-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1134 | 20-22-207-010-0000 | \$19,865 | \$57,724 |
| 1135 | 20-22-207-011-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1136 | 20-22-207-012-0000 | \$24,549 | \$71,334 |
| 1137 | 20-22-207-017-0000 | \$16,700 | \$48,527 |
| 1138 | 20-22-207-018-0000 | \$17,511 | \$50,883 |
| No. | PIN 2008 Pr | roposed AV | 2008 Proposed EAV |
| 1139 | 20-22-2(\$6,377 |  | \$18,530 |
| 1140 | 20-22-2(\$31,745 |  | \$92,245 |
| 1141 | 20-22-2\$18,819 |  | \$54,684 |
| 1142 | 20-22-2(\$47,185 |  | \$137,110 |
| 1143 | 20-22-2(\$12,276 |  | \$35,672 |
| 1144 | 20-22-2(\$6,138 |  | \$17,836 |
| 1145 | 20-22-2\$6,138 |  | \$17,836 |
| 1146 | 20-22-2(\$6,820 |  | \$19,818 |
| 1147 | 20-22-2(\$6,854 |  | \$19,916 |
| 1148 | 20-22-2(\$6,138 |  | \$17,836 |
| 1149 | 20-22-2(\$79,800 |  | \$231,883 |
| 1150 | 20-22-2(\$53,670 |  | \$155,954 |
| 1151 | 20-22-2 \$19,300 |  | \$56,082 |
| 1152 | 20-22-2(\$2,063 |  | \$5,995 |
| 1153 | 20-22-2(\$2,063 |  | \$5,995 |
| 1154 | 20-22-2(\$26,248 |  | \$76,271 |
| 1155 | 20-22-2 ${ }^{\text {1 }} 17,068$ |  | \$49,596 |
| 1156 | 20-22-2(\$12,617 |  | \$36,662 |
| 1157 | 20-22-2 \$12,820 |  | \$37,252 |
| 1158 | 20-22-2 \$12,347 |  | \$35,878 |
| 1159 | 20-22-2(\$11,770 |  | \$34,201 |
| 1160 | 20-22-2(\$41,448 |  | \$120,440 |
| 1161 | 20-22-2(\$27,421 |  | \$79,680 |
| 1162 | 20-22-2\$ 29,472 |  | \$85,640 |
| 1163 | 20-22-2\$2,387 |  | \$6,936 |
| 1164 | 20-22-21\$24,322 |  | \$70,675 |
| 1165 | 20-22-2 ${ }^{\text {2 }} 24,926$ |  | \$72,430 |
| 1166 | 20-22-2(\$23,441 |  | \$68,115 |
| 1167 | 20-22-2\$ 23,861 |  | \$69,335 |
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| 1168 | 20-22-2854,069 | \$69,940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1169 | 20-22-2488,992 | \$26,129 |
| 1170 | 20-22-2410,030 | \$29,145 |
| 1171 | 20-22-22520,833 | \$60,537 |
| 1172 | 20-22-2866,211 | \$192,396 |
| 1173 | 20-22-298,526 | \$10,246 |
| 1174 | 20-22-2/28,526 | \$10,246 |
| 1175 | 20-22-2254,321 | \$12,556 |
| 1176 | 20-22-2482,345 | \$6,814 |
| 1177 | 20-22-22923,658 | \$68,745 |
| 1178 | 20-22-21522,548 | \$65,520 |
| 1179 | 20-22-2250 | \$0 |
| 1180 | 20-22-28524,161 | \$70,207 |
| 1181 | 20-22-22523,566 | \$68,478 |
| 1182 | 20-22-2523,316 | \$67,752 |
| 1183 | 20-22-28524,488 | \$71,157 |
| 1184 | 20-22-281,633 | \$4,745 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11 / 17 / 2010 \\ & \text { REPORTS OF COMMITTEES } \\ & 107395 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Appendix. 2.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 14 of 25)

| No. | PIN | 2008 Proposed 2008 Proposed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1185 | $20-22-209-011-0000$ | 514,397 | $\$ 41,835$ |
| 1186 | $20-22-209-012-0000$ | $\$ 20,755$ | $\$ 60,310$ |
| 1187 | $20-22-209-013-0000$ | $\$ 1,720$ | $\$ 4,998$ |
| 1188 | $20-22-209-014-0000$ | $\$ 22,938$ | $\$ 66,653$ |
| 1189 | $20-22-209-015-0000$ | $\$ 25,095$ | $\$ 72,921$ |
| 1190 | $20-22-209-016-0000$ | $\$ 20,011$ | $\$ 58,148$ |
| 1191 | $20-22-209-017-0000$ | $\$ 3,013$ | $\$ 8,755$ |
| 1192 | $20-22-209-018-0000$ | $\$ 34,429$ | $\$ 100,044$ |
| 1193 | $20-22-209-019-0000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 1194 | $20-22-209-020-0000$ | $\$ 4,774$ | $\$ 13,872$ |
| 1195 | $20-22-209-021-0000$ | $\$ 35,932$ | $\$ 104,411$ |
| 1196 | $20-22-209-022-0000$ | $\$ 26,791$ | $\$ 77,849$ |
| 1197 | $20-22-209-023-0000$ | $\$ 17,050$ | $\$ 49,544$ |
| 1198 | $20-22-209-024-0000$ | $\$ 25,381$ | $\$ 73,752$ |
| 1199 | $20-22-209-025-0000$ | $\$ 24,866$ | $\$ 72,256$ |
| 1200 | $20-22-209-026-0000$ | $\$ 23,379$ | $\$ 67,935$ |
| 1201 | $20-22-209-027-0000$ | $\$ 24,261$ | $\$ 70,498$ |
| 1202 | $20-22-209-028-0000$ | $\$ 25,343$ | $\$ 73,642$ |
| 1203 | $20-22-209-029-0000$ | $\$ 28,185$ | $\$ 81,900$ |
| 1204 | $20-22-209-030-0000$ | $\$ 28,537$ | $\$ 82,923$ |
| 1205 | $20-22-209-03$ i-0000 | $\$ 25,343$ | $\$ 73,642$ |
| 1206 | $20-22-209-032-0000$ | $\$ 22,072$ | $\$ 64,137$ |
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| 1207 | 20-22-209-033-0000 | \$24,653 | \$71,637 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1208 | 20-22-209-034-0000 | \$24,230 | \$70,408 |
| 1209 | 20-22-209-035-0000 | \$22,700 | \$65,962 |
| 1210 | 20-22-209-036-0000 | \$2,387 | \$6,936 |
| I2\|| | 20-22-209-037-0000 | \$16,865 | \$49,006 |
| 1212 | 20-22-209-038-0000 | \$3,696 | \$10,740 |
| 1213 | 20-22-209-039-0000 | \$1,078 | \$3,132 |
| 1214 | 20-22-210-001-0000 | \$14,956 | \$43,459 |
| 1215 | 20-22-210-002-0000 | \$14,956 | \$43,459 |
| 1216 | 20-22-210-003-0000 | \$13,304 | \$38,659 |
| 1217 | 20-22-210-004-0000 | \$20,255 | \$58,857 |
| 1218 | 20-22-210-005-0000 | \$23,337 | - \$67,813 |
| 1219 | 20-22-210-006-0000 | \$12,497 | - \$36,314 |
| 1220 | 20-22-210-007-0000 | \$12,235 | \$35,552 |
| 1221 | 20-22^210-008-0000 | \$24,235 | \$70,422 |
| 1222 | 20-22-210-009-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1223 | 20-22-210-010-0000 | \$25,214 | \$73,267 |
| 1224 | 20-22-210-011-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1225 | 20-22-210-012-0000 | \$12,813 | \$37,232 |
| 1226 | 20-22-210-013-0000 | \$21,621 | \$62,826 |
| 1227 | 20-22-210-014-0000 | \$21,861 | \$63,524 |
| 1228 | 20-22-210-015-0000 | \$11,609 | \$33,733 |
| 1229 | 20-22-210-016-0000 | \$23,177 | - \$67,348 |
| 1230 | 20-22-210-017-0000 | \$22,536 | \$ \$65,485 |
| No. | PIN 2008 Prop | sed AV | 2008 Proposed EAV |
| 1231 | 20-22-210-0\$11,945 |  | \$34,710 |
| 1232 | 20-22-210-0\$19,556 |  | \$56,826 |
| 1233 | 20-22-210-0\$25,699 |  | \$74,676 |
| 1234 | 20-22-210-0\$11,923 |  | \$34,646 |
| 1235 | 20-22-210-0\$17,646 |  | \$51,276 |
| 1236 | 20-22-210-0\$44,728 |  | \$129,971 |
| 1237 | 20-22-210-0\$27,928 |  | \$81,153 |
| 1238 | 20-22-210-0\$1,650 |  | \$4,795 |
| 1239 | 20-22-210-0\$4,864 |  | \$14,134 |
| 1240 | 20-22-210-0\$14,710 |  | \$42,744 |
| 1241 | 20-22-210-0\$14,099 |  | \$40,969 |
| 1242 | 20-22-210-0\$13,509 |  | \$39,254 |
| 1243 | 20-22-210-0\$15,266 |  | \$44,360 |
| 1244 | 20-22-210-0\$20,117 |  | \$58,456 |
| 1245 | 20-22-210-0\$23,033 |  | \$66,929 |
| 1246 | 20-22-210-0\$27,330 |  | \$79,416 |
| 1247 | 20-22-210-0\$24,733 |  | \$71,869 |
| 1248 | 20-22-210-0\$20,161 |  | \$58,584 |
| 1249 | 20-22-210-0\$24,050 |  | \$69,884 |
| 1250 | 20-22-210-0\$16,593 |  | \$48,216 |
| 1251 | 20-22-210-0\$11,899 |  | \$34,576 |
| 1252 | 20-22-210-0\$2,348 |  | \$6,823 |
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|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1253 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1254 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1255 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1256 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1257 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 1258 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 10,680$ | $\$ 31,034$ |
| 1259 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 10,594$ | $\$ 30,784$ |
| 1260 | $20-22-210-0 \$ 3,446$ | $\$ 10,013$ |
| 1261 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 2,254$ | $\$ 6,550$ |
| 1262 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 4,603$ | $\$ 13,375$ |
| 1263 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 29,200$ | $\$ 84,849$ |
| 1264 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 12,008$ | $\$ 34,893$ |
| 1265 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1266 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 1,594$ | $\$ 4,632$ |
| 1267 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 25,464$ | $\$ 73,993$ |
| 1268 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 17,024$ | $\$ 49,468$ |
| 1269 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 13,375$ | $\$ 38,865$ |
| 1270 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 13,099$ | $\$ 38,063$ |
| 1271 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 21,293$ | $\$ 61,873$ |
| 1272 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1273 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 14,735$ | $\$ 42,817$ |
| 1274 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 28,666$ | $\$ 83,298$ |
| 1275 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 29,014$ | $\$ 84,309$ |
| 1276 | $20-22-211-0 \$ 23,729$ | $\$ 68,952$ |
| 10 |  |  |
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| No. | PIN | 2008 Proposed 2008 Propos |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1277 | $20-22-211-017-0000$ | $\$ 4,350$ | $\$ 12,640$ |
| 1278 | $20-22-211-018-0000$ | $\$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1279 | $20-22-211-019-0000$ | $\$ 2,348$ | $\$ 6,823$ |
| 1280 | $20-22-211-020-0000$ | $\$ 5,407$ | $\$ 15,712$ |
| 1281 | $20-22-211-021-0000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 1282 | $20-22-211-022-0000$ | $\$ 2,254$ | $\$ 6,550$ |
| 1283 | $20-22-211-023-0000$ | $\$ 28,172$ | $\$ 81,862$ |
| 1284 | $20-22-211-024-0000$ | $\$ 15,931$ | $\$ 46,292$ |
| 1285 | $20-22-211-025-0000$ | $\$ 23,764$ | $\$ 69,053$ |
| 1286 | $20-22-211-026-0000$ | $\$ 23,731$ | $\$ 68,958$ |
| 1287 | $20-22-211-027-0000$ | $\$ 19,583$ | $\$ 56,904$ |
| 1288 | $20-22-211-028-0000$ | $\$ 32,404$ | $\$ 94,160$ |
| 1289 | $20-22-211-029-0000$ | $\$ 22,589$ | $\$ 65,639$ |
| 1290 | $20-22-211-030-0000$ | $\$ 1,640$ | $\$ 4,766$ |
| 1291 | $20-22-211-031-0000$ | $\$ 11,373$ | $\$ 33,048$ |
| 1292 | $20-22-211-032-0000$ | $\$ 22,248$ | $\$ 64,648$ |
| 1293 | $20-22-211-033-0000$ | $\$ 12,803$ | $\$ 37,203$ |
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| 1294 | 20-22-211-034-0000 | \$16,836 | \$48,922 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1295 | 20-22-211-035-0000 | \$23,472 | \$68,205 |
| 1296 | 20-22-211-036-0000 | \$4,560 | \$13,250 |
| 1297 | 20-22-211-037-0000 | \$15,179 | \$44,107 |
| -1298 | 20-22-211-038-0000 | \$22,431 | \$65,180 |
| 1299 | 20-22-211-039-0000 | \$6,575 | \$19,106 |
| 1300 | 20-22-211-040-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1301 | 20-22-212-002-0000 | \$11,338 | \$32,946 |
| 1302 | 20-22-212-003-0000 | \$23,648 | \$68,716 |
| 1303 | 20-22-212-004-0000 | \$25,307 | \$73,537 |
| 1304 | 20-22-212-005-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1305 | 20-22-212-006-0000 | \$23,231 | \$67,505 |
| 1306 | 20-22-212-007-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1307 | 20-22-212-008-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1308 | 20-22-212-009-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1309 | 20-22-212-010-0000 | \$21,146 | \$61,446 |
| 1310 | 20-22-212-011-0000 | \$25,361 | \$73,694 |
| 1311 | 20-22-212-012-0000 | \$23,610 | \$68,606 |
| 1312 | 20-22-212-013-0000 | \$13,489 | \$39,196 |
| 1313 | 20-22-212-014-0000 | \$18,881 | \$54,864 |
| 1314 | 20-22-212-015-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1315 | 20-22-212-016-0000 | \$24,136 | \$70,134 |
| 1316 | 20-22-212-018-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1317 | 20-22-212-019-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1318 | 20-22-212-020-0000 | \$21,616 | \$62,812 |
| 1319 | 20-22-212-021-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1320 | 20-22-212-022-0000 | \$2,197 | \$6,384 |
| 1321 | 20-22-212-025-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1322 | 20-22-212-026-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| No. | PIN 2008 Pr | roposed $\neq$ | roposed EAV |
| 1323 | 20-22-2 \$1,948 |  |  |
| 1324 | 20-22-2 \$2,348 |  |  |
| 1325 | 20-22-2 \$0 |  |  |
| 1326 | 20-22-2 \$2,348 |  |  |
| 1327 | 20-22-2 \$0 |  |  |
| 1328 | 20-22-2 \$30,915 |  |  |
| 1329 | 20-22-2 \$26,417 |  |  |
| 1330 | 20-22-2 \$12,290 |  |  |
| 1331 | 20-22-2 \$17,636 |  |  |
| 1332 | 20-22-2 \$15,803 |  |  |
| 1333 | 20-22-2 \$15,134 |  |  |
| 1334 | 20-22-2 \$2,348 |  |  |
| 1335 | 20-22-2 \$ 22,133 |  |  |
| 1336 | 20-22-2 \$ 23,080 |  |  |
| 1337 | 20-22-2 \$22,917 |  |  |
| 1338 | 20-22-2 \$0 |  |  |
| 1339 | 20-22-2; \$0 |  |  |
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| 1379 | 20-22-213-029-0000 | \$27,942 | \$81,194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1380 | 20-22-213-031-0000 | \$21,275 | \$61,821 |
| 1381 | 20-22-213-032-0000 | \$20,524 | \$59,639 |
| 1382 | 20-22-213-033-0000 | \$2,263 | \$6,576 |
| 1383 | 20-22-213-034-0000 | \$12,275 | \$35,669 |
| 1384 | 20-22-213-035-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1385 | 20-22-213-036-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1386 | 20-22-213-037-0000 | \$2,217 | \$6,442 |
| 1387 | 20-22-213-038-0000 | \$2,217 | \$6,442 |
| 1388 | 20-22-213-039-0000 | \$2,217 | \$6,442 |
| 1389 | 20-22-213-040-0000 | \$12,910 | \$37,514 |
| 1390 | 20-22-213-043-0000 | \$28,521 | \$82,876 |
| 1391 | 20-22-213-044-0000 | \$5,176 | \$15,040 |
| 1392 | 20-22-213-045-0000 | \$17,240 | \$50,096 |
| 1393 | 20-22-213-046-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1394 | 20-22-213-047-0000 | \$813 | \$2,362 |
| 1395 | 20-22-213-050-0000 | \$2,127 | \$6,181 |
| 1396 | 20-22-213-051-1001 | \$24,234 | \$70,419 |
| 1397 | 20-22-213-051-1002 | \$23,641 | \$68,696 |
| 1398 | 20-22-213-051-1003 | \$23,641 | \$68,696 |
| 1399 | 20-22-213-051-1004 | \$23,641 | \$68,696 |
| 1400 | 20-22-213-051-1005 | \$23,641 | \$68,696 |
| 1401 | 20-22-214-002-0000 | \$27,904 | \$81,083 |
| 1402 | 20-22-214-003-0000 | \$24,581 | \$71,427 |
| 1403 | 20-22-214-004-0000 | \$24,707 | \$71,794 |
| 1404 | 20-22-214-005-0000 | \$25,122 | \$73,000 |
| 1405 | 20-22-214-006-0000 | \$24,732 | \$71,866 |
| 1406 | 20-22-214-007-0000 | \$24,701 | \$71,776 |
| 1407 | 20-22-214-008-0000 | \$25,888 | \$75,225 |
| 1408 | 20-22-214-009-0000 | \$23,451 | \$68,144 |
| 1409 | 20-22-214-010-0000 | \$31,886 | \$92,654 |
| 1410 | 20-22-214-011-0000 | \$24,745 | \$71,904 |
| 1411 | 20-22-214-012-0000 | \$14,834 | \$43,105 |
| 1412 | 20-22-2I4-013-O000 | \$15,816 | \$45,958 |
| 1413 | 20-22-214-014-0000 | \$21,059 | \$61,193 |
| 1414 | 20-22-214-015-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |


| No. | PIN | 2008 Proposed A2008 Proposed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1415 | $20-22-214-018-000 C \$ 500$ | $\$ 1,453$ |  |
| 1416 | $20-22-214-021-000<\$ 4,109$ | $\$ 11,940$ |  |
| 1417 | $20-22-214-022-000<\$ 2,254$ | $\$ 6,550$ |  |
| 1418 | $20-22-214-023-000<\$ 2,254$ | $\$ 6,550$ |  |
| 1419 | $20-22-214-024-000<\$ 26,232$ | $\$ 76,225$ |  |
| 1420 | $20-22-214-025-000<\$ 26,232$ | $\$ 76,225$ |  |
| 1421 | $20-22-214-026-000<\$ 40,928$ | $\$ 118,929$ |  |
| 1422 | $20-22-214-027-000 \$ \$ 21,104$ | $\$ 61,324$ |  |
| 1423 | $20-22-214-028-000<\$ 24,146$ | $\$ 70,163$ |  |
| 1424 | $20-22-214-029-000<\$ 21,853$ | $\$ 63,500$ |  |
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| 1465 | 20-22-216-005-0000 | \$5,797 | \$16,845 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1466 | 20-22-216-006-0000 | \$5,797 | \$16,845 |
| 1467 | 20-22-216-007-0000 | \$5,797 | \$16,845 |
| 1468 | 20-22-216-008-0000 | \$5,797 | \$16,845 |
| 1469 | 20-22-216-009-0000 | \$35,567 | \$103,351 |
| 1470 | 20-22-216-010-0000 | \$5,745 | \$16,694 |
| 1471 | 20-22-216-011-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1472 | 20-22-216012-0000 | - \$29,686 | \$86,262 |
| 1473 | 20-22-216-013-0000 | \$29,686 | \$86,262 |
| 1474 | 20-22-216-014-0000 | \$29,686 | \$86,262 |
| 147S | 20-22-216-015-0000 | \$29,686 | \$86,262 |
| 1476 | 20-22-216-016-0000 | \$180,221 | \$523,686 |
| 1477 | 20-22-216-017-0000 | \$87,949 | \$255,562 |
| 1478 | 20-22-216-022-0000 | \$22,153 | \$64,372 |
| 1479 | 20-22-216-023-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1480 | 20-22-216-024-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1481 | 20-22-216-025-0000 | \$12,387 | \$35,994 |
| 1482 | 20-22-216-026-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1483 | 20-22-216-027-0000 | \$13,893 | \$40,370 |
| 1484 | 20-22-216-028-0000 | \$14,388 | \$41,809 |
| 1485 | 20-22-216-029-0000 | \$15,803 | \$45,920 |
| 1486 | 20-22-216-030-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1487 | 20-22-216-031-0000 | \$26,234 | \$76,231 |
| 1488 | 20-22-216-032-0000 | \$2,199 | \$6,390 |
| 1489 | 20-22-216-033-0000 | \$11,820 | . \$34,347 |
| 1490 | 20-22-216-034-0000 | \$4,670 \$ | \$13,570 |
| 1491 | 20-22-216-035-0000 | \$17,087 \$ | $\$ 49,651$ |
| 1492 | 20-22-216-036-0000 | \$15,149 \$ | \$44,020 |
| 1493 | 20-22-216-037-0000 | \$15,139 \$ | \$43,991 |
| 1494 | 20-22-216-038-0000 | \$2,348 \$ | \$6,823 |
| 1495 | 20-22-216-039-0000 | \$77,662 \$ | \$225,670 |
| 1496 | 20-22-216-040-0000 | \$21,521 \$ | \$62,536 |
| 1497 | 20-22-216-041-0000 | \$15,911 \$ | \$46,234 |
| 1498 | 20-22-217-001-0000 | \$17,134 | \$49,788 |
| 1499 | 20-22-217-002-0000 | \$11,943 \$ | \$34,704 |
| 1500 | 20-22-217-003-0000 | \$13,339 \$ | \$38,760 |
| 1501 | 20-22-217-004-0000 | \$12,843 \$ | \$37,319 |
| 1502 | 20-22-217-005-0000 | \$19,236 \$ | \$55,896 |
| 1503 | 20-22-217-006-0000 | \$3,757 \$ | \$10,917 |
| 1504 | 20-22-217-007-0000 | \$22,397 \$ | \$65,081' |
| 1505 | 20-22-217-008-0000 | \$2,254 . | - \$6,550 |
| 1506 | 20-22-217-009-0000 | \$22,879 \$ | \$66,482 |
| No. | PIN | 2008 Pro | roposed A2008 Proposed |
| 1507 | 20-22-217 | -010-000¢\$24,280 | \$ $\$ 70,553$ |
| 1508 | 20-22-217 | -011-000< \$16,912 | 2 \$49,143 |
| 1509 | 20-22-217 | -012-000¢\$4,732 | \$13,750 |
| 1510 | 20-22-217 | -013-000¢\$13,486 | \$ \$39,188 |
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| 1511 | 20-22-217-014-000¢\$16,901 | \$49,111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1512 | 20-22-217-015-000 ${ }^{\text {\$ }} 15,931$ | \$46,292 |
| 1513 | 20-22-217-016-000 ${ }^{\text {S }} 13,717$ | \$39,859 |
| 1514 | 20-22-217-017-000 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 25,314 | \$73,557 |
| -1515 | 20-22-217-018-000 $\$ 15,602$ | \$45,336 |
| 1516 | 20-22-217-019-000 $\$ 17,356$ | \$50,433 |
| 1517 | 20-22-217-020-000¢\$13,934 | \$40,489 |
| 1518 | 20-22-217-021-000 $\$ 9,461$ | \$27,492 |
| 1519 | 20-22-217-022-000 $\$ 9,461$ | \$27,492 |
| 1520 | 20-22-217-023-000 $\$ 14,030$ | \$40,768 |
| 1521 | 20-22-217-024-000 $\$ 14,030$ | \$40,768 |
| 1522 | 20-22-217-025-000 $\$ 2,254$ | \$6,550 |
| 1523 | 20-22-217-026-000 ${ }^{\text {\$ }} 0$ | \$0 |
| 1524 | 20-22-217-027-000 $\$ 14,843$ | \$43,131 |
| 1525 | 20-22-217-028-000 $\$ 30,962$ | \$89,969 |
| 1526 | 20-22-217-029-000 ${ }^{\text {S }} 20,740$ | \$60,266 |
| 1527 | 20-22-217-030-000 $\$ 23,896$ | \$69,437 |
| 1528 | 20-22-217-031-000 $\$ 24,273$ | \$70,532 |
| 1529 | 20-22-217-032-000 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 24,021 | \$69,800 |
| 1530 | 20-22-217-033-000 $\$ 12,854$ | \$37,351 |
| 1531 | 20-22-217-034-000 $\$ 2,254$ | \$6,550 |
| 1532 | 20-22-217-035-0001\$8,487 | \$24,662 |
| 1533 | 20-22-217-036-000 $\$ 8,487$ | \$24,662 |
| 1534 | 20-22-217-037-000 $\$ 15,562$ | \$45,220 |
| 1535 | 20-22-217-038-000 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ 26,152 | \$75,992 |
| 1536 | 20-22-217-039-000 ${ }^{\text {2 } 22,188 ~}$ | \$64,474 |
| 1537 | 20-22-217-040-000 $\$ 27,391$ | . $\$ 79,593$ |
| 1538 | 20-22-217-041-000 $\$ 31,538$ | \$91,643 |
| 1539 | 20-22-218-004-000 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ 23,890 | \$69,420 |
| 1540 | 20-22-218-005-000¢\$0 | \$0 |
| 1541 | 20-22-218-006-000 ${ }^{\text {2 } 22,846 ~}$ | \$66,386 |
| 1542 | 20-22-218-007-000 $\$ 30,740$ | \$89,324 |
| 1543 | 20-22-218-008-000 $\$ 28,389$ | \$82,493 |
| 1544 | 20-22-218-009-000 ${ }^{\text {S } 23,718 ~}$ | \$68,920 |
| 1545 | 20-22-218-010-000 ${ }^{\text {2 }} 25,953$ | \$75,414 |
| 1546 | 20-22-218-011-000 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 24,617 | \$71,532 |
| 1547 | 20-22-218-012-000¢ \$17,798 | \$51,717 |
| 1548 | 20-22-218-013-000 $\$ 25,786$ | \$74,929 |
| 1549 | 20-22-218-014-000¢\$26,885 | \$78,122 |
| 1550 | 20-22-218-015-000 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 25,072 | \$72,854 |
| 1551 | 20-22-218-016-000 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ 29,230 | \$84,937 |
| 1552 | 20-22-218-017-000 $\$ 15,356$ | \$44,621 |
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## Project)

Summary Of Est/mated 2008 Egua/;zed Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 18 of 25)
No.
1553
1554
1555
1557
1558
560
1561
1562
1563
15642
1565 20-22-218-030-0000

- $\$ 22,301 \quad \$ 64,802$
20-22-218-031-0000
\$14,418
1567
20-22-218-032-0000
\$22,346

1568 20-22-218-033-0000 $\$ 24,632 \quad \$ 71,576$
1570
20-22-218-036-0000
$\$ 24,409 \quad \$ 70,928$
$1571 \quad 20-22-218-037-0000 \quad \$ 24,559 \quad \$ 71,364$
$1572 \quad 20-22-218-038-0000 \quad \$ 33,931 \quad \$ 98,597$

| 1573 | $20-22-218-039-0000$ | $\$ 22,851$ | $\$ 66,400$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1574 | $20-22-218-040-0000$ | $\$ 16,941$ | $\$ 49,227$ |

$1575 \quad 20-22-218-041-0000 \quad \$ 22,061 \quad \$ 64,105$

| 1576 | $20-22-218-042-0000$ | $\$ 3,334$ | $\$ 9,688$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1577 | $20-22-218-043-0000$ | $\$ 16,218$ | $\$ 47,126$ |

$1578 \quad 20-22-218-044-0000 \quad \$ 28,436 \quad \$ 82,629$

| 1579 | $20-22-218-045-0000$ | $\$ 24,595$ | $\$ 71,468$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1580 | $20-22-218-047-1001$ | $\$ 17,388$ | $\$ 50,526$ |

$1581 \quad 20-22-218-047-1002 \quad \$ 22,356 \quad \$ 64,962$
1582 20-22-218-047-1003 \$22,356 \$64,962

| 1583 | $20-22-218-047-1004$ | $\$ 17,387$ | $\$ 50,523$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1584 | $20-22-218-047-1005$ | $\$ 22,356$ | $\$ 64,962$ |

1585 20-22-218-047-1006 \$22,356 \$64,962

| 1586 | $20-22-219-001-0000$ | $\$ 24,693$ | $\$ 71,753$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1587 | $20-22-219-002-0000$ | $\$ 12,263$ | $\$ 35,634$ |


| 1588 | $20-22-219-003-0000$ | $\$ 24,203$ | $\$ 70,329$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1589 | $20-22-219-004-0000$ | $\$ 5,326$ | $\$ 15,476$ |
| 1590 | $20-22-219-005-0000$ | $\$ 5,545$ | $\$ 16,113$ |
| 1591 | $20-22-219-006-0000$ | $\$ 21,923$ | $\$ 63,704$ |
| 1592 | $20-22-219-007-0000$ | $\$ 12,390$ | $\$ 36,003$ |
| 1593 | $20-22-219-008-0000$. | $\$ 1,466$ | $\$ 4,260$ |
| 1594 | $20-22-219-009-0000$ | $\$ 4,670$ | $\$ 13,570$ |
| 1595 | $20-22-219-010-0000$ | $\$ 18,331$ | $\$ 53,266$ |
| 1596 | $20-22-219-011-0000$ | $\$ 13,151$ | $\$ 38,214$ |
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| 1597 | 20-22-219-012-0000 | \$1,466 \$4,260 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1598 | 20-22-219-013-0000 | \$12,855 \$37 | \$37,354 |
| No. | PIN | 2008 Proposed AV | 2008 Proposed |
| 1599 | 20-22-219-014-0000 | \$13,211 | \$38,389 |
| 1600 | 20-22-219-015-0000 | \$25,512 | \$74,133 |
| 1601 | 20-22-219-016-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1602 | 20-22-219-017-0000 | \$26,651 | \$77,442 |
| 1603 | 20-22-219-018-0000 | \$20,137 | \$58,514 |
| 1604 | 20-22-219-019-0000 | \$15,715 | \$45,665 |
| 1605 | 20-22-219-020-0000 | \$16,928 | \$49,189 |
| 1606 | 20-22-219-021-0000 | \$15,763 | \$45,804 |
| 1607 | 20-22-219-022-0000 | \$1,972 | \$5,730 |
| 1608 | 20-22-219-023-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1609 | 20-22-219-024-0000 | \$25,319 | \$73,572 |
| 1610 | 20-22-219-025-0000 | \$30,813 | \$89,536 |
| 1611 | 20-22-219-028-0000 | \$24,236 | \$70,425 |
| 1612 | 20-22-219-029-0000 | \$24,236 | \$70,425 |
| 1613 | 20-22^219-030-0000 | \$27,676 | \$80,421 |
| 1614 | 20-22-219-031-0000 | \$15,839 | \$46,025 |
| 1615 | 20-22-219-032-0000 | \$17,148 | \$49,829 |
| 1616 | 20-22-219-033-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1617 | 20-22-219-034-0000 | \$16,222 | \$47,138 |
| 1618 | 20-22-219-035-0000 | \$16,176 | \$47,004 |
| 1619 | 20-22-219-036-0000 | \$13,635 | \$39,621 |
| 1620 | 20-22-219-037-0000 | \$24,995 | \$72,630 |
| 1621 | 20-22-219-038-0000 | \$24,483 | \$71,143 |
| 1622 | 20-22-219-039-0000 | \$25,777 | \$74,903 |
| 1623 | 20-22-219-040-0000 | \$26,894 | \$78,149 |
| 1624 | 20-22-219-041-0000 | \$24,947 | \$72,491 |
| 1625 | 20-22-219-042-0000 | \$24,518 | \$71,244 |
| 1626 | 20-22-219-043-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1627 | 20-22-219-044-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1628 | 20-22-219-045-1001 | \$17,899 | \$52,011 |
| 1629 | 20-22-219-045-1002 | \$17,899 | \$52,011 |
| 1630 | 20-22-219-046-1001 | \$24,548 | \$71,332 |
| 1631 | 20-22-219-046-1002 | \$18,783 | \$54,580 |
| 1632 | 20-22-219-046-1003 | \$24,541 | \$71,311 |
| 1633 | 20-22-220-002-0000 | \$28,491 | \$82,789 |
| 1634 | 20-22-220-003-0000 | \$14,064 | \$40,867 |
| 1635 | 20-22-220-004-0000 | \$22,368 | \$64,997 |
| 1636 | 20-22-220-005-0000 | \$13,185 | \$38,313 |
| 1637 | 20-22-220-006-0000 | \$14,191 | \$41,236 |
| 1638 | 20-22-220-007-0000 | \$14,273 | \$41,474 |
| 1639 | 20-22-220-008-0000 | \$1,787 | \$5,193 |
| 1640 | 20-22-220-009-0000 | \$13,960 | \$40,565 |
| 1641 | 20-22-220-010-0000 | \$17,851 | \$51,871 |
| 1642 | 20-22-220-011-0000 | \$23,810 | \$69,187 |
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| 1643 | $20-22-220-012-0000$ | $\$ 23,421$ | $\$ 68,057$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1644 | $20-22-220-013-0000$ | $\$ 2,536$ | $\$ 7,369$ |
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## Append/x 2.

(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized /Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 19 of 25)
No. PIN 2008 Propc2008 Propo:
1645 20-22-220-014-\$18,111 \$52,627
0000
1646 20-22-220-015-\$25,113 \$72,973
0000
1647 20-22-220-016-\$24,821 \$72,125
0000
'164820-22-220-017-\$2,160 \$6,277 0000
1649 20-22-220-019-\$26,932 \$78,259 0000
1650 20-22-220-020-\$24,718 \$71,826 0000
1651 20-22-220-021-\$22,240 \$64,625 0000
1652 20-22-220-022-\$28,152 \$81,804 0000
1653 20-22-220-023-\$22,600 \$65,671 0000
1654 20-22-220-024-\$23,913 \$69,486 0000
1655 20-22-220-025-\$14,426 \$41,919 0000
1656 20-22-220-026-\$0 \$0 0000
1657 20-22-220-027-\$0 \$0
0000
1658 20-22-220-028-\$33,924 \$98,576 0000
1659 20-22-220-029-\$15,271 \$44,374 0000
1660 20-22-220-030-\$15,271 \$44,374 0000
1661 20-22-220-031-\$2,254 \$6,550 0000
1662 20-22-220-032-\$23,883 \$69,399 0000
1663 20-22-220-033-\$23,078 \$67,060 0000
1664 20-22-220-034-\$23,826 \$69,234 0000
1665 20-22-220-035-\$23,855 \$69,318 0000
1666 20-22-220-036-\$23,855 \$69,318 0000
1667 20-22-220-037-\$23,219 \$67,470
0000
1668 20-22-220-038-\$22,975 \$66,761
0000
1669 20-22-220-039-\$15,449 \$44,892 0000
1670 20-22-220-040-\$15,449 \$44,892 0000
1671 20-22-220-041-\$14,032 \$40,774 0000
1672 20-22-220-043-\$13,839 \$40,213 0000
1673 20-22-220-044-\$954 \$2,772 0000

| 1674 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-045-\$ 29,703 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$86,311 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1675 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-046-\$ 29,714 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$86,343 |
| 1676 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-047-\$ 44,870 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$130,383 |
| 1677 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-048-\$ 5,362 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$15,581 |
| 1678 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 24,649 \\ & 1001 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$71,625 |
| 1679 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 24,649 \\ & 1002 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$71,625 |
| 1680 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 24,649 \\ & 1003 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$71,625 |
| 1681 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 24,649 \\ & 1004 \end{aligned}$ | $649 \quad \$ 7$ | \$71,625 |
| 1682 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 24,649 \\ & 1005 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$71,625 |
| 1683 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1006 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,715 |
| 1684 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1007 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,7 IS |
| 1685 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1008 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,715 |
| 1686 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1009 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,715 |
| 1687 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1010 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,715 |
| 1688 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1011 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,715 |
| 1689 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1012 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,715 |
| 1690 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-220-049-\$ 25,024 \\ & 1013 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$72,715 |
| No. | PIN | 2008 | 2008 |
| 1691 | 20-22-220-049-1014 | \$25,024 | \$72,715 |
| 1692 | 20-22-220-049-1015 | \$25,024 | \$72,715 |
| 1693 | 20-22-221-001-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1694 | 20-22-221-015-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1695 | 20-22-222-001-0000 | \$21,519 | \$62,530 |
| 1696 | 20-22-222-002-0000 | \$25,274 | \$73,441 |
| 1697 | 20-22-222-004-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1698 | 20-22-222-005-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1699 | 20-22-222-006-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1700 | 20-22-222-007-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1701 | 20-22-222-008-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1702 | 20-22-222-009-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1703 | 20-22-222-010-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1704 | 20-22-222-011-0000 | \$23,619 | \$68,632 |
| 1705 | 20-22-222-012-0000 | \$27,048 | \$78,596 |
| 1706 | 20-22-222-013-0000 | \$22,838 | \$66,363 |
| 1707 | 20-22-222-014-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1708 | 20-22-222-015-0000 | \$2,254 | . \$6,550 |
| 1709 | 20-22-222-016-0000 | \$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1710 | 20-22-222-017-0000 | \$11,476 | \$33,347 |
| 1711 | 20-22-222-018-0000 | \$20,085 | \$58,363 |
| 1712 | 20-22-222-019-0000 | \$23,088 | \$67,089 |
| 1713 | 20-22-222-020-0000 | \$16,202 | \$47,080 |
| 1714 | 20-22-222-021-0000 | \$39,089 | \$113,585 |
| 1715 | 20-22-222-023-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
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| 1716 | $20-22-222-024-000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1717 | $20-22-222-025-0000$ | $\$ 8,742$ | $\$ 25,403$ |
| 1718 | $20-22-222-026-0000$ | $\$ 22,354$ | $\$ 64,956$ |
| 1719 | $20-22-222-027-0000$ | $\$ 23,212$ | $\$ 67,449$ |
| 1720 | $20-22-222-028-0000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 1721 | $20-22-222-029-0000$ | $\$ 2,254$ | $\$ 6,550$ |
| 1722 | $20-22-222-030-0000$ | $\$ 2,254$ | $\$ 6,550$ |
| 1723 | $20-22-222-031-0000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 1724 | $20-22-222-032-0000$ | $\$ 24,244$ | $\$ 70,448$ |
| 1725 | $20-22-222-033-0000$ | $\$ 23,494$ | $\$ 68,269$ |
| 1726 | $20-22-222-034-0000$ | $\$ 2,254$ | $\$ 6,550$ |
| 1727 | $20-22-222-039-0000$ | $\$ 11,862$ | $\$ 34,469$ |
| 1728 | $20-22-222-040-0000$ | $\$ 1,588$ | $\$ 4,614$ |
| 1729 | $20-22-222-041-0000$ | $\$ 24,257$ | $\$ 70,486$ |
| 1730 | $20-22-222-042-0000$ | $\$ 24,033$ | $\$ 69,835$ |
| 1731 | $20-22-222-043-0000$ | $\$ 1,466$ | $\$ 4,260$ |
| 1732 | $20-22-222-044-0000$ | $\$ 23,444$ | $\$ 68,124$ |
| 1733 | $20-22-222-045-0000$ | $\$ 6,419$ | $\$ 18,652$ |
| 1734 | $20-22-222-048-0000$ | $\$ 4,710$ | $\$ 13,686$ |
| 1735 | $20-22-222-049-0000$ | $\$ 24,340$ | $\$ 70,727$ |
| 1736 | $20-22-222-050-0000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
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>Append/x 2.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 20 of 25)
No. PIN 2008 Propı2008
Proposed
EAV
I737 20-22-222-051-1\$1,606 \$4,667
1738 20-22-222-051-1\$1,606 \$4,667
1739 20-22-222-051-1\$1,606 \$4,667
1740 20-22-222-051-1\$1,606 \$4,667
1741 20-22-222-051-1\$1,606 \$4,667
1742 20-22-222-051-1\$1,606 \$4,667
1743 20-22-222-052-1\$23,388 \$67,961
1744 20-22-222-052-1\$23,388 \$67,961
1745 20-22-222-052-1\$24,097 \$70,021
1746 20-22-223-001-(\$0 \$0
1747 20-22-223-002-(\$2,254 \$6,550
1748 20-22-223-003-(\$24,767 \$71,968
1749 20-22-223-004-(\$24,519 \$71,247
1750 20-22-223-005-(\$11,894 \$34,562
1751 20-22-223-006- $\$ 2,254 \quad \$ 6,550$
1752 20-22-223-007- $\$ 2,254 \quad \$ 6,550$
1753 20-22-223-008-(\$23,594 $\$ 68,559$
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| 1754 | 20-22-223-009-C\$23,329 | \$67,789 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1755 | 20-22-223-010- $\$ 25,263$ | \$73,409 |
| 1756 | 20-22-223-011-c 26,521 | \$77,065 |
| 1757 | 20-22-223-012-(\$2,254 | \$6,550 |
| 1758 | 20-22-223-013- $\$ 25,499$ | \$74,095 |
| 1759 | 20-22-223-014-c\$22,571 | \$65,587 |
| 1760 | 20-22-223-015- $\$ 23,097$ | \$67,115 |
| 1761 | 20-22-223-016-(\$23,315 | \$67,749 |
| 1762 | 20-22-223-017- $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 1763 | 20-22-223-018- $\$ 2,254$ | \$6,550 |
| 1764 | 20-22-223-019- $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 1765 | 20-22-223-020- $\$ 24,324$ | \$70,681 |
| 1766 | 20-22-223-021- $\$ 21,044$ | \$61,150 |
| 1767 | 20-22-223-022- $\$ 23,409$ | \$68,022 |
| 1768 | 20-22-223-023- $\$ 22,804$ | \$66,264 |
| 1769 | 20-22-224-001- \$ 0 | \$0 |
| 1770 | 20-22-224-002- $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 1771 | 20-22-224-003- $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 1772 | 20-22-224-004- $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 1773 | 20-22-224-005-\$ 0 | \$0 |
| 1774 | 20-22-224-014-\$ 0 | \$0 |
| 1775 | 20-22-224-015-c\$3, 022 | \$8,781 |
| 1776 | 20-22-224-016- $\$ 30,192$ | \$87,732 |
| 1777 | 20-22-224-017- $\$ 27,169$ | \$78,948 |
| 1778 | 20-22-224-018- $\$ 2,270$ | \$6,596 |
| 1779 | 20-22-224-019- $\$ 22,095$ | \$64,204 |
| 1780 | 20-22-224-020- $\$ 31,855$ | \$92,564 |
| 1781 | 20-22-224-021-c\$1,720 | \$4,998 |
| 1782 | 20-22-224-022-(\$23,808 | \$69,181 |

No. PIN 2008 Propc2008 Proposed EAV

| 1783 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 1,476 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$4,289 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1784 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 1,476 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$4,289 |
| 1785 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 21,161 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$61,490 |
| 1786 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 16,053 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$46,647 |
| 1787 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 16,826 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$48,893 |
| 1788 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 15,636 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$45,435 |
| 1789 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 20,778 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$60,377 |
| 1790 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 22,215 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$64,552 |
| 1791 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1792 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1793 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 304,499 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$884,813 |
| 1794 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 1795 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 23,545 \\ & \text { nonn } \end{aligned}$ | \$68,417 |
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| 1796 | $20-22524,586$ | \$71,442 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1797 | 20-22s23, 269 | \$67, 615 |
| 1798 | 20-22523,968 | \$69,646 |
| 1799 | 20-22522,444 | \$65,218 |
| 1800 | 20-2257, 190 | \$20,893 |
| 1801 | 20-2257, 190 | \$20,893 |
| 1802 | 20-22523, 810 | \$69,187 |
| 1803 | 20-22528, 409 | \$82,551 |
| 1804 | 20-2251,792 | \$5,207 |
| 180 | 20-22912, 356 | \$35,904 |
| 1806 | 20-2292, 279 | \$6,622 |
| 1807 |  | \$35,872 |
| 1808 | 20-2252,348 | \$6,823 |
| 1809 | 20-22s23,350 | \$67, 850 |
| 1810 | 20-22923,377 | \$67,929 |
| 1811 | 20-22923, 738 | \$68,978 |
| 1812 | 20-22923, 377 | \$67,929 |
| 1813 | 20-22924,386 | \$70,861 |
| 1814 | 20-22924,777 | \$71,997 |
| 1815 | 20-2252,330 | \$6,771 |
| 1816 | 20-22524,923 | \$72,421 |
| 1817 | 20-22923, 223 | \$67, 481 |
| 1818 | 20-22924, 284 | \$70,564 |
| 1819 | 20-22s12, 355 | \$35,901 |
| 1820 | $20-22$ | \$48,544 |
| 1821 | $20-22$ | \$84,004 |
| 1822 | 20-22 | \$69,568 |
| 1823 | 20-22519,965 | \$58,014 |
| 1824 | 20-22915,725 | \$45,694 |
| 1825 | 20-22925,066 | \$72,837 |
| 1826 | 20-2252,310 | \$6,712 |
| 1827 | 20-2252,310 | \$6,712 |
| 1828 | $20-2256,470$ | \$18,801 |
| 107402 |  |  |
| JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO |  |  |
| 11/17/2010 |  |  |
| Appendix 2. |  |  |
| (To | Woodlawn R | develop |

## Project)

Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 21 of 25)
No. PIN 2008 Propc2008 Propos
1829 20-22-225-043-(\$21,640 \$62,882
1830 20-22-225-044-1\$0 \$0
$183120-22-225-045-(\$ 26,995 \$ 78,442$
1832 20-22-225-046-(\$21,245 \$61,734
1833 20-22-225-047-(\$19,540 \$56,779
1834 20-22-225-048-1\$0 \$0
1835 20-22-226-001-1\$24,349 \$70,753
$183620-22-226-002-(\$ 23,425 \$ 68,068$
1837 20-22-226-003-(\$27,872 \$80,990
1838 20-22-226-004-(\$24,742 \$71,895
1839 20-22-226-005-(\$42,203 \$122,633'
1840 20-22-226-006-(\$26,299 \$76,420
1841 20-22-226-007-(\$5,554 \$16,139
1842 20-22-226-008-(\$21,789 \$63,314
1843 20-22-226-009-(\$2,330 \$6,771
1844 20-22-226-010-(\$23,535 \$68,388
1845 20-22-226-011-(\$24,702 \$71,779
1846 20-22-226-012-(\$48,166 \$139,961
1847 20-22-226-013-(\$20,916 \$60,778
1848 20-22-226-014-(\$14,628 \$42,506
1849 20-22-226-015-(\$42,490 \$123,467
$185020-22-226-016-(\$ 15,447 \quad \$ 44,886$
1851 20-22-226-017-(\$22,362 \$64,979
1852 20-22-226-018-(\$0 \$0
1853 20-22-226-019-(\$23,074 \$67,048
1854 20-22-226-020-(\$23,012 \$66,868
1855 20-22-226-021-(\$0 \$0
1856 20-22-226-022-(' \$24,596\$71,471
1857 20-22-226-023-(\$22,278 \$64,735
1858 20-22-226-024-(\$23,074 \$67,048
$185920-22-226-025-(\$ 22,198 \quad \$ 64,503$
1860 20-22-226-026-(\$24,125 \$70,102'
1861 20-22-226-027-(\$25,433 \$73,903.
1862 20-22-226-028-1\$24,466 \$71,093
1863 20-22-226-029-1\$24,807 \$72,084
1864 20-22-226-030-(\$27,370 \$79,532
1865 20-22-226-031-(\$23,086 \$67,083
1866 20-22-226-032-(\$24,954 \$72,511
1867 20-22-226-033-(•\$0 \$0
1868 20-22-226-034-1\$0 \$0
1869 20-22-226-035-1\$0 \$0
1870 20-22-226-038-(\$22,944 \$66,671
1871 20-22-226-039-1\$0 \$0
1872 20-22-226-040-(\$41,211 \$119,751
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| 1873 | $20-22-227-001-(\$ 22,824$ | $\$ 66,322$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1874 | $20-22-227-002-(\$ 12,264$ | $\$ 35,637$ |

No. PIN 2008 Propo2008 Prop
$1875 \quad 20-22-227-00 \$ 12,264 \quad \$ 35,637$
$1876 \quad 20-22-227-00 \$ 24,174 \$ 70,245$
$1877 \quad 20-22-227-00 \$ 24,615 \quad \$ 71,526$
$1878 \quad 20-22-227-00 \$ 22,825 \quad \$ 66,325$
$1879 \quad 20-22-227-00 \$ 2,330 \quad \$ 6,771$
$1880 \quad 20-22-227-00 \$ 24,814 \quad \$ 72,105$
$1881 \quad 20-22-227-00 \$ 23,211 \quad \$ 67,447$
$1882 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 24,814 \quad \$ 72,105$
$1883 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 24,906 \quad \$ 72,372$
$1884 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 23,454 \quad \$ 68,153$
$1885 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 25,656$ \$74,551
$1886 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 25,772 \quad \$ 74,888$
$1887 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 24,011 \quad \$ 69,771$
$1888 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 23,696$ \$68,856
$1889 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 15,311 \quad \$ 44,491$
$1890 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 2,348 \quad \$ 6,823$
$1891 \quad 20-22-227-01 \$ 27,000 \quad \$ 78,457$
1892 20-22-227-02\$0 \$0
1893 20-22-227-02\$0 \$0
$1894 \quad 20-22-227-02 \$ 2,348 \quad \$ 6,823$
$1895 \quad 20-22-227-02 \$ 2,348 \quad \$ 6,823$
1896 20-22-227-02\$2,096 \$6,091
$1897 \quad 20-22-227-02 \$ 8,796 \quad \$ 25,559$
$1898 \quad 20-22-227-02 \$ 8,796 \quad \$ 25,559$
$1899 \quad 20-22-227-02 \$ 24,560 \$ 71,366$
$1900 \quad 20-22-227-02 \$ 23,690 \$ 68,838$
$1901 \quad 20-22-227-03 \$ 26,254 \quad \$ 76,289$
1902 20-22-227-03\$0 \$0
1903 20-22-227-03\$0 \$0
$1904 \quad 20-22-227-03 \$ 27,952$ \$81,223
$1905 \quad 20-22-227-03 \$ 25,130 \quad \$ 73,023$
$1906 \quad 20-22-227-03 \$ 20,768 \quad \$ 60,348$
$1907 \quad 20-22-227-03 \$ 2,270 \quad \$ 6,596$
1908 20-22-227-03\$2,270 \$6,596
$1909 \quad 20-22-227-03 \$ 1,476 \quad \$ 4,289$
$1910 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 23,684 \quad \$ 68,821$
$1911 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 24,497 \$ 71,183$
$1912 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 25,062 \quad \$ 72,825$
$1913 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 5,839 \quad \$ 16,967$
$1914 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 25,527 \quad \$ 74,176$
$1915 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 5,839 \quad \$ 16,967$
$1917 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 22,484 \quad \$ 65,334$
$1918 \quad 20-22-227-04 \$ 23,889 \quad \$ 69,417$
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| 1919 | $20-22-227-04 \$ 23,889$ | $\$ 69,417$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1920 | $20-22-227-04 \$ 3,644$ | $\$ 10,589$ |

11/17/2010
REPORTS OF COMMITTEES
107403
Appendix 2.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 22 of 25)

| No. | PIN | AV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1921 | 20-22-227-048-1002 | \$26,594 | \$77,277 |
| 1922 | 20-22-227-048-1003 | \$17,729 | \$51,517 |
| 1923 | 20-22-227-048-1004 | \$17,729 | \$51,517 |
| 1924 | 20-22-228-001-0000 | \$27,604 | \$80,212 |
| 1925 | 20-22-228-002-0000 | \$12,580 | \$36,555 |
| 1926 | 20-22-228-003-0000 | \$1,515 | \$4,402 |
| 1927 | 20-22-228-004-0000 | \$12,653 | \$36,767 |
| 1928 | 20-22-228-005-0000 | \$12,595 | \$36,599 |
| 1929 | 20-22-228-006-0000 | \$12,408 | \$36,055 |
| 1930 | 20-22-228-007-0000 | \$13,394 | \$38,920 |
| 1931 | 20-22-228-008-0000 | \$12,622 | \$36,677 |
| 1932 | 20-22-228-009-0000 | \$21,808 | \$63,370 |
| 1933 | 20-22-228-010-0000 | \$21,027 | \$61,100 |
| 1934 | 20-22-228-011-0000 | \$2,330 | \$6,771 |
| 1935 | 20-22-228-012-0000 | \$1,568 | \$4,556 |
| 1936 | 20-22-228-013-0000 | \$5,821 | \$16,915 |
| 1937 | 20-22-228-014-0000 | \$2,270 | \$6,596 |
| 1938 | 20-22-228-015-0000 | \$39,959 | \$1)6,113 |
| 1939 | 20-22-228-016-0000 | \$27,914 | \$81,113 |
| 1940 | 20-22-228-017-0000 | \$28,132 | \$81,746 |
| 1941 | 20-22-228-018-0000 | \$23,298 | \$67,699 |
| 1942 | 20-22-228-019-0000 | \$23,422 | \$68,060 |
| 1943 | 20-22-228-021-0000 | \$1,328 | \$3,859 |
| 1944 | 20-22-228-022-0000 | \$924 | \$2,685 |
| 1945 | 20-22-228-023-0000 | \$57,727 | \$167,743 |
| 1946 | 20-22-228-024-0000 | \$32,105 | \$93,291 |
| 1947 | 20-22-228-025-0000 | \$23,173 | \$67,336 |
| 1948 | 20-22-228-026-0000 | . $\$ 0$ | \$0 |
| 1949 | 20-22-228-027-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 1950 | 20-22-228-028-0000 | \$19,470 | \$56,576 |
| 1951 | 20-22-228-029-0000 | \$24,549 | \$71,334 |
| 1952. | 20-22-228-030-0000 | \$24,071 | \$69,946 |
| 1953 | 20-22-228-031-0000 | \$2,330 | \$6,771 |
| 1954 | 20-22-228-032-0000 | \$2,330 | \$6,771 |
| 1955 | 20-22-228-033-0000 | \$24,900 | \$72,354 |
| 1956 | 20-22-228-034-0000 | \$24,218 | \$70,373 |
| 1957 | 20-22-228-035-0000 | \$26,119 | \$75,897 |
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| 2004 | $20-22-229-0 \$ 61,342$ | $\$ 178,248$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 | $20-22-229-0 \$ 17,079$ | $\$ 49,628$ |
| 2006 | $20-22-229-0 \$ 18,117$ | $\$ 52,644$ |
| 2007 | $20-22-229-0 \$ 4,324$ | $\$ 12,565$ |
| 2008 | $20-22-229-0 \$ 4,886$ | $\$ 14,198$ |
| 2009 | $20-22-230-0 \$ 31,729$ | $\$ 92,198$ |
| 2010 | $20-22-230-0 \$ 24,816$ | $\$ 72,110$ |
| 2011 | $20-22-230-0 \$ 18,630$ | $\$ 54,135$ |
| 2012 | $20-22-230-0 \$ 13,501$ | $\$ 39,231$ |

## 107404 JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 11/17/2010

## Appendix 2.

(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 23 of 25)
No. PIN 2008 Proposed 2008 Proposed E

| 2013 | 20-22-230-006-0000 | \$12,822 | \$37,258 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 20-22-230-007-0000 | \$22,540 | \$65,497 |
| 2015 | 20-22-230-008-0000 | \$23,534 | \$68,385 |
| 2016 | 20-22-230-009-0000 | \$22,063 | \$64,111 |
| 2017 | 20-22-230-010-0000 | \$25,541 | \$74,217 |
| 2018 | 20-22-230-011-0000 | \$2,939 | \$8,540 |
| 2019 | 20-22-230-012-0000 | \$28,847 | \$83,824 |
| 2020 | 20-22-230-013-0000 | \$22,321 | \$64,860 |
| 2021 | 20-22-230-014-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 2022 | 20-22-230-015-0000 | \$23,285 | \$67,662 |
| 2023 | 20-22-230-016-0000 | \$47,524 | \$138,095 |
| 2024 | 20-22-230-017-0000 | \$24,088 | \$69,995 |
| 2025 | 20-22-230-018-0000 | \$23,623 | \$68,644 |
| 2026 | 20-22-230-019-0000 | \$23,528 | \$68,368 |
| 2027 | 20-22-230-020-0000 | \$22,991 | \$66,807 |
| 2028 | 20-22-230-021-0000 | - \$5,383 | \$15,642 |
| 2029 | 20-22-230-022-0000 | \$32,896 | \$95,589 |
| 2030 | 20-22-230-023-0000 | \$32,251 | \$93,715 |
| 2031 | 20-22-230-024-0000 | \$21,603 | \$62,774 |
| 2032 | 20-22-230-025-0000 | \$21,285 | \$61,850 |
| 2033 | 20-22-230-026-0000 | \$513 | \$1,491 |
| 2034 | 20-22-230-027-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2035 | 20-22-230-028-0000 | \$23,877 | \$69,382 |
| 2036 | 20-22-230-029-0000 | \$2,348 | \$6,823 |
| 2037 | 20-22-230-030-0000 | \$12,926 | \$37,560 |
| 2038 | 20-22-230-031-0000 | \$22,728 | \$66,043 |
| 2039 | 20-22-230-032-0000 | \$28,077 | \$81,586 |
| 2040 | 20-22-230-033-0000 | \$20,809 | \$60,467 |
| 2041 | 20-22-230-034-0000 | \$20,714 | \$60,191 |
| 2042 | 20-22-230-035-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2043 | 20-22-230-040-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2044 | 20-22-230-041-0000 | \$5,940 | \$17,260 |
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| 2045 | 20-22-230-042-0000 | \$5,940 | \$17,260 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2046 | 20-22-230-043-0000 | \$19,429 | \$56,457 |
| 2047 | 20-22-230-044-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2048 | 20-22-230-046-0000 | \$27,260 | \$79,212 |
| 2049 | 20-22-230-047-0000 | \$3,494 | \$10,153 |
| 2050 | 20-22-230-049-0000 | \$4,620 | \$13,425 |
| 2051 | 20-22-230-050-0000 | \$924 | \$2,685 |
| 2052 | 20-22-230-051-0000 | \$1,848 | \$5,370 |
| 2053 | 20-22-230-052-0000 | \$4,290 | \$12,466 |
| 2054 | 20-22-230-053-0000 | \$15,604 | \$45,342 |
| 2055 | 20-22-231-001-0000 | \$4,660 | \$13,541 |
| 2056 | 20-22-231-002-0000 | \$23,552 | \$68,437 |
| 2057 | 20-22-231-003-0000 | \$23,394 | \$67,978 |
| 2058 | 20-22-231-006-0000 | \$13,289 | \$38,615 |
| No. PIN 2008 Proposed AV 2008 Proposed E |  |  |  |
| 205¢20-22-231-007-0\$24,129 |  |  | \$70,114 |
| 206620-22-231-008-0\$2,330 |  |  | \$6,771 |
| 206120-22-231-009-0\$23,912 |  |  | \$69,483 |
| 206220-22-231-010-0\$21,262 |  |  | \$61,783 |
| 206320-22-231-011-0\$21,931 |  |  | \$63,727 |
| 206420-22-231-012-0\$25,153 |  |  | \$73,090 |
| 206520-22-231-013-0\$0 |  |  | \$0 |
| 206620-22-231-014-0\$2,254 |  |  | \$6,550 |
| 206720-22-231-015-0\$2,254 |  |  | \$6,550 |
| 206820-22-231-016-0\$0 |  |  | \$0 |
| 206920-22-231-017-0\$0 |  |  | \$0 |
| 207(20-22-231-018-0\$0 |  |  | \$0 |
| 207120-22-231-019-0\$0 |  |  | \$0 |
| 207220-22-231-020-0\$5,916 |  |  | \$17,191 |
| 207320-22-231-021-0\$5,702 |  |  | \$16,569 |
| 207420-22-231-022-0\$5,702 |  |  | \$16,569 |
| 207520-22-231-037-0\$17,487 |  |  | \$50,814 |
| 207620-22-400-002-0\$5,148 |  |  | \$14,959 |
| 207720-22-400-003-0\$6,385 |  |  | \$18,554 |
| 207820-22-400-004-0\$14,950 |  |  | \$43,442 |
| 207¢20-22-400-005-0\$49,475 |  |  | \$143,764 |
| 208020-22-400-006-0\$14,597 |  |  | \$42,416 |
| 208120-22-400-007-0\$14,920 |  |  | \$43,355 |
| 208220-22-400-008-0\$15,113 |  |  | \$43,915 |
| 208320-22-400-009-0\$11,421 |  |  | \$33,187 |
| 208420-22-4OO-O10\$2,306 |  |  | \$6,70! |
| 208520-22-400-011-0\$2,284 |  |  | \$6,637 |
| 208620-22-400-012-0\$23,687 |  |  | \$68,830 |
| 208720-22-400-013-0\$0 |  |  | \$0 |
| 208820-22-400-016-0\$3,752 |  |  | \$10,903 |
| 208520-22-400-017-0\$2,750 |  |  | \$7,991 |
| 209(20-22-400-018-0\$2,750 |  |  | \$7,991 |
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| 209120-22-400-0)9-0\$23,284 \$67 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20922 | 22-400-022-0\$4,716 \$13, | \$13,704 |
| 20932 | 22-400-023-0\$4,210 \$12, | \$12,233 |
| 20942 | 22-400-024-0\$3,653 \$10, | \$10,615 |
| 20952 | 22-400-025-0\$8,250 \$23, | \$23,973 |
| 20962 | 22-400-026-0\$8,250 \$23, | \$23,973 |
| 20972 | 22-400-027-0\$2,200 \$6,3 | \$6,393 |
| 20982 | 22-400-028-0\$11,079 \$32, | \$32,193 |
| 209520 | 22-400-029-0\$11,912 \$34, | \$34,614 |
| 21002 | 22-400-030-0\$10,117 \$29, | \$29,398 |
| 21012 | 22-400-031-0\$14,739 \$42, | \$42,829 |
| 21022 | 22-400-032-0\$27,008 \$78, | \$78,480 |
| 21032 | 22-400-033-0\$13,661 \$39, | \$39,696 |
| 21042 | 22-400-034-0. \$9,489 \$27, | \$27,573 |
| 11/17/2010 |  |  |
| REPORTS OF COMMITTEES |  |  |
| 107405 |  |  |
| Append/x 2. |  |  |
| (To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project) |  |  |
| Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 24 of 25) |  |  |
| No. | PIN 2008 Pr | Propr2008 Prop |
| 2105 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-400-035-\$ 37,999 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 99 \$110,417 |
| 2106 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-400-036-\$ 12,690 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 90 \$36,875 |
| 2107 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-400-037-\$ 7,840 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | $0 \quad \$ 22,781$ |
| 2108 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-400-038-\$ 7,853 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 3 \$22,819 |
| 2109 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-005-\$ 22,472 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 72 \$65,299 |
| 2110 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-006-\$ 2,387 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 7 \$6,936 |
| 2111 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-007-\$ 23,903 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 03 \$69,457 |
| 2112 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-008-\$ 21,064 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 64 \$61,208 |
| 2113 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-009-\$ 16,580 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 80 \$48,178 |
| 2114 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-010-\$ 14,928 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 28 \$43,378 |
| 2115 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-011-\$ 16,636 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 36 \$48,341 |
| 2116 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-012-\$ 16,467 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 67 \$47,850- |
| 2117 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-013-\$ 16,322 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 22 \$47,428 |
| 2118 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-016-\$ 1,241 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | $1 \quad \$ 3,606$ |
| 2119 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-017-\$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 2120 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-018-\$ 30,961 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 61 \$89,966 |
| 2121 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-019-\$ 3,150 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 0 \$9,153 |
| 2122 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-020-\$ 23,716 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 16 \$68,914 |
| 2123 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-021-\$ 27,773 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 73 \$80,703 |
| 2124 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-022-\$ 29,410 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | 10 \$85,460 |
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| 2125 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-023-. \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2126 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-024-\$ 23,557 \quad \$ 6 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  | \$68,452 |
| 2127 | $20-22-401-025-\$ 2,387$ | \$6,936 |  |
| 2128 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-026-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$5,079 |  |
| 2129 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-027-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,936 |  |
| 2130 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-028-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$43,805 |  |
| 2131 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-029-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$57,602 |  |
| 2132 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-030-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |  |
| 2133 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-031-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$65,288 |  |
| 2134 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-032-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$65,883 |  |
| 2135 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-033-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$43,758 |  |
| 2136 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-034-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$43,758 |  |
| 2137 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-035-\{ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$44,584 |  |
| 2138 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-036-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$71,366 |  |
| 2139 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-037-s \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$85,895 |  |
| 2140 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-038-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$73,383 |  |
| 2141 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-039- \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$35,093 |  |
| 2142 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-044-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$15,982 |  |
| 2143 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-045-s \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$21,483 |  |
| 2144 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-046-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$435,135 |  |
| 2145 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-047-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$177,155 |  |
| 2146 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-048-\$ \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$97,100 |  |
| 2147 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-049-\$ \\ & 1001 \end{aligned}$ | \$52,883 |  |
| 2148 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-049-\$ \\ & 1002 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,990 |  |
| 2149 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-049-\$ \\ & 1003 \end{aligned}$ | \$67,990 |  |
| 2150 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22-401-050-\$ \\ & 1001 \end{aligned}$ | \$73,229 |  |
| No.PI |  | 2008 Propo2008 P |  |
| 21520 | -22-401-050-1002 | \$25,201 | \$73,22 |
| 21520 | -22-401-050-1003 | \$36,498 | \$106,0 |
| 21520 | -22-402-002-0000 | \$2,387 | \$6,936 |
| 21520 | -22-402-003-0000 | \$2,387 | \$6,936 |
| 21520 | -22-402-004-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 21520 | -22-402-005-0000 | \$15,073 | \$43,799 |
| 21520 | -22-402-006-0000 | \$21,157 | \$61,478 |
| 21520 | -22-402-007-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 21520 | -22-402-008-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| $21 ¢ 20$ | -22-402-009-0000 | \$12,335 | \$35,843 |
| $21 ¢ 20$ | -22-402-013-0000 | \$3,580 | \$10,403 |
| 21620 | -22-402-014-0000 | \$14,551 | \$42,282 |
| $21 ¢ 20$ | -22-402-015-0000 | \$2,387 | \$6,936 |
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| 21620-22-402-016-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21620-22-402-017-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 21620-22-402-018-0000 | \$2,387 | \$6,936 |
| 21 ¢20-22-402-019-0000 | \$15,179 | \$44,107 |
| 21620-22-402-020-0000 | \$8,974 | \$26,077 |
| 21-20-22-402-021-0000 | \$8,795 | \$25,557 |
| 21-20-22-402-023-0000 | \$12,639 | \$36,726 |
| 21-20-22-402-024-0000 | \$12,385 | \$35,988 |
| 21720-22-402-025-0000 | \$16,721 | \$48,588 |
| 21.20-22-402-026-0000 | \$22,674 | \$65,886 |
| 2172,0-22-402-027-0000 | \$46,257 | \$134,414 |
| 21720-22-402-028-0000 | \$22,460' | \$65,264 |
| 21720-22-402-029-0000 | \$1,626 | \$4,725 |
| 21720-22-402-030-0000 | \$21,371 | \$62,100 |
| 21720-22-402-033-0000 | \$13,111 | \$38,098 |
| 21720-22-402-034-0000 | \$12,534 | \$36,421 |
| 21820-22-402-035-0000 | \$24,573 | \$71i404 |
| 21820-22-402-036-0000 | \$23,800 | \$69,158 |
| 21820-22-402-037-0000 | \$2,387 | \$6,936 |
| 21820-22-402-038-0000 | \$23,800 | \$69,158 |
| 21820-22-402-039-0000 | \$26,548 | \$77,143 |
| 21820-22-402-040-0000 | \$24,772 | \$71,982 |
| 21820-22-402-041-0000 | \$26,469 | \$76,914 |
| 21820-22-402-042-0000 | \$3,150 | \$9,153 |
| 21820-22-402-043-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 21820-22-402-044-0000 | \$22,599 | \$65,668 |
| 21520-22-402-046-0000 | \$15,642 | \$45,453 |
| 21520-22-402-047-0000 | \$15,963 | \$46,385 |
| $21520-22-402-049-0000$ | \$15,048 | \$43,726 |
| 21520-22-402-051-0000 | \$27,147 | \$78,884 |
| 21520-22-402-052-0000 | \$70,179 | \$203,926 |
| 21520-22-403-001-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 21520-22-403-002-0000 | \$0 | \$0 |

## 107406

JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 11/17/2010
Appendix 2.
(To West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area TIF District Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project)
Summary Of Estimated 2008 Equalized Assessed Value. (By Permanent Index Number) (Page 25 of 25)
No. PIN 20082008 Propo:
Proposed
AV
2197 20-22-403-003-(\$1,980 \$5,753
2198 20-22-403-005-(\$23,129 \$67,208
2199 20-22-403-006-(\$3,949 \$11,475
2200 20-22-403-007-(\$14,784 \$42,959
2201 20-22-403-008-(\$10,757 \$31,258
2202 20-22-403-009-(\$12,923 \$37,552
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| 2203 | 20-22-403-010-(\$12,460 | \$36,206 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2204 | 20-22-403-011-(\$32,075 | \$93,204 |
| 2205 | 20-22-403-012-(\$20,900 | \$60,731 |
| 2206 | 20-22-403-013-(\$14,509 | \$42,160 |
| 2207 | 20-22-403-014-(\$16,528 | \$48,027 |
| 2208 | 20-22-403-015-(\$26, 224 | \$76,202 |
| 2209 | 20-22-403-016-(\$15, 492 | \$45,017 |
| 2210 | 20-22-403-017-(\$17,500 | \$50,852 |
| 2211 | 20-22-403-021-(\$15,818 | \$45,964 |
| 2212 | 20-22-403-022-(\$14,336 | \$41,658 |
| 2213 | 20-22-403-023-(\$11,989 | \$34,838 |
| 2214 | 20-22-403-024-(\$12,516 | \$36,369 |
| 2215 | 20-22-403-025-(\$12,516 | \$36,369 |
| 2216 | 20-22-403-026-(\$16,379 | \$47,594 |
| 2217 | 20-22-403-027-(\$10,330 | \$30,075 |
| 2218 | 20-22-403-028-(\$7,644 | \$22,212 |
| 2219 | 20-22-403-029-(\$13,595 | \$39,504 |
| 2220 | 20-22-403-030-(\$24,467 | \$71,096 |
| 2221 | 20-22-403-031-(\$24, 440 | \$71,018 |
| 2222 | 20-22-403-032-(\$25,186 | \$73,185 |
| 2223 | 20-22-403-033-(\$23,667 | \$68,772 |
| 2224 | 20-22-403-034-(\$25,999 | \$75,548 |
| 2225 | 20-22-403-035-(\$22,276 | \$64,730 |
| 2226 | 20-22-403-036-(\$30, 044 | \$87,302 |
| 2227 | 20-22-403-037-(\$9,236 | \$26,838 |
| 2228 | 20-22-403-038-(\$9,128 | \$26,524 |
| 2229 | 20-22-403-039-(\$32,626 | \$94,805 |
| 2230 | 20-22-403-040- (\$34,226 | \$99,454 |
| 2231 | 20-22-403-041-(\$20,766 | \$60,342 |
| 2232 | 20-22-406-001-(\$0 | \$0 |
| 2233 | 20-22-406-002-(\$0 | \$0 |
| 2234 | 20-22-406-003-(\$35,827 | \$104,106 |
| 2235 | 20-22-406-004-(\$21,248 | \$61,742 |
| 2236 | 20-22-406-005-(\$0 | \$0 |
| 2237 | 20-22-406-006-(\$15,486 | ' \$44,999 |
| 2238 | 20-22-406-007-(\$17,926 | \$52,089 |
| 2239 | 20-22-406-008-(\$1,684 | \$4,893 |
| 2240 | 20-22-406-009-(\$38,827 | \$112,823 |
| 2241 | 20-22-406-010-(\$43,076 | \$125,170 |
| 2242 | 20-22-406-011-(\$20,307 | \$59,008 |
| No. | PIN 2008 Proposed | AV 2008 Prop |
| 2243 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 3,522 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$10,234 |
| 2244 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 2,348 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,823 |
| 2245 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 2246 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 2,348 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,823 |
| 2247 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 2,348 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,823 |
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| 2248 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 4,697 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$13,649 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2249 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 31,680 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$92,056 |
| 2250 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 2251 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 22,132 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$64,311 |
| 2252 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 22,132 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$64,311 |
| 2253 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 2254 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 4,022 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$11,687 |
| 2255 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 4,393 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$12,765 |
| 2256 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 2257 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$ $\bigcirc^{\prime}$ |
| 2258 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 0 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$0 |
| 2259 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 27,074 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$78,672 |
| 2260 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 27,746 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$80,624 |
| 2261 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 23,423 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$68,063 |
| 2262 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 22,210 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$64,538 |
| 2263 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 22,648 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$65,811 |
| 2264 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 71,193 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$206,873 |
| 2265 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 21,202 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$61,609 |
| 2266 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 2,387 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,936 |
| 2267 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 22,845 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$66,383 |
| 2268 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 2,165 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$6,291 |
| 2269 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,613 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$25,028 |
| 2270 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,613 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$25,028 |
| 2271 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,613 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$25,028 |
| 2272 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 17,227 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$50,058 |
| 2273 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 17,227 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$50,058 |
| 2274 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,613 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$25,028 |
| 2275 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,613 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$25,028 |
| 2276 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,613 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$25,028 |
| 2277 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,613 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$25,028 |
| 2278 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 8,577 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$24,923 |
| 2279 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 7,236 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$21,026 |
| 2280 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 5,436 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$15,796 |
| 2281 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 29,478 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$85,657 |
| 2282 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 28,176 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$81, 874 |
| 2283 | $\begin{aligned} & 20-22 \$ 22,899 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ | \$66,540 |
| 2284 | $20-22 \$ 18,496$ nnn | \$53,746 |
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West Wood/awn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1.
July 1, 2010.
Introduction To Amendment No. 1.
The City of Chicago (the "City") is dedicated to the continued growth and economic development of the City. The City's ability to stimulate growth and development relies on the creation and implementation of government policies that will allow the City to work with the private sector to eliminate blighted areas and ensure sound growth and development of property. Based upon the City's establishment of a redevelopment project area as described herein, it is understood that the City recognizes the> necessity of the relationship between continued community growth and public participation. The blighting of communities impairs the value of private investment and threatens the growth of the City's tax base.
Additionally, the City understands the dangers associated with blighting factors and problems arising from blighted conditions. Both of these statements are supported by the City's establishment of a redevelopment project area. The Illinois General Assembly passed the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act ( 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et. seq.) (the "Act") to address the growing number of blighted areas in many Illinois municipalities. The Act declares that in order to promote the public health, safety, morals, and welfare, blighting and/or conservation conditions must be eliminated. Therefore, to induce redevelopment pursuant to the Act, the City Council adopted three ordinances in May 2010 approving the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan and Project (the "Plan"), designating the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area (the "Area"), and adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Area.
The Plan is solely being amended to include, within the Area, the John Foster Dulles Elementary School located on the Southwest corner of $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive. The amendments to the Plan are outlined below and follow the format of the Plan.
Laube Consulting Group LLC ("Consultant") has been engaged to prepare this Amendment Number 1 to the Plan in order to assist the City in determining whether or not the addition of the John Foster Dulles Elementary School qualifies underthe Act. The Consultant conducted various surveys of existing conditions and land uses. In conducting these surveys, conditions were documented and tabulated by the types of blighting factors delineated in the Act. An analysis was made of each of the blighting factors that are present.
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1.

Executive Summary.
No changes.
2.
/nfroducf/on.
The Study Area.
The last sentence of the third paragraph should be amended and replaced as follows: The Area consists of 2,285 tax parcels on 64 blocks, and is wholly located within the City.
Map 1 - Community Context. This map is to be amended and replaced with Amended Map 1 as shown in the Appendix.
Map 2 - RPA Boundary. This map is to be amended and replaced with Amended Map 2 as shown in the
Appendix.
History of Community Area. No changes.
Existing Land-Use. No changes.
Historically Significant Structures. No changes.
11/17/2010
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Map 3 -- Existing Land-Use. This map is to be amended with Amended Map 3 as shown in the Appendix. 3.

Eligibility Analysis.
Provisions Of The Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act. No changes.
Factors For Improved Property. No changes.
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Factors For Vacant Land. No changes.
Methodology Overview And Determination Of Eligibility. No changes.
Conservation Area Findings.
The second paragraph, last sentence is amended and replaced as follows:
There are 1,498 buildings located within the Area, 1,425 (95\%) are 35 years of age or older.
Map 4A -- Eligibility Factor (35+ Years). This map to be amended and replaced with Amended Map 4A as shown in the Appendix.
Map 4B - Eligibility Factor (Deterioration). This map to be amended and replaced with Amended Map 4B as shown in the Appendix.
107410
JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO
11/17/2010
Map 4C - Eligibility Factor (Inadequate Utilities). This map to be amended and replaced with Amended Map 4C as shown in the Appendix.
Map 4D - Eligibility Factor (Structures Below Minimum Code). This map to be amended and replaced with Amended Map 4D as shown in the Appendix.
Map 4E - Eligibility Factor (Lack Of Community Planning). This map to be amended and replaced with Amended Map 4E as shown in the Appendix.
This section is to be added to Conservation Area Findings:
We have evaluated Parcel 20-22-108-017 ("Parcel 017"), commonly known as John Foster Dulles Elementary School for inclusion in the Area. An analysis was made of each of the blighting factors listed in the Act to determine whether each or any are present in the ' Area and, if so, to what extent.
Our findings are as follows:
Age (35+ Years) ~ Present.
The structure on Parcel 017 is over 35 years of age. The structure was constructed in 1962, making it approximately 48 years old.
As such, there are 1,498 buildings located within the Area, 1,425 (95\%) are 35 years of age or older when Parcel 017 is included.
Therefore, our conclusion is that Age 35+ years is present to a major extent.
Deterioration -- Present To A Minor Extent.
Parcel 017 exhibits the following factors: cracked sidewalks, soffits, parking lots and driveways, rusted metal grating on the doors and over the windows, limited water damage under the eaves, chipped paint on the windows, rusty fences, and other items of deterioration. These items are repairable and minor in nature.
Therefore, our conclusion is that deterioration is present to a minor extent.
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Inadequate Utilities -- Present To A Major Extent.
A review of the City's Water and Sewer atlases found that inadequate utilities affect all properties on both sides of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive. The water and sewer lines were largely installed prior to 1909. All of these lines have surpassed their 100-year service lives and are in need of replacement or significant repair and have been deemed inadequate by the City's Water Management department. Parcel 017 is served by these water and sewer lines that go in and along Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive.
Therefore, our conclusion is that inadequate utilities are present to a major extent. -
Lack Of Community Planning - Present To A Major Extent.
Lack of community planning was assessed as an area-wide factor in the Plan. The Plan cited many instances of incompatible land-use relationships, improper subdivisions, or inadequate land platting. '
Parcel 017 also evidences lack of community planning due to the fact that it contains an elementary school and is directly adjacent to $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street and adjacent to a heavily trafficked commercial corridor. There is a Walgreens directly to the south and an industrial rail storage yard directly adjacent to the east of Parcel 017. Additionally, it is directly adjacent to the Chicago Transportation Authority's elevated train line which is directly over 63 ${ }^{\text {rl }}$ Street. Parcel 017 is "land-locked" and there is no room for expansion. The proximity of the commercial uses, $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street, and the rail storage yard directly surrounding an elementary school is evidence that this school was developed without community planning in mind. Therefore, our conclusion is that lack, of community planning is present to a major extent.
Deleterious Land-Use Or Layout -- Present To A Major Extent.
Parcel 017, an elementary school, is directly adjacent to a Walgreens to the south and a rail storage yard to the east. The vehicular entrance to the school is at two (2) points: (1) a curb cut off of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the east and
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(2) a street entrance off of the intersection of $63^{r d}$ and Calumet Avenue to the west. Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive is a major thoroughfare that is heavily trafficked. $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street is also a major thoroughfare that also has the truck and commercial traffic from the industrial uses directly to the west ofthe site. Therefore, the land uses of commercial to the south, the major thoroughfares of $63^{\text {rd }}$ Street and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, and the industrial uses to the east create an improper and unsafe interaction of land uses for an elementary school. Additionally, the parcel is very irregularly shaped. It is an "L" shape conforming itself around the commercial use to the south and the irregular intersection at the corner of $63^{\text {rd }}$ and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive. This creates a very unusual traffic pattern for parking of the school as well as access to the playground for the children.
Therefore, our conclusion is that deleterious land-use or layout is present to a major extent.
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Overall Conclusion.
Based on the Consultant's review of Parcel 017, the Consultant believes that a sufficient basis exists for the inclusion of Parcel 017 in the Area.
Specifically, ninety-five percent (95\%) of the buildings in the Area are thirty-five (35) years or greater and Parcel 017 exhibits 4 of 13 blighting factors necessary to qualify as a Conservation Area under the Act. The Area, on an overall basis exhibits six (6) of thirteen (13) factors, four (4) to a major extent and two (2) to a minor extent. The inclusion of Parcel 017 adds to these factors.
Therefore, it is the Consultant's conclusion that, with the inclusion of Parcel 017, the conservation factors are evenly distributed throughout the Area as required by the Act.
4.
f?edeve/opmen( Plan And Project.
Redevelopment Needs Of The West Woodlawn RPA. No changes.
Goal, Objectives, And Strategies.
This goal is to be added to this section:
12. Improve public facilities within the Area, including the encouragement of upgrades to Chicago Public School facilities.
Proposed Future Land-Use. No changes.
Map 5 -- Proposed Future Land-Use. This map is to be amended and replaced by Amended Map 5 as shown in the Appendix.
Assessment Of Housing Impact. No changes.
11/17/2010
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5.

Financial Plan.
Eligible Costs. No changes.
Estimated Redevelopment Project Costs. No changes.
Phasing And Scheduling Of The Redevelopment. No changes.
Sources Of Funds To Pay Costs. No changes.
Issuance Of Obligations. No changes.
Most Recent Equalized Assessed Valuation Of Properties In The Redevelopment Project Area.
The reference to 2,284 parcels in the second sentence should be amended and replaced with 2,285.
Anticipated Equalized Assessed Value. No changes due to the tax-exempt status of Parcel 017.
6.

Required Findings And Tests.
Lack Of Growth And Private Investment. No changes.
107414
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Conformance To The Plans Of The City. No changes.
Dates Of Completion. No changes.
Financial Impact Of The Redevelopment Project. No changes.
Demand On Taxing District Services And Program To Address Financial And Service Impact. No changes.
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Map 6 - Community Facilities. Map 6 is amended and replaced with Amended Map 6 as shown in the Appendix.
7.

Provisions For Amending Redevelopment Plan And Project. No changes.
8.

Commitment To Fair Employment Practices And Affirmative Action Plan.
No changes.
Appendix 1 - Boundary And Legal Description. West Woodlawn TIF Legal Description.
11/17/2010
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The legal description is amended and replaced as follows:
West Woodlawn TIF Legal Description.
All that part of the southwest quarter of Section 14, the southeast quarter of Section 15, the southwest quarter of Section 15, the northeast quarter of Section 22, the northwest quarter of Section 22, the southeast quarter of Section 22, the southwest quarter of Section 22 and the southwest quarter of Section 23| all in Township 38 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:
beginning at the intersection of the north line of East $60^{\text {th }}$ Street, 66 feet wide, and the west line of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, 66 feet wide, said intersection being in the southwest quarter of Section 15, Township 38, North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian; thence east along said north line of East 60 ,h Street to the northerly extension of the east line of South Drexel Avenue; thence south along the northerly extension of said east line of South Drexel Avenue and along said east line to the north line of East $62^{\text {nd }}$ Street; thence west along said north line of East $62^{\text {hd }}$ Street to the west line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along said west .line of South.. Cottage Grove Avenue to the south line of the 16 foot alley, vacated by ordinance passed September 11, 1968 and recorded October 28,1968 as Document 20658363, lying south of and adjoining Lot 18 in Block 2 ofthe resubdivision of the Washington Park Club Addition to Chicago, being a subdivision of the south half of the southeast quarter of said Section 15 ; thence west along the south line of said vacated 16 foot alley to the west line of said Lot 18 extended south; thence north along said southerly extension of the west line of Lot 18 to the easterly extension of the north line of the 16 foot public alley lying south of and adjoining Lot 25 in Block 2 of the resubdivision of the Washington Park Club Addition to Chicago aforesaid; thence west along the easterly extension ofthe north line ofthe said 16 foot public alley, along said north line and along the westerly extension thereof, to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of South Evans Avenue to the westerly extension of the north line of Lot 8 in Block 1 of Loring and Gibbs Subdivision of part of the northeast quarter of Section 22 aforesaid; thence east along said westerly extension of the north line of Lot 8 and along said north line to the west line of the 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of the 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue to the westerly extension of the south line of Lot 14 in Block 1 in A.J. Hawhe's South Park Subdivision of the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter and the north three-quarters of the east half of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of said Section 22; thence east along said westerly extension of the south line of Lot 14, along said south line and along the easterly extension thereof to the east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along said east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue to the easterly extension ofthe south line of East $68^{\text {ih }}$ Street; thence west along said easterly extension of the south line of East $68,^{\text {h }}$ Street and along said south line to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Evans Avenue to the south line of East $67^{\text {hh }}$ Street; thence west along said south line of East $67^{\text {th }}$ Street 107416 JOURNAL-CITY COUNCIL-CHICAGO 11/17/2010
to the east line of South Champlain Avenue; thence south along said east line of South Champlain Avenue to the south line of East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street; thence west along said south line of East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street to the east line of South St. Lawrence Avenue; thence south along said east line of South'St. Lawrence Avenue to the north line of East 69,h Street; thence southwesterly to the intersection of the west line of South St. Lawrence Avenue and the southwesterly line of South Chicago Avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line of South Chicago Avenue to the intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the south line of East Marquette Road; thence west along said south line of East Marquette Road to a line perpendicular to the north line of East Marquette Road at a point 75.46 feet east of the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive as measured along said north line of East Marquette Road; thence north along said perpendicular line to the north line of East Marquette Road, being also the south line of Block 4 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision of the east half of the northwest quarter of said Section 22 (except part occupied by railroad); thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge pursuant to ordinance passed by City Council June 16,1955, a distance of 464.82 feet as measured along the arc of a curve concave to the southwest and having a radius of $1,597.28$ feet and whose chord has an angle of 63 degrees, 16 minutes, 30
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seconds to the right with the westerly extension of the south line of said Block 4 ; thence continuing northwesterly along said southwesterly line, being a straight line having an angle of 09 degrees, 19 minutes, 35 seconds to the left with the extension ofthe aforesaid chord to a line 140 feet south of and parallel with the north line of said Block 4 ; thence east along the south line of the north 140 feet of Block 4 to the intersection with a line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad; thence northwesterly along said line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad to the point of intersection with the centerline of South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said centerline of South Calumet Avenue to the westerly extension of the south line of Block 3 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision of the east half of the northwest quarter of said Section 22; thence east along said westerly extension of the south line of Block 3 and along the south line thereof to the intersection with the northeasterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge to the intersection with the west line of South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Calumet Avenue to the north line of East 63 rd Street; thence east along said north line to its intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15) to the south line of Lot 9 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision ofthe east half of the southwest quarter of said Section 15; thence east along said south line of Lot 9 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of 11/17/2010
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Lot 1 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision aforesaid; thence west along said north line of Lot 1 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East $61^{\text {st }}$ Street; thence east along said north line of East $61^{\text {s'Street to the west line of }}$ South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive (33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East 60,h Street and the point of beginning.
Original legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated December 28, 2009, was prepared by Nakawatase Wyns \& Associates, Inc., James E. Rores, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2394, license expires November 30, 2010. This amended legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated June 29, 2010, was amended by Spaceco, Inc., C. Brian Lounsbury, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2831, license expires November 30, 2010.
Appendix 2 -- Summary Of Equalized Assessed Value By Property Index Number (PIN). This PIN is to be added to the list:
Number PIN 2008 AV 2008 EAV
2,285 20-22-108-017 \$0 \$0
Appendix 3 -- Housing Impact Study. No changes.
[Amended Map 2 referred to in the West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan and Project Amendment Number 1 constitutes Exhibit "E" to ordinance and printed on page 107435 of this Journal.\}
[Amended Maps 1, 3, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, 5 and 6 referred to in this West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment
Plan and Project Amendment Number 1 printed on pages 107418 through 107426 of this Journal.]
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/Amended Map 1. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan Ahd Project Amendment No. 1) Commun/fy Context.
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IAmended Map 3. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1) Existing Land-Use.
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Amended Map 4A. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1) Eligibility Factor. ~ Age (35+ Years).
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Amended Map 4B. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1)
Eligibility Factor. - Deterioration.
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Amended Map 4C. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1) Eligibility Factor - Inadequate Utilities.
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-Amended Map 4D. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1) Eligibility Factor- Structures Below Minimum Code.
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Amended Map 4E. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1) Eligibility Factor- Lack Of Community Planning.

## Legend

f-. J W«i Woodlawn RPA Boundary
Lack or Community Planning
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A mended Map 5. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1) Proposed Future Land-Use.
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Amended Map 6. (To West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan And Project Amendment No. 1) Community Facilities.
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Exhibit "B". (To Ordinance)
Certificate.
State of Illinois )
)SS.
County of Cook )
```

I, Robert Wolf, the duly authorized and qualified Assistant Secretary of the Community Development Commission ofthe City of Chicago, and the custodian of the records thereof, do hereby certify that I have compared the attached copy of a Resolution adopted by the Community Development Commission of the City of Chicago at a Regular Meeting held on the
$14{ }^{\text {,h }}$ day of September 2010 with the original resolution adopted at said meeting and noted in the minutes of the
Commission, and do hereby certify that said copy is a true, correct and complete transcript of said Resolution.
Dated this $14{ }^{\text {,h }}$ day of September 2010.
(Signed): Robert Wolf
Assistant Secretary
Resolution 10-CDC-52 referred to in this Certificate reads as follows:
Community Development Commission Of The City Of Chicago
Resolution 10-CDC-52
Recommending To The City Council Of The City Of Chicago
For The Proposed West Woodlawn Amendment No. 1 Redevelopment Project Area:
Approval Of Amendment No. 1 To The Redevelopment Plan And Project
Whereas, The Community Development Commission (the "Commission") of the City of Chicago (the "City") has heretofore been appointed by the Mayor ofthe City with the approval
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of its City Council ("City Council", referred to herein collectively with the Mayor as the "Corporate Authorities") (as codified in Section 2-124 of the City's Municipal Code) pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4(k) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, as amended (65 ILCS 51/174.4-1, et seq.) (the "Act"); and
Whereas, The Commission is empowered by the Corporate Authorities to exercise certain powers set forth in Section 5/11-74.4-4(k) of the Act, including the holding of certain public hearings required by the Act; and
Whereas, Staff of the City's Department of Community Development has conducted or caused to be conducted certain investigations, studies and surveys of the [insert name] Redevelopment Project Area Amendment No.1, the street boundaries of which are described on (Sub)Exhibit A hereto (the "Area"), to determine the eligibility of the Area as a redevelopment project area as defined in the Act (a "Redevelopment Project Area") and for tax increment allocation financing pursuant to the Act ("Tax Increment Allocation Financing"), and previously has presented the following documents to the Commission for its review:
West Woodlawn Redevelopment Plan and Project Amendment No. 1 (the "Plan"); and
Whereas, Prior to the adoption by the Corporate Authorities of ordinances approving a redevelopment plan, designating an area as a Redevelopment Project Area or adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for an area, it is necessary that the Commission hold a public hearing (the "Hearing") pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) ofthe Act, convene a meeting of a joint review board (the "Board") pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(b) of the Act, set the dates of such Hearing and Board meeting and give notice thereof pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-6 of the Act; and
Whereas, A public meeting (the "Public Meeting") was held in accordance and in compliance with the requirements of Section 5/11-74.4-6(e) of the Act, on June 28, 2010 at 6:00 P.M. at the Dulles Elementary School, 6311 South Calumet, Chicago, Illinois (this date-being more than 14 business days before the scheduled mailing of the notice ofthe Hearing [hereinafter defined] as specified in the Act), pursuant to notice from the City's Commissioner ofthe Department of Community Development, given on June 11,2010 (this date being more than 15 days before the date of the Public Meeting, as specified in the Act); by certified mail to all taxing districts having real property in the proposed Area and to all entities requesting that information that have taken the steps necessary to register to be included on the interested parties registry for the proposed Area in accordance with Section 5/11-74.4-4.2 of the Act and, with a good faith effort by regular mail, to all residents and to the last known persons who paid property taxes on real estate in the proposed Area (which good faith effort was satisfied by such notice being mailed to each residential address and to the person or persons in whose name property taxes were paid on real property for the last preceding year located in the proposed Area); and
Whereas, The Report and Plan were made available for public inspection and review since July 1, 2010, being a date not less than 10 days before the Commission meeting at which the
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Commission adopted Resolution 10-CDC-46 on July 13, 2010 fixing the time and place for the Hearing, at City Hall, 121 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, in the following offices: City Clerk, Room 107 and Department of Community Development, Room 1000; and
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Whereas, Notice of the availability of the Report and Plan, including how to obtain this information, were sent by mail on July 30, 2010 which is within a reasonable time after the adoption by the Commission of Resolution 10-CDC-46 to: (a) all residential addresses that, after a good faith effort, were determined to be (i) located within the Area and (ii) located outside the proposed Area and within 750 feet of the boundaries of the Area (or, if applicable, were determined to be the 750 residential addresses that were outside the proposed Area and closest to the boundaries of the Area); and (b) organizations and residents that were registered interested parties for such Area; and
Whereas, Notice ofthe Hearing by publication was given at least twice, the first publication being on August 21, 2010 a date which is not more than 30 nor less than 10 days prior to the Hearing, and the second publication being-on August 28, 2010 both in the C/7/cago Sun-Times or the Ch/cago Tribune, being newspapers of general circulation within the taxing districts having property in the Area; and
Whereas, Notice of the Hearing was given by mail to taxpayers by depositing such notice in the United States mail by certified mail addressed to the persons in whose names the general taxes for the last preceding year were paid on each lot, block, tract or parcel of land lying within the Area, on August 31,2010 being a date not less than 10 days prior to the date set for the Hearing; and where taxes for the last preceding year were not paid, notice was also mailed to the persons last listed on the tax rolls as the owners of such property within the preceding three years; and
Whereas, Notice of the Hearing was given by mail to the lllinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs ("DCCA") and members of the Board (including notice of the convening ofthe Board), by depositing such notice in the United States mail by certified mail addressed to DCCA and all Board members, on July 16, 2010 being a date not less than 45 days prior to the date set for the Hearing; and
Whereas, Notice of the Hearing and copies of the Report and Plan were sent by mail to taxing districts having taxable property in the Area, by depositing such notice and documents in the United States mail by certified mail addressed to all taxing districts having taxable property within the Area, on July 16,2010 being a date not less than 45 days prior to the date set for the Hearing; and
Whereas, The Hearing was held on September 14,2010 at 1:00 P.M. at City Hall, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Floor, 121 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, lllinois, as the official public hearing, and testimony was heard from all interested persons or representatives of any affected taxing district present at the Hearing and wishing to testify, concerning the Commission's recommendation to City Council regarding approval of the Plan, designation ofthe Area as a Redevelopment Project Area and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area; and
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Whereas, The Board meeting was convened on August 6,2010 at 10:00 A.M. (being a date at least 14 days but not more than 28 days after the date of the mailing of the notice to the taxing districts on July 16,2010 in Room 1003A, City Hall, 121 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois, to review the matters properly coming before the Board to allow it to provide its advisory recommendation regarding the approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area, adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area and other matters, if any, properly before it, all in accordance with Section $5 / 11-74.4-5(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Act; and
Whereas, The Commission has reviewed the Report and Plan, considered testimony from the Hearing, if any, the recommendation of the Board, if any, and such other matters or studies as the Commission deemed necessary or appropriate in making the findings set forth herein and formulating its decision whether to recommend to City Council approval of the Plan, designation of the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area; now, therefore,
Be It Resolved by the Community Development Commission of the City of Chicago:
Section 1. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
Section 2. The Commission $\quad$ hereby makes the following findings pursuant to Section $5 / 11-74.4-3(\mathrm{n})$ of the Act or such other section as is referenced herein:
a. The Area on the whole has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be expected to be developed without the adoption of the Plan;
b. The Plan:
(i) conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the City as a whole; or
(ii) the Plan either (A) conforms to the strategic economic development or redevelopment plan issued by the Chicago Plan Commission or (B) includes land uses that have been approved by the Chicago Plan Commission;
c. The Plan meets all of the requirements of a redevelopment plan as defined in the Act and, as set forth in the Plan, the estimated date of completion of the projects described therein and retirement of all obligations issued
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to finance redevelopment project costs is not later than December 31 of the year in which the payment to the municipal treasurer as provided in subsection (b) of Section $5 / 11-74.4-8$ of the Act is to be made with respect to ad valorem taxes levied in the twenty-third calendar year following the year of the adoption of the ordinance approving the designation of the Area as a redevelopment project area and, as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-7 of the Act, no such obligation shall have a maturity date greater than 20 years;
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d. To the extent required by Section 5/11-74.4-3(n) (6) ofthe Act, the Plan incorporates the housing impact study, if such study is required by Section 5/11-74.4-3(n)(5) of the Act;
e. The Plan will not result in displacement of residents from inhabited units.
f. The Area includes only those contiguous parcels of real property and improvements thereon that are to be substantially benefited by proposed Plan improvements, as required pursuant to Section $5 / 11-74.4-4$ (a) of the Act;
g . As required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(p) of the Act:
(i) the Area is not less, in the aggregate, than one and one-half acres in size; and
(ii) conditions exist in the Area that cause the Area to qualify for designation as a redevelopment project area and a blighted area as defined in the Act;
h. If the Area is qualified as a "blighted area", whether improved or vacant, each of the factors necessary to qualify the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area on that basis is (i) present, with that presence documented to a meaningful extent so that it may be reasonably found that the factor is clearly present within the intent of the Act and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the improved part or vacant part as applicable, of the Area as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(a) of the Act;
i. If the Area is qualified as a "conservation area" the combination of the factors necessary to qualify the Area as a redevelopment project area on that basis is detrimental to the public health, safety, morals or welfare, and the Area may become a blighted area; [and]
Section 3. The Commission recommends that the City Council approve the Plan pursuant to Section $5 / 11-74.4-4$ of the Act.
Section 4. The Commission recommends that the City Council designate the Area as a Redevelopment Project Area pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4 of the Act.
Section 5. The Commission recommends that the City Council adopt Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Area.
Section 6 . If any provision of this resolution shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this resolution.
Section 7. All resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this resolution are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.
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Section 8 . This resolution shall be effective as of the date of its adoption.
Section 9. A certified copy of this resolution shall be transmitted to the City Council.
Adopted: September $14^{\text {th }}, 2010$.
[(Sub)Exhibit " $A$ " referred to in this Resolution 10-CDC-52 constitutes Exhibit " $D$ " to ordinance and printed on page 107434 of this Journal.]
Exhibit "C". (To Ordinance)
West Woodlawn Tax Increment Financing - Legal Description.
All that part ofthe southwest quarter of Section 14, the southeast quarter of Section 15, the southwest quarter of Section 15, the northeast quarter of Section 22, the northwest quarter of Section 22, the southeast quarter of Section 22, the southwest quarter of Section 22 and the southwest quarter of Section 23, all in Township 38 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:
beginning at the intersection of the north line of East 60 ,h Street, 66 feet wide, and the west line of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, 66 feet wide, said intersection being in the southwest quarter of Section 15, Township 38 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian; thence east along said north line of East $60^{\text {th }}$ Street to the northerly extension of the east line of South Drexel Avenue; thence south along the northerly extension of said east line of South Drexel Avenue and along said east line to the north line of East $62^{\text {nd }}$ Street; thence west along said north line of East $62^{\text {nd }}$ Street to the west line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along said west line of South Cottage Grove Avenue to the south line of the 16 foot alley, vacated by ordinance passed September 11, 1968 and recorded October 28, 1968 as Document 20658363, lying south of and adjoining Lot 18 in Block 2 of the resubdivision ofthe Washington Park Club Addition to Chicago, being a subdivision of the south half of the southeast quarter of said Section 15 ; thence west along the south line of said vacated 16 foot alley to the west line of said Lot 18 extended south; thence north along said southerly extension of the west line of Lot 18 to the easterly extension ofthe north line of the 16 foot public alley lying south of and adjoining Lot 25 in Block 2 of the resubdivision of the Washington Park Club Addition to Chicago aforesaid; thence west
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along the easterly extension of the north line of the said 16 foot public alley, along said north line and along the westerly extension thereof
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to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of South Evans Avenue to the westerly extension of the north line of Lot 8 in Block 1 of Loring and Gibbs Subdivision of part of the northeast quarter of Section 22 aforesaid; thence east along said westerly extension of the north line of Lot 8 and along said north line to the west line ofthe 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of the 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue to the westerly extension of the south line of Lot 14 in Block 1 in A.J. Hawhe's South Park Subdivision of the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter and the north three-quarters of the east half of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of said Section 22; thence east along said westerly extension of the south line of Lot 14, along said south line and along the easterly extension thereof, to the east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along'said east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue to the easterly extension of the south line of East $68^{\text {h }}$ Street; thence west along said easterly extension of the south line of East $68^{\text {h }}$ Street and along said south line to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Evans Avenue to the south line of East $67^{\text {th }}$ Street; thence west along said south line of East $67^{\text {hh }}$ Street to the east line of South Champlain Avenue; thence south along said east line of South Champlain Avenue to the south line of East 68* Street; thence west along said south line of East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street to the east line of South St. Lawrence Avenue; thence south along said east line of South St. Lawrence Avenue to the north line of East $69, \mathrm{~h}$ Street; thence southwesterly to the intersection of .the west line of South St. Lawrence Avenue and the southwesterly line of South South Chicago Avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line of South South Chicago Avenue to the intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the south line of East Marquette Road; thence west along said south line of East Marquette Road to a line perpendicular to the north line of East Marquette Road at a point 75.46 feet east ofthe west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive as measured along said north line of East Marquette Road; thence north along said perpendicular line to the north line of East Marquette Road, being also the south line of Block 4 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision of the east half ofthe northwest quarter of said Section 22 (except part occupied by railroad); thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge pursuant to ordinance passed by City Council June 16, 1955; a distance of 464.82 feet as measured along the arc of a curve concave to the southwest and having a radius of $1,597.28$ feet and whose chord has an angle of 63 degrees, 16 minutes, 30 seconds to the right with the westerly extension of the south'line of said Block 4; thence continuing northwesterly along said southwesterly line, being a straight line having an angle of 09 degrees, 19 minutes, 35 seconds to the left with the extension of the aforesaid chord to a line 140 feet south of and parallel with the north'line of said Block 4; thence east along the south line of the north 140 feet of Block 4 to the intersection with a line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad; thence northwesterly along said line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad to the point of intersection with the centerline of South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said centerline of South Calumet Avenue to the westerly extension ofthe south line of Block 3 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision of the east
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half of the northwest quarter of said Section 22; thence east along said westerly extension of the south line of Block 3 and along the south line thereof, to the intersection with the northeasterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge to the intersection with the west line of South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Calumet Avenue to the north line of East $63{ }^{\text {d }}$ Street; thence east along said north line to its intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive (50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15) to the south line of Lot 9 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision of the east half of the southwest quarter of said Section 15; thence east along said south line of Lot 9 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of Lot 1 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision aforesaid; thence west along said north line of Lot 1 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East 61 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Street; thence east along said north line of
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East $61^{\text {st }}$ Street to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East $60^{1 \mathrm{~h}}$ Street and.the point of beginning.
Original legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated December 28, 2009, was prepared by Nakawatase Wyns \& Associates, Inc., James E. Rores, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2394, license expires November 30, 2010.
This amended legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated June 29, 2010, was amended by Spaceco, Inc., C. Brian Lounsbury, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2831, license expires November 30, 2010. Exhibit "D". (To Ordinance)
Sfreer Location Of The Expanded Area.
The Expanded Area is generally bounded by East $60^{m}$ Street on the north, South Cottage Grove Avenue on the east, East $68{ }^{\text {lh }}$ Street and South South Chicago Avenue on the south, and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and South Calumet Avenue on the west.
11/17/2010
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Exhibit "£". (To Ordinance)
Amended Map 2 - TIF Boundary.
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DESIGNATION OF EXPANDED WEST WOODLAWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA AS TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT.
[02010-6165]
The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:
CHICAGO, November 17, 2010.
To me President and Members of the City Council:
Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance designating the expanded West
Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area a redevelopment project area, having had the same under
advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance
transmitted herewith.
This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.
Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE,
Chairman.
On motion of Alderman Burke, the said proposed ordinance transmitted with the foregoing committee report was Passed by yeas and nays as follows:
Yeas - Aldermen Moreno, Fioretti, Dowell, Preckwinkle, Hairston, Lyle, Jackson, Harris, Beale, Pope, Balcer, Cardenas, Olivo, Burke, Foulkes, Thompson, Thomas, Lane, Rugai, Cochran, Brookins, Munoz, Zaiewski, Dixon, Solis, Maldonado, Burnett, E. Smith, Graham, Reboyras, Suarez, Waguespack, Mell, Austin, Colon, Rice, Mitts, Allen, Laurino, O'Connor, Doherty, Reilly, Daley, Tunney, Levar, Shiller, Schulter, M. Smith, Moore, Stone - 50.
Nays - None.
Alderman Pope moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost. The following is said ordinance as passed:
WHEREAS, The City of Chicago (the "City") by an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City (the "City Council") on May 12, 2010, approved a redevelopment plan and project (the "Original Plan") for a portion of the City known as the West Woodlawn Redevelopment
11/17/2010
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Project Area (the "Original Redevelopment Project Area") for the purpose of implementing tax increment allocation
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financing ("Tax Increment Allocation Financing") pursuant to the Illinois.Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1, et seq., as amended (the "Act"); and
WHEREAS, The City Council adopted an ordinance on May 12, 2010 designating the Original Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act; and
WHEREAS, The City Council adopted an ordinance on May 12, 2010 adopting Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Original Redevelopment Project Area pursuant to the Act; and
WHEREAS, It is desirable and in the best interests of the citizens of the City for the City to encourage development of areas located adjacent to the Original Redevelopment Project Area by adding a certain additional parcel (the "Added Area") to the Original Redevelopment Project Area and designating such expanded project area as a redevelopment project area under the Act to be known as the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area (the "Expanded Area"); and WHEREAS, The City desires further to supplement and amend the Original Plan for the Ohginal Redevelopment Project Area to provide for the redevelopment of the Expanded Area; and
WHEREAS, The City has caused to be prepared an eligibility study entitled "West Woodlawn Eligibility Study, Redevelopment Plan and Project Amendment Number 1" and dated July 1, 2010 (the "Eligibility Study"), of the Added Area, which Eligibility Study confirms the existence within the Added Area of various blighting factors as set forth in the Act and supports a finding of eligibility of the Added Area for designation as a blighted area under the Act; and WHEREAS, The Original Plan, together with the Eligibility Study (collectively, the "Amended Plan"), provides for the Expanded Area; and
WHEREAS, A copy of the Amended Plan is attached hereto as Exhibit A; and
WHEREAS; It is desirable and in the best interest of the citizens of the City for the City to implement Tax Increment Allocation Financing pursuant to the Act for the Expanded Area described in Section 2 of this ordinance, to be redeveloped pursuant to the Amended Plan; and
WHEREAS, The Community Development Commission (the "Commission") ofthe City has heretofore been appointed by the Mayor of the City with the approval of its City Council (collectively, the City Council and the Mayor are referred to herein as the "Corporate Authorities") (as codified in Section 2-124 of the City's Municipal Code) pursuant to Section 5/11 -74.4-4(k) of the Act; and
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WHEREAS, The Commission is empowered by the Corporate Authorities to exercise certain powers enumerated in Section 5/11-74.4-4(k) of the Act, including the holding of certain public hearings required by the Act; and WHEREAS, By authority of the Corporate Authorities in accordance with Section 5/11-74.4-4.2 of the Act and pursuant to Section $5 / 11-74.4-5(a)$ of the Act, the City's Department of Community Development established an interested parties registry and, on December 14, 2009, published in the Cn/cago Sun-Times or Chicago Tribune a notice that interested persons may register in order to receive information on the proposed designation of the Expanded Area or the approval of the Amended Plan; and
WHEREAS, Pursuant to Sections $5 / 11-74.4-4$ and $5 / 11-74.4-5$ of the Act, the Commission, by authority of the Corporate Authorities, called a public hearing (the "Hearing") on September 14,2010, concerning approval of the Amended Plan, designation of the Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Expanded Area pursuant to the Act; and
WHEREAS, The Amended Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study) was made available for public inspection and review pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act since July 2, 2010, being a date not less than 10 days before the meeting of the Commission at which the Commission adopted Resolution 10-CDC-46 on July 13, 2010, accepting the Amended Plan for review and fixing the time and place for a public hearing ("Hearing"), at the offices of the City Clerk and the City's Department of Community Development; and
WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-5(a) of the Act, notice of the availability of the Amended Plan (including the related eligibility report attached thereto as an exhibit and, if applicable, the feasibility study and the housing impact study) was sent by mail on July 30, 2010, which is within a reasonable time after the adoption by the Commission of Resolution 10-CDC-46 to: (a) all residential addresses that, after a good faith effort, were determined to be (i) located within the Expanded Area and (ii) located within 750 feet of the boundaries ofthe Expanded Area (or, if applicable, were determined to be the 750 residential addresses that were closest to the boundaries of the Expanded Area); and (b) organizations and residents that were registered interested parties for such Expanded Area; and
WHEREAS, A meeting of the joint review board established pursuant to Section 5/11-7 4.4-5(b) of the Act (the "Board") was convened upon the provision of due notice on August 6,2010 at 10:00 A.M., to review the matters properly coming before the Board and to allow it to provide its advisory recommendation regarding the approval of the Amended Plan, designation of the Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Expanded Area, and other matters, if any, properly before it; and WHEREAS, Pursuant to Sections 5/11-74.4-4 and 5/11-74.4-5 ofthe Act, the Commission held the Hearing concerning approval of the Amended Plan, designation of the Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act and adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing within the Expanded Area pursuant to the Act on September 14,
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WHEREAS, The Commission has forwarded to the City Council a copy of its Resolution 10-CDC-52, recommending to the City Council designation ofthe Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act, among other things; and
WHEREAS, The City Council has heretofore approved the Amended Plan, which was identified in An Ordinance Of The City Of Chicago, Illinois Approving Amendment Number 1 To The Redevelopment Plan For The West Woodlawn
Redevelopment Project Area; now, therefore,
Be It Orda/ned by the City Council ofthe City of Chicago:
SECTION 1. Recitals. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
SECTION 2. The Expanded Area. The Expanded Area is legally described in Exhibit A • attached hereto and incorporated herein. The street location (as near as practicable) for the Expanded Area is described in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein. The map of the Expanded Area is depicted on Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated herein. SECTION 3. Findings. The Corporate Authorities hereby make the following findings:
a. The Expanded Area includes only those contiguous parcels of real property and improvements thereon that are to be substantially benefitted by the proposed Amended Plan improvements, as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4(a) of the Act;
b. As required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(p) of the Act:
(i) The Expanded Area is not less, in the aggregate, than one and one-half acres in size; and
(ii) Conditions exist in the Expanded Area that cause the Expanded Area to qualify for designation as a redevelopment project area and a conservation area as defined in the Act;
c. If the Expanded Area is qualified as a "blighted area", whether improved or vacant, each of the factors necessary to qualify the Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area on that basis is (i) clearly present within the intent ofthe Act and with that presence documented to a meaningful extent, and (ii) reasonably distributed throughout the improved part or vacant part, as applicable, of the Expanded Area as required pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-3(a) of the Act. d. If the Expanded Area is qualified as a "conservation area", the combination of the factors necessary to qualify the Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area on that basis is detrimental to the public health, safety, morals or welfare, and the Expanded Area may become a blighted area.
SECTION 4. Expanded Area Designated. The Expanded Area is hereby designated as a redevelopment project area pursuant to Section 5/11-74.4-4 of the Act.
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SECTION 5. Invalidity Of Any Section. If any provision of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this ordinance.
SECTION 6. Superseder. All ordinances, resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.
SECTION 7. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage.
[Exhibit "C" referred to in this ordinance printed on page 107443 of this Journal.]
Exhibits "A" and "B" referred to in this ordinance read as follows:
Exhibit "A".
West Woqd/awn Tax Increment Financing - Legal Description.
All that part ofthe southwest quarter of Section 14, the southeast quarter of Section 15, the southwest quarter of Section 15, the northeast quarter of Section 22, the northwest quarter of Section 22, the southeast quarter of Section 22, the southwest quarter of Section 22 and the southwest quarter of Section 23, all in Township 38 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:
beginning at the intersection of the north line of East $60^{\text {th }}$ Street, 66 feet wide, and the west line of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, 66 feet wide, said intersection being in the southwest quarter of Section 15, Township 38 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Mehdian; thence east along said north line of East $60^{\text {lh }}$ Street to the northerly extension of the east line of South Drexel Avenue; thence south along the northerly extension of said east line of South Drexel Avenue and along said east line to the north line of East $62^{\text {nd }}$ Street; thence west along said north line of East $62^{\text {nd }}$ Street to the west line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along said west line of South Cottage Grove Avenue to the south line ofthe 16 foot alley, vacated by ordinance passed September 11, 1968 and recorded October 28, 1968 as Document 20658363, lying south of and adjoining Lot 18 in Block 2 of the resubdivision of the Washington. Park Club Addition to Chicago, being a subdivision of the south half of the southeast quarter of said Section 15; thence west along the south line of said vacated 16 foot alley to the west line of said Lot 18 extended south; thence north along said southerly
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extension of the west line of Lot 18 to the easterly extension of the north line ofthe 16 foot public alley lying south of and adjoining Lot 25 in Block 2 of the resubdivision of the Washington Park Club Addition
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to Chicago aforesaid; thence west along the easterly extension of the north line of the said 16 foot public alley, along said north line and along the westerly extension thereof to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of South Evans Avenue to westerly extension pf the north line of Lot 8 in Block 1 of Loring and Gibbs Subdivision of part of the northeast quarter of Section 22 aforesaid; thence east along said westerly extension ofthe north line of Lot 8 and along said north line to the west line of the 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of the 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue to the westerly extension ofthe south line of Lot 14 in Block 1 in A. J. Hawhe's South Park Subdivision of the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter and the north three-quarters of the east half of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of said Section 22 ; thence east along said westerly extension ofthe south line of Lot 14 , along said south line and along the easterly extension thereof, to the east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along said east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue to the easterly extension of the south line of East $68^{\text {lh }}$ Street; thence west along said easterly extension of the south line of East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street and along said south line to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Evans Avenue to the south line of East $67^{\text {lh }}$ Street; thence west along said south line of East $67^{\text {th }}$ Street to the east line of South Champlain Avenue; thence south along said east line of South Champlain Avenue to the south line of East $68{ }^{\text {hh }}$ Street; thence west along said south line of East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street to the east line of South St. Lawrence Avenue; thence south along said east line of South St. Lawrence Avenue to the north line of East $69^{\text {th }}$ Street; thence southwesterly to the intersection of the west line of South St. Lawrence Avenue and the southwesterly line of South Chicago Avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line of South Chicago Avenue to the intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the south line of East Marquette Road; thence west along said south line of East Marquette Road to a line perpendicular to the north line of East Marquette Road at a point 75.46 feet east of the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive as measured along said north line of East Marquette Road; thence north along said perpendicular line to the north line of East Marquette Road, being also the south line of Block4 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision of the east half ofthe northwest quarter of said Section 22 (except part occupied by railroad); thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge pursuant to ordinance passed by City Council June 16, 1955, a distance of 464.82 feet as measured along the arc of a curve concave to the southwest and having a radius of $1,597.28$ feet>and whose chord has an angle of 63 degrees, 16 minutes, 30 seconds to the right with the westerly extension of the south line of said Block 4; thence continuing northwesterly along said southwesterly line, being a straight line having an angle of 09 degrees, 19 minutes, 35 seconds to the left with the extension of the aforesaid chord to a line 140 feet south of and parallel with the north line of said Block 4; thence east along the;south line of the north 140 feet of Block 4 to the intersection with a line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of .the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad; thence northwesterly along said line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad to the point of intersection with the centerline of
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South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said centerline of South Calumet Avenue to the westerly extension ofthe south line of Block 3 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision ofthe east half ofthe northwest quarter of said Section 22; thence east along said westerly extension of the south line of Block 3 and along the south line thereof to the intersection with the northeasterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge; thence northwesterly along said northeasterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge to the intersection with the west line of South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Calumet Avenue to the north line of East 63 ${ }^{\text {ld }}$ Street; thence east along said north line to its intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15) to the south line of Lot 9 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision of the east half of the southwest quarter of said Section 15; thence east along said south line of Lot 9 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of Lot 1 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision aforesaid; thence west along said north line of Lot 1 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarterand
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southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East $61^{\text {s1 }}$ Street; thence east along said north line of East $61^{\text {sl }}$ Street to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East $60^{\text {th }}$ Street and the point of beginning.
Original legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated December 28, 2009, was prepared by: Nakawatase Wyns \& Associates, Inc., James E. Rores, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2394, license expires November 30, 2010.
This amended legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated June 29, 2010, was amended by: Spaceco, Inc., C. Brian Lounsbury, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2831, license expires November 30, 2010.

Exhibit " $B$ ". Street Location Of The Expanded Area.
The Expanded Area is generally bounded by East 60,h Street on the north, South Cottage Grove Avenue on the east, East 68 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ Street and South South Chicago Avenue on the south, and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and South Calumet Avenue on the west.
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Exhibit "C". Map Of The Expanded Area.
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ADOPTION OF TAX INCREMENT FINANCING FOR EXPANDED WEST WOODLAWN REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA.
[02010-6176]
The Committee on Finance submitted the following report:
CHICAGO, November 17, 2010.
To fne President and Members of the City Council:
Your Committee on Finance, having had under consideration an ordinance adopting tax increment financing for the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area, having had the same under advisement, begs leave to report and recommend that Your Honorable Body Pass the proposed ordinance transmitted herewith.
This recommendation was concurred in by a viva voce vote of the members of the Committee.
Respectfully submitted,
(Signed) EDWARD M. BURKE,
Chairman.
On motion of Alderman Burke, the said proposed ordinance transmitted with the foregoing committee report was Passed by yeas and nays as follows:
Yeas - Aldermen Moreno, Fioretti, Dowell, Preckwinkle, Hairston, Lyle, Jackson, Harris, Beale, Pope, Balcer, Cardenas, Olivo, Burke, Foulkes, Thompson, Thomas, Lane, Rugai, Cochran, Brookins, Mufioz, Zaiewski, Dixon, Solis, Maldonado, Burnett, E. Smith, Graham, Reboyras, Suarez, Waguespack, Mell, Austin, Colon, Rice, Mitts, Allen, Laurino, O'Connor, Doherty, Reilly, Daley, Tunney, Levar, Shiller, Schulter, M. Smith, Moore, Stone -- 50.
Nays - None.
Alderman Pope moved to reconsider the foregoing vote. The motion was lost. The following is said ordinance as passed:
WHEREAS, The City of Chicago (the "City"), by an ordinance adopted by the City Council of the City (the "City Council") on May 12, 2010, approved a redevelopment plan and project (the "Original Plan") for a portion of the City known as the Redevelopment Project Area (the
11/17/2010
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"Original Redevelopment Project Area") for the purpose of implementing tax increment allocation financing ("Tax
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Increment Allocation Financing") pursuant to the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.41, et seq., as amended (the "Act"); and
WHEREAS, The City Council adopted an ordinance on May 12, 2010 designating the Original Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area pursuant to the Act; and
WHEREAS, The City Council adopted an ordinance on May 12, 2010 adopting Tax-. Increment Allocation Financing for the Ohginal Redevelopment Project Area pursuant to the Act; and
WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Designation Ordinance (defined below), the City, to encourage redevelopment of areas located adjacent to the Ohginal Redevelopment Project Area, has expanded the boundaries ofthe Original Redevelopment Project Area and designated such additional project area (the "Added Area") as a redevelopment project area under the Act (together with the Ohginal Redevelopment Project Area, the "Expanded Area"); and WHEREAS, The Expanded Area is to be known as the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area; WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Plan Ordinance (defined below), the City has supplemented and amended the redevelopment plan for the Ohginal Redevelopment Project Area to provide for the redevelopment of the Expanded Area (the "Amended Plan"); and
WHEREAS, It is desirable and in the best interests of the citizens ofthe City for the City to implement Tax Increment Allocation Financing pursuant to the Act for the Expanded Area described in Section 2 of this ordinance, to be redeveloped pursuant to the Amended Plan; and
WHEREAS, The Community Development Commission of the City has forwarded to the City Council a copy of its Resolution 10-CDC-52, recommending to the City Council the adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing for the Expanded Area, among other things; and
WHEREAS, As required by the Act, the City has heretofore approved the Amended Plan, which was identified in An Ordinance Of The City Of Chicago, Illinois Approving Amendment Number 1 To The Redevelopment Plan For The West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area (the "Plan Ordinance") and has heretofore designated the Expanded Area as a redevelopment project area by passage of An Ordinance Of The City Of Chicago, Illinois' Designating The Expanded West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area A Redevelopment Project Area Pursuant To The Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (the "Designation Ordinance") and has otherwise complied with all other conditions precedent required by the Act; now, therefore,
)
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Be It Orda/ned by the City Council of- the City of C/i/cago:
SECTION 1. Recitals. The above recitals are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
SECTION 2. Tax Increment Allocation Financing Adopted. Tax Increment Allocation Financing is hereby adopted pursuant to Section $-5 / 11-74.4-8$ of the Act to finance redevelopment project costs as defined in the Act and as set forth in the Amended Plan within the Expanded Area legally described in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein. The street location (as near as practicable) for the Expanded Area is described in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein. The map of the Expanded Area is depicted in Exhibit C attached hereto and incorporated herein.
SECTION 3. Allocation Of Ad Valorem Taxes. Pursuant to the Act, the ad valorem taxes, if any, arising from the levies upon taxable real property in the Expanded Area by taxing districts and tax rates determined in the manner provided in Section 5/11-74.4-9(c) of the Act each year after the effective date of this ordinance until redevelopment project costs and all municipal obligations financing redevelopment project costs incurred undertheAct have been paid, shall be divided as follows:
a. That portion of taxes levied upon each taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property which is attributable to the lower of the current equalized assessed value or the initial equalized assessed value of each such taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property in the Area shall be allocated to, and when collected, shall be paid by the county collector to the respective affected taxing districts in the manner required by law in the absence of the adoption of Tax Increment Allocation Financing; and
b. That portion, if any, of such taxes which is attributable to the increase in the current equalized assessed valuation of each taxable lot, block, tract or parcel of real property in the Area over and above the initial equalized assessed value of each property in the Area shall be allocated to, and when collected, shall be paid to the City treasurer who shall deposit said taxes into that special fund designated the "West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area Special Tax Allocation Fund" of the City for the purpose of paying redevelopment project costs and obligations incurred in the payment thereof.
SECTION 4. Invalidity Of Any Section. If any provision of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or

File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1
unenforceable for any reason, the invalidity or unenforceability of such provision shall not affect any of the remaining provisions of this ordinance.
SECTION 5. Superseder. All ordinances, resolutions, motions or orders in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.
SECTION 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage. 11/17/2010
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[Exhibit "C" referred to in this ordinance printed on page 107450 of this Journal.]
Exhibits "A" and "B" referred to in this ordinance read as follows:
Exhibit "A". (To Ordinance)
Wesf Wood/awn TIF Legal Description.
All that part of the southwest quarter of Section 14, the.southeast quarter of Section 15, the southwest quarter of Section 15, the northeast quarter of Section 22, the northwest quarter of Section 22 , the southeast quarter of Section 22, the southwest quarter of Section 22 and the southwest quarter of Section 23 all in Township 38 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian described as follows:

- beginning at the intersection of the north line of East $60^{\text {lh }}$ Street, 66 feet wide, and the west line of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.'Drive, 66 feet wide, said intersection being in the southwest quarter of Section 15, Township 38 North, Range 14 East of the Third Principal Meridian; thence east along said north line of East 60,h Street to the northerly extension of the east line of South Drexel Avenue; thence south along the northerly extension of said east line of South Drexel Avenue and along said east line to north line of East $62{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street; thence west along said north line of East $62^{\text {nd }}$ Street to the west line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along said west line of South Cottage Grove Avenue to the south line of the 16 foot alley, vacated by ordinance passed September 11, 1968 and recorded October 28, 1968 as Document 20658363, lying south of and adjoining Lot 18 in Block 2 ofthe resubdivision ofthe Washington Park Club Addition to Chicago, being a subdivision ofthe south half of the southeast quarter of said Section 15; thence west along the south line of said vacated 16 foot alley to the west line of said Lot 18 extended south; thence north along said southerly extension of the west line of Lot 18 to the easterly extension of the north line of the 16 foot public alley lying south of and adjoining Lot 25 in Block 2 of the resubdivision of the Washington Park Club Addition to Chicago aforesaid; thence west along the easterly extension of the north line of the said 16 foot public alley, along said north line and along the westerly extension thereof to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of South Evans Avenue to the westeriy extension of the north line of Lot 8 in Block 1 of Loring and Gibbs Subdivision of part of the northeast quarter of Section 22 aforesaid; thence east along 107448
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said westerly extension of the north line of Lot 8 and along said north line to the west line of the 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue; thence south along said west line of the 16 foot public alley lying east of said South Evans Avenue to the westerly extension of the south line of Lot 14 in Block 1 in A.J. Hawhe's South Park Subdivision of the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter and the north three-quarters of the east half of the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of said Section 22; thence east along said westerly extension of the south line of Lot 14, along said south line and along the easterly extension thereof to the east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue; thence south along said east line of South Cottage Grove Avenue to the easterly extension of the south line of East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street; thence west along said easterly extension of the south line of East $68, \mathrm{~h}$ Street and along said south line to the west line of South Evans Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Evans Avenue to the south line of East $677^{\text {lh }}$ Street; thence west along said south line of East $67^{\text {hh }}$ Street to the east line of South Champlain Avenue; thence south along said east line of South Champlain Avenue to the south line of East 68,h Street; thence west along said south line of East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street to the east line of South St. Lawrence Avenue; thence south along said east line of South St. Lawrence Avenue to the north line of East 69, h Street; thence southwesterly to the intersection of the west line of South St. Lawrence Avenue and the southwesterly line of South South Chicago Avenue; thence northwesterly along said southwesterly line of South South Chicago Avenue to the intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the south line of East Marquette Road; thence west along said south line of East Marquette Road to a line perpendicular to the north line of East Marquette Road at a point 75.46 feet east of the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive as measured along said north line of East Marquette Road; thence north along said perpendicular line to the north line of East Marquette

File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1
Road, being also the south line of Block 4 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision of the east half ofthe northwest quarter of said Section 22 (except part occupied by railroad); thence northwesterly along the southwesterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge pursuant to ordinance passed by City Council June 16, 1955, a distance of 464.82 feet as measured along the arc of a curve concave to the southwest and having a radius of $1,597.28$ feet and whose chord has an angle of 63 degrees, 16 minutes, 30 seconds to the right with the westerly extension of the south line of said Block 4; thence continuing northwesterly along said southwesterly line, being a straight line having an angle of 09 degrees, 19 minutes, 35 seconds to the left with the extension of the aforesaid chord to a line 140 feet south of and parallel with the north line of said Block 4; thence east along the south line of the north 140 feet of Block 4 to the intersection with a line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad; thence northwesterly along said line 350 feet northeasterly from and parallel with the northeasterly right-of-way of the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern Railroad to the point of intersection with the centerline of South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said centerline of South Calumet Avenue to the westerly extension of the south line of Block 3 in L.C.P. Freer's Subdivision of the east
11/17/2010
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half of the northwest quarter of said Section 22; thence east along said westeriy extension of the south line of Block 3 and along the south line thereof to the intersection with the northeasterly line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge; thence northwesterly along said northeasteriy line of property acquired by deeds and easements for the Calumet Skyway Toll Bridge to the intersection with the west line of South Calumet Avenue; thence north along said west line of South Calumet Avenue to the north line of East 63 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ Street; thence east along said north line to its intersection with the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive; thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15) to the south line of Lot 9 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision of the east half of the southwest quarter of said Section 15; thence east along said south line of Lot 9 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive (33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of Lot 1 in the subdivision of Lots 6 and 12 in Wilson, Heald and Stebbin's Subdivision aforesaid; thence west along said north line of Lot 1 to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive ( 50 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East $61^{\text {st }}$ Street; thence east along said north line of East 61 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Street to the west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive (33 feet west of the line between the southwest quarter and southeast quarter of said Section 15); thence north along said west line of South Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive to the north line of East $60^{\text {th }}$ Street and the point of beginning.
Original legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated December 28, 2009, was prepared by Nakawatase Wyns \& Associates, Inc., James E. Rores, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2394, license expires November 30, 2010. This amended legal description for West Woodlawn TIF, dated June 29; 2010, was amended by Spaceco, Inc., C. Brian Lounsbury, Illinois Professional Land Surveyor Number 2831, license expires November 30, 2010.
Exhibit "B". Street Location Of The Expanded Area.
The Expanded Area is generally bounded by East $60^{\text {th }}$ Street on the north, South Cottage Grove Avenue on the east, East $68^{\text {th }}$ Street and South Chicago Avenue on the south, and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive and South Calumet Avenue on the west.
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Exhibit "C". Map Of The Expanded Area.
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## CERTI

TO:
Judy Baar Topinka
Comptroller of the State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government
Dolores Javier, Treasurer
City Colleges of Chicago
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Herman Brewer Director
Cook County Bureau of Planning \& Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602
ICATION
Jean-Claude Brizard Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603
Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429
Chicago, Illinois 60611
Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District
155th \& Dixie Highway P.O.Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426
Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602
Michael P. Kelly, Interim General Superintendent \& CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611
I, Rahm Emanuel, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seg,, (the "Act") with regard to the West Woodlawn Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:
Attachment B

1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2010, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion ofthe Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2011.

## Rahm Emanuel, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

## Department of Law

t on oaii CITY OF CHICAGO June 30, 2011

## Attachment C

Judy Baar Topinka
Comptroller ofthe State of Illinois
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Attention: June Canello, Director of Local
Government
Dolores Javier, Treasurer
City Colleges of Chicago
226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Jean-Claude Brizard Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603
Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429
Chicago, Illinois 60611
Herman Brewer Director
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Cook County Bureau of Planning \& Dev. 69 West Washington Street, Suite 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602
Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement District
155th \& Dixie Highway P.O. Box 1030 Harvey, Illinois 60426
Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602
Michael P. Kelly, Interim General Superintendent \& CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611
Re: West Woodlawn
Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")
Dear Addressees:
I am the Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, in such capacity, I am the head of the City's Law Department. In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section ll-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seg.. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the infonnation required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area. 121 NORTH LASALLE STREET, ROOM 600, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60602

## Attachment C

## Opinion of Counsel for 2010 Annual Report Page 2

## June 30, 2011

Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City and familiar with the requirements of the Act, have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval ofthe redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area, and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Housing and Economic Development, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management (collectively, the "City Departments"), have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance ofthe Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act. In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section $11-74.4-5(\mathrm{~d})(9)$ of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.
Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.
This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

## Stephen R. Patton Corporation Counsel <br> ATTACHMENT C

SCHEDULE 1 (Exception Schedule)
(X) ( )

No Exceptions
Note the following Exceptions:
ATTACHMENTS D, E and F

## ATTACHMENT D

Activities Statement
Projects that were implemented during the preceding fiscal year, if any, are set forth below: None
Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the preceding fiscal year, if any, have been made pursuant to: (i) the Redevlopment Plan for the Project Area, and (ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth in Section 3 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

## ATTACHMENT E
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## Agreements

Agreements entered into concerning the disposition or redevelopment of property within the Project Area during the preceding fiscal year, if any, are attached hereto.
None
ATTACHMENT F
Additional Information
The amounts shown elsewhere in this report, including those shown In Section 3 herein, have been used to pay for project cost within the Project Area and for debt service (if applicable), all in furtherance of the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area.
FY 2010
TIF Name: West Woodlawn Redevlopment Proiect Area

## ATTACHMENT H

CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on February 5, 2010, at 10:11 a.m. City Hall, Room 1003, Conference Room, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Ms. Dorothy Carroll.
PRESENT:
MS. DOROTHY CARROLL, CHAIRPERSON
MS. GLORIA PERALTA
MS. SUSAN MAREK
MR. MULBE DILLARD
MR. RAY SANDERS
MS. MICHELLE MADISON
MR. PETER SKOSEY
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES Chicago, Illinois Carol Robertson
REPORTED BY: By:
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
2
1 MS. CARROLL: Welcome to the Joint Review
2 Board meeting. For the record, my name is Dorothy
3 Carroll and I'm the representative of the Chicago Park
4 District, which under Section 11-74.4-5, the Tax
5 Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, is one of the
6 statutorily designated members of the Joint Review
7 Board. Until election of a chairperson I will moderate
8 the Joint Review Board meeting.
9 For the record, there will be two
10 meetings of the Joint Review Board. The first meeting
11 is to review the proposed West Woodlawn Tax Increment
12 Financing District. The date of this meeting was
13 announced and set by the Community Development
14 Commission of the City of Chicago at it's meeting of
15 January 12th, 2010.
16 Notice of this meeting of the Joint
17 Review Board was also provided by certified mail to each
18 taxing district represented on the Board, which includes
19 the Chicago Board of Education, the Chicago Community
20 Colleges, District 508, the Chicago Park District, Cook
21 County, and the City of Chicago. Public notice of this
22 meeting was also posted as of Wednesday, February 3rd,
232010 in various locations throughout City Hall.
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
3
1 When a proposed redevelopment plan would
2 result in displacement of residents from ten or more
3 inhabited residential units, or would include 75 or more
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4 inhabited residential units, the TIF Act requires that
5 the public member of the Joint Review Board must reside
6 in that proposed redevelopment project area.
7 In addition, if a municipality's housing
8 impact study determines that the majority of residential
9 units in the proposed redevelopment project area are
10 occupied by very low, low or moderate income households,
11 as defined in Section 3 of the Illinois Affordable
12 Housing Act, the public member must be a person who
13 resides in very low, low or moderate income housing with
14 the proposed redevelopment project area.
15 With us today is Michele Madison. Are
16 you familiar with the boundaries of the proposed West
17 Woodlawn Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Area?
18 MS. MADISON: I am. I've been in that
19 building since 1950.
20 MS. CARROLL: Good. What is the address of
21 your primary residence?
22 MS. MADISON: 6628 South Rose Avenue, 60637.
23 MS. CARROLL: Thank you. Is the address
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 within the boundaries of the proposed West Woodlawn Tax
2 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area?
3 MS. MADISON: Yes.
4 MS. CARROLL: Have you provided
5 representatives of the City of Chicago's Department of
6 Community Development with accurate information
7 concerning your income and the income of any other
8 members of the household residing at such address?
9 MS. MADISON: Yes.
10 MS. CARROLL: Ms. Madison, are you willing to
11 serve as the public member for the Joint Review Board
12 for the proposed West Woodlawn Tax Increment Financing
13 Redevelopment Project Area?
14 MS. MADISON: I am.
15 MS. CARROLL: I will entertain a motion that
16 Michele Madison be selected as the public member. Is
17 there a motion?
18 MS. MAREK: So moved.
19 MS. CARROLL: Is there a second? 20 MR. DILLARD: Second.
21 MS. CARROLL: All in favor, please vote by
22 saying aye.
23 (Chorus of ayes.)
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1 MS. CARROLL: Let the record reflect that
2 Michele Madison was just selected as the public member
3 for the proposed West Woodlawn Tax Increment Financing

4 Redevelopment Project Area.
5 Our next order of business is to select a
6 chairperson for this Joint Review Board. Are there any
7 nominations?
8 MS. MAREK: I'll nominate Dorothy Carroll.
9 MS. CARROLL: Is there a second for the . 10 nomination?
11 MS. PERALTA: I'll second.
12 MS. CARROLL: Are there any other nominations?
13 Let the record reflect there were no other nominations.
14 All in favor of this nomination please vote by saying
15 aye.
16 (Chorus of ayes.)
17 MS. CARROLL: Let the record reflect that
18 Dorothy Carroll has been elected as Chairperson and will
19 now serve as the chairperson for the remainder of the
20 meeting.
21 Move to the next portion. As I mentioned
22 at this meeting, we'll be reviewing a plan for the
23 proposed tax increment financing district proposed by LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
e
1 the City of Chicago. The staff of the City's Department 2 of Community Development and Law and other departments 3 have reviewed this plan which was introduced to the City 4 Counsel's Development Commission January 12th, 2010.
5 We will listen to the presentation by the
6 consultant on the plan. Following the presentation we
7 can address any questions that the members might have
8 for the consultant or City staff. An amendment to the
9 TIF Act requires us to base our recommendation to
10 approve or disapprove the proposed West Woodlawn Tax
11 Increment Financing District on the basis of the area
12 and the plan satisfying the plan requirements, the
13 eligibility criteria defined in the TIF Act, and
14 objectives of the TIF Act.
15 If the Board approves the plan, the Board
16 will then issue an advisory, non binding recommendation
17 by a vote of the majority of those members present and
18 voting. Such recommendation shall be submitted to the
19 City within 30 days after the Board meeting. Failure to
20 submit such recommendation shall be deemed to constitute
21 approval by the Board.
22 If the Board disapproves the plan, the
23 Board must issue a written report describing why the
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 plan and area failed to meet one or more of the
2 objectives of the TIF Act and both the plan requirements
3 and the eligibility criteria of the TIF Act.
4 The City will then have 30 days to

5 resubmit a revised plan. The Board and the City must
6 also confer during this time to try to resolve issues
7 that led to the Board's disapproval. If such issues.
8 cannot be resolved, or if the revised plan is
9 disapproved, the City may proceed with the plan, but the
10 plan can be approved only with a three-fifths vote of
11 the City Council, excluding positions of members that
12 are vacant, and those members that are ineligible to
13 vote because of conflicts of interest.
14 And, at this time we will discuss West
15 Woodlawn and the consultant will be S.B. Friedman and
16 Company.
17 MS. WALTON: Good morning, my name is Jewell
18 Walton, I'm with S.B. Friedman and Company. We were
19 hired to look at the eligibility study and prepare a
20 redevelopment plan for the West Woodlawn Redevelopment
21 Project Area. The study area generally covers from 6 0th
22 Street to the north, to south Chicago Avenue, and 68th
23 Street from the south, King Drive and Calumet to the
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 west and Cottage Grove to the east. It contains 2,284
2 tax parcels on 64 blocks. That also includes 1,497
3 buildings and covers nearly 350 acres. The exact
4 acreage is about 342 .
5 While the boundary here does reflect
6 eligibility for the entire area, the name is for this
7 redevelopment project area was a redevelopment that was
8 happening here in the north corner of the City here,
9 Grove Park. The owners are looking to do a larger
10 redevelopment project of the 504 units that are there,
11 but also can, the boundary was make larger to help
12 facilitate redevelopment in other parts of the district
13 as well.
14 We did a field survey to determine
15 eligibility. We looked at all the buildings and did a
16 parcel by parcel eligibility study of the eligibility
17 here. We also obtained information from the City and
18 the county on the history of assessed values, and the
19 condition of underground water and sewer lines to
20 determine eligibility.
21 Based on this research, we determined
22 that the area qualified as a conservation area, meaning
23 that more than 50 percent of the buildings were age 35
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
9
1 years of age or older, and also four out of a possible
213 factors were present and widespread to a meaningful
3 extent. The eligibility maps for some of the main
4 factors where it was less than 100 percent of the
5 parcels are displayed here along -- for future
6 reference.

7 Deterioration was the first factor that
8 we found. 50 percent of the buildings were affected by
9 some form of deterioration that included surface area
10 deterioration, deterioration of alleys, streets,
11 gutters, sidewalks or building deterioration or both.
12 And actually utilities was the second factor. This
13 mainly refers to the condition and age of underground
14 utilities, and in this particular West Woodlawn TIF we
15 found that 56 of the 64 blocks were affected by
16 antiquated sewer lines, which means that the lines
17 underground that are serving this area are more than 100
18 years old.
19 The third was construction below minimum 20 code, which a review of building permits from the City
21 indicated that 907 structural -- violations were issued
22 for 130 separate addresses within the TIF district.
23 Analyzed on a block basis this affected 32 of the 64
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1 blocks or 50 percent of the parcels within the
2 redevelopment project area.
3 The fourth qualifying factor included
4 lack of community planning. This was shown on an area-
5 wide basis. Mostly some of the evidence of this factor
6 included obsolete parcel, that's ill-configured parcel
7 and development layout and incompatible land uses.
8 We also determined just for, to further
9 show and everything some of the factors that were
10 contributing to this, we looked at some minor factors,
11 included deleterious land use and layout. It's affected
12 ten percent of the parcels within the area, and also
13 excessive vacancies we found approximately nine percent
14 of the buildings in this area are at least 50 percent
15 vacant, according to our field survey.
16 To relieve some of the issues within the
17 redevelopment project area, we developed, along with the
18 City, golden objectives to reach, to, in order to
19 alleviate, or eliminate some of these conditions that
20 qualify the district as a conservation area. We
21 developed a total of 11 objectives, but I'll just go
22 through some of the main points of some of these
23 objectives.
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 The first was to develop residential
2 development and redevelopment that would accommodate current and future residents with a range of income levels and for a variety of housing types, also to create high quality retail development that promotes pedestrian activity and provides off street parking and aptly serves the households in the area, to improve the quality and quantity of open space in streetscape

9 elements, and also to provide areas, particularly along
10 the 63rd Street Green Line, transit oriented
11 development.
12 Part of the eligibility study and
13 redevelopment plan process is to determine what for TIF
14 redevelopment is not likely to occur. So to do that we
15 look at two, we go about it two different ways. We
16 looked at the building activity within the area within
17 the last five years, and also looked at the equalized
18 assessed value over the same time period, which is
19 mainly from January of 2004 to July of 2009.
20 During this time only 11 permits were
21 issued for new construction, and of that, of that 54
22 million that was for new development, but eight percent
23 of that value was contributed to one office building
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 that was actually owned and run by the University of
2 Chicago. The remaining nine million generally produced
324 units of housing, three retail structures and the one
4 office building I just spoke about, that's owned by the
5 University of Chicago.
6 In terms of the EAB value, the last year
7 where EAB was finalized which was 2008 , the EAB actually
8 went down in value. The peaked in 2006, but these
9 values were based on over inflated market value. While
10 EAB in 2007 to 2008 actually climbed by approximately
11 one percent, we expect it to decline further once 2009
12 values are finalized.
13 So given the decline in EAB in the
14 limited new construction activity that's happened over
15 the last five years, we felt that public intervention
16 was necessary to correct and restore some of the project
17 development activity.
18 Now for the future land use. The future
19 land use is kept generally to allow for the most
20 flexibility over the 23 year period -- TIF. The
21 predominant land use is for residential and commercial
22 mixed use. The residential mixed use in yellow seeks to
23 preserve the residential land use that already exists,
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 and allows for open parks, for open space, parks, and 2 public and institutional uses were already present.
3 Commercial mixed use allows for
4 commercial, residential mixed use and public institution
5 use. And the industrial/ commercial mixed use which is
6 primarily along Chicago Avenue, allows for wide
7 industrial, commercial and public institution uses.
8 Residential use is not necessarily restricted here
9 because there is some present, but it's not necessarily
10 promoted for this area either. This map can be found,
11 is labeled, it's not within the redevelopment plan.

12 The total budget, the budget that can be
13 spent over the 23 years of the TIF is 69.3 million.
14 There are line items that are allocated for certain
15 expenses. Some of the major ones include 28 million for
16 eligible construction costs, which includes affordable
17 housing, 15 billion for the rehabilitation of buildings,
1811.5 million for public improvements, which includes

19 streets, gutters, sidewalk repairs, et cetera, and eight
20 million for property assembly or demolition in
21 environmental remediation.
22 There are also allowances for
23 professional services, relocation costs, developer
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 construction interest costs, and worker to work in
2 daycare systems.
3 That concludes the consultant's
4 presentation and I can open it up for questions. Thank
5 you.
6 MS. CARROLL: Okay, based on the presentation
7 do any members of the Joint Review Board have any
8 questions?
9 MS. MCGUIRE: I'm sorry, I think Ms. Madison
10 wanted to make -- you know, in addition to what her
11 other comments were I think she had one or two other
12 things to say.
13 MS. CARROLL: Thank you.
14 MS. MADISON: I did. I really wanted to share
15 some of the positive thoughts from a poll, little
16 informal poll that $I$ conducted with the residents who
17 have been long time homeowners in West Woodlawn. And
18 like I said, I've been there since 1950 at this point,
19 and, it was mostly all positive. They're looking really
20 forward to having the opportunity to improve their
21 property personally by way of the TIF grants. A lot of
22 them are seniors who are on fixed incomes and not able
23 td do that at this time.
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
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1 They also welcome development on
2 unattended and unsecured vacant lots. Those have been
3 quite a problem for us in terms of serving as
4 thoroughfares for undesirables, hangouts, eyesores for
5 the community.
6 The owners also want to see
7 rehabilitation or demolition of many of the distressed
8 buildings and you alluded to that, and deteriorated
9 buildings in the area that serve as residences for
10 squatters and operating places for drug dealers in our
11 community.
12 They really, really want to see a mixture
13 of market-driven housing, which I know is the goal,
14 because they feel that that would foster a new sense of

15 responsibility on the part of the residents toward
16 community role modeling and expectations that will be
17 put on the residents of that community. Many buildings
18 are currently vacant and are owned by either absentee
19 landlords who do not live in the area, banks, and --2 0 buildings owned by --
21 And so, the expectations mentioned might
22 include a quieter environment for people tp live in,
23 revolution of crime and drug dealing, decrease in
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1 loitering and littering. And I have to say, this is my
2 own personal thing, a great deal of excitement centers
3 around not being a food desert, and that's a new term I
4 think that has come up in our society, but West Woodlawn
5 is a food desert.
6 The last major grocery stores that were
7 in our area were $A \& P$ and the Dell Farm, moved out in the
8 early '60's. So, and you know, that's a long time ago,
9 so people have to drive to buy food. And my own
10 personal story is just wanting to buy a couple of
11 potatoes, that's all, without getting in my car to ride
12 to Hyde Park or whatever. And looking for the produce
13 section in one of our local stores, it literally was two
14 potatoes that looked very archaic, were shriveled up,
15 ancient, in a bent up aluminum tin. That was their
16 produce section. So we don't even have food for people
17 that, you know, healthy choices.
18 And, for those who comprehend the
19 concept, and of course there are going to be, you know,
20 those that don't understand it all as we go along, but
21 the TIF program was generally received in a positive
22 light. And I just wanted to share that because I, for
23 one, am really happy, you know, --
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1 Thank you, thanks for the opportunity.
2 MS. CARROLL: Thank you, Ms. Madison. Well,
3 based on the full presentation, do any of the members of
4 the Joint Review Board have any questions?
5 MS. MADISON: May I ask, what was the area you
6 say that the bigger plan was built around that came,
7 where is that?
8 MS. WALTON: Sure, this is some of which is
9 primarily in the northeast corner of the TIF district.
10 MS. PERALTA: And, there is a project --
11 MS. WALTON: Yeah, there is a project that is
12 planned right now. It will consist of both affordable
13 and market rate housing. And then actually to your
14 point of the food desert, they are hoping on 63rd Street
15 to, actually within the existing Woodlawn TIF which is
16 right adjacent to the West Woodlawn boundary, they're
17 hoping to put in place a grocery store, full service
18 grocery store.
19 "MS. CARROLL: Are there any commitments from 20 developers at this time
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## for that?

21 MS. WALTON: They are in the process of
22 pulling that together --
23 MS. CARROLL: I think it's worth mentioning
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1 that there was so little development during a time, I
2 mean, the 24 residential housing units from 2004 to
3 2009, was I correct in understanding that?
4 MS. WALTON: Yes.
5 MS. CARROLL: So in $2004 / 2005$ we would have
6 expected to see some development.
7 MS. WALTON: Right, right, this was the boom
8 of the real estate industry and it only has produced, I
9 want to say this was four buildings -- so it's not all
10 promised to one unit, to one structure, yes, so it has
11 not produced a lot of --
12 MS. CARROLL: Can I ask, is there any more
13 open space to be added? I know that was one of the
14 objectives, this open space besides, we have Grove Park
15 there, but is there any additional open space planned?
16 ' MS. WALTON: Not that I'm aware of. When I
17 spoke about some of the, preserving open space in plans
18 there, as Ms. Madison mentioned there are a lot of
19 vacant and unsecured lots there, so there might be
20 opportunities there to do something. But, and then also
21 within some of their, a couple schools within the
22 district and just outside of the district as well --
23 MR. DILLARD: What was that area again that
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1 was going to be redeveloped? I missed that.
2 MS. WALTON: Oh, you're talking about the --
3 MR. DILLARD: Yeah.
4 MS. WALTON: Yeah, it's in the northeast
5 corner of the TIF District.
6 MR. DILLARD: Starting from where?
7 MS. WALTON: Starting from 60th Street
8 certainly to 62nd Street. Now the weave, it covers
9 these parcels as well as the parcels across Cottage
10 Grove.
11 MR. DILLARD: Oh, okay.
12 MS. PERALTA: . And is the grocery store part of
13 that --
14 MS. WALTON: Yeah, it actually extends, that's
15 within the TIF boundary, but it actually also extends,
16 the developed plan extends into part of the Woodlawn TIF
17 as well which has a boundary that kind of jogs along the
18 eastern part of West Woodlawn.
19 MS. MADISON: How do you incorporate all of
20 the parcels that, obviously there's been some rehab
21 going on that don't secure City permits, or do you not
22 consider that at all? Because there's a lot going on
23 that's not part of the --

File \#: F2011-291, Version: 1
LeGRAND REPORTING \& VIDEO SERVICES (630) 894-9389
20
1 MS. WALTON: Oh, you're talking about --
2 MS. MADISON: As you've looked at, you know,
3 the permits that were drawn over a period of time. A
4 lot of people don't, and we know that.
5 MS. WALTON: Right, I mean, the best way that
6 we can capture in for our records, -- records that the
7 City holds, I'm sure there's some other things that are
8 happening but because there's no firm documentation on
9 that, it's hard for us to really designate that. So we,
10 you know, that's the most valid thing we kind of can,
11 you know, hang our hat on, so that's what we used to
12 determine eligibility.
13 MS. CARROLL: That may be why half the
14 buildings have violations on them.
15 Are there any further questions? Well if
16 there are no further questions I will entertain a motion
17 that this Joint Review Board finds the proposed West
18 Woodlawn Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Project
19 Area satisfies the redevelopment plan requirements under
20 the TIF Act. The eligibility criteria defined in
21 Section 11-74.4-3 of the TIF Act and the objectives of
22 the TIF Act, and that based on such findings approve 23 such proposed plan
under the TIF Act. Is there a
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1 motion?
2 MS. MAREK: So moved.
3 MS. CARROLL: Is there a second for the
(
4 motion?
5 MR. DILLARD: I'll second.
6 MR. SANDERS: I'll second.
7 MS. CARROLL: Is there any further discussion?
8 If not, all in favor please vote by saying aye.
9 (Chorus of ayes.)
10 MS. CARROLL: All opposed please vote by
11 saying no. Let the record reflect the Joint Review
12 Board's approval of the proposed West Woodlawn Tax
13 Increment Financing Redevelopment Project Area under the
14 TIF Act.
15 And, do I have a motion to adjourn the
16 West Woodlawn TIF meeting?
17 MS. PERALTA: I'll move.
18 MS. CARROLL: Do I have a second?
19 MS. MAREK: Yes.
20 MS. CARROLL: Okay. Great. We have now
21 adjourned the West Woodlawn Joint Review Board Meeting.
22 We move to our next order of business, the Randolph/
23 Wells Joint Review Board Meeting.
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INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENTS FY 2010
A list of all Intergovernmental agreements in effect in FY 2010 to which the municpality Is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (10)]__
Name of Agreement
Description of Agreement
Amount Transferred Amount Received Out
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