

Office of the City Clerk

City Hall 121 N. LaSalle St. Room 107 Chicago, IL 60602 www.chicityclerk.com

Legislation Text

File #: F2023-117, Version: 1

Table of Contents

11 E II	xecutive Summary	5	
	A Chicago's Police Disciplinary System and Investigation of Rule 14 Violations	8	
	B CPD Members' Credibility and the Impact on the Legal System		
III	Objectives, Scope, and Methodology 15		
	A Objectives	15	
-	B Scope	15	
(C Methodology	15	
ı	D Standards	16	
l	E Authority and Role	16	
IV	Findings and Recommendations 17		
- 1	Finding 1: Structural failures in Chicago's police accountability system allow CPD		
	members with Sustained Rule 14 violations to remain in positions with dutie	es	
	that depend upon their truthfulness and credibility	17	
,	A The agencies which comprise CPD's disciplinary system state honesty and		
	trustworthiness are essential for a CPD member to perform their duties \dots	18	
I	B The agencies comprising Chicago's police accountability system do not ensure tha	at CPD members with Sustain	ed
	Rule 14 violations are separated from the		
	Department		
(C CPD currently employs or has recently employed a minimum of approximately 110		
	members with Sustained Rule 14 violations		
	D Collective Bargaining Agreements and CPD's Hiring Plan		
l	Finding 2: CPD's processes for identifying members with Rule 14 histories and sharing		lack
	rigor and controls, and therefore pose risk to the Department and to the leg	gal and constitutional rights of	
	criminal defendants		
	and litigants		
-	A CPD does not accurately maintain records pertaining to members' Sustained Rule		
	histories		
l	B CPD only produces disciplinary histories when they are specifically requested, and	d does not keep records of wh	at the
	Department produces in response to Brady		
	and Giglio requests	30	
	Finding 3: Gaps in current BIA and COPA policies and practices contribute to the		
	underenforcement of Rule 14	33	
1	A BIA policies do not instruct investigators to consider all forms of evidence when		
	evaluating inconsistencies	33	

File #: F2023-117, Version: 1

B COPA policies do not explicitly instruct investigators to consider Rule 14 violations	1
when making credibility determinations	
C BIA and COPA Summary Reports do not consistently reflect consideration and	,
analysis of potential Rule 14 violations	
Conclusion 40	V
pendix A Department Responses	Appe
nicago Office ofInspector General	Chic

Acronyms

BIA Bureau of Internal Affairs
BWC Body Worn Camera

CBA Collective Bargaining Agreement
CCSAO Cook County State's Attorney's Office
COPA Civilian Office of Police Accountability

CPD Chicago Police Department
FBI Federal Bureau of Investigation

FTO Field Training Officer

IPRA Independent Police Review Authority (predecessor to COPA)

OIG Office of Inspector General

OPS Office of Police Standards (predecessor to COPA's predecessor IPRA)

PPO Probationary Police OfficerHonesty and Trustworthiness :;ir^ssenii||fraits -a for CPDi Members

.PP-Pv. IV,.' • y ■ BI^G'lbpA^tfd,t.ii^plicevBoafd^thie agencies that comprise the City's' police accountability system, have publicly stated-these are .'\StSSSSs®

foundational principles to the work of police intGhicago.